

Systematics and management of natural resources: the case of *Spartina* species on European shores

José Antonio Fernández PRIETO^{1*}, Eduardo CIRES^{1*}, Teresa SÁNCHEZ COROMINAS² & Víctor M. VÁZQUEZ³

¹Área de Botánica. Universidad de Oviedo, Departamento de Biología de Organismos y Sistemas, Catedrático Rodrigo Uría s/n, 33071 Oviedo, Spain; e-mail: cireseduardo@gmail.com

²Consejería de Medio Ambiente, Ordenación del Territorio e Infraestructuras, Principado de Asturias, Coronel Aranda 2, 33005, Oviedo, Spain

³Real Instituto de Estudios Asturianos, Plaza de Porlier 9, 33003 Oviedo, Spain

Abstract: Discrepancies in the identification of some plants and, in consequence on their autochthonous or allochthonous character, can lead to the adoption of inappropriate habit management strategies such as conservation, control, elimination, etc. A clear illustration of this is the case of a plant with an evident expansive behaviour located on the North Atlantic coast of the Iberian Peninsula, which has been considered by some authors as *Spartina versicolor*, a native of the European coasts. However, other authors have identified this plant as *Spartina patens*, originally from the North American Atlantic coasts, but introduced on both the Atlantic and Mediterranean coasts of Europe. This species shows an invading behaviour, playing a clear and evident role in the transformation of the habitats that it colonizes. In this work, results based on the use of sequences of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of nrDNA, widely used in taxonomy and molecular phylogeny between closely related species, is reported. These data indicate that the identity of those plants growing on the European littoral is similar to those native to the North American Atlantic coasts.

Key words: Spartina; coastal habitats; conservation; management; phylogeny Poaceae; rDNA sequences

Introduction

Spartina Schreb. is a genus composed of 15–17 species, most of them growing in moist to wet saline habitats, both coastal and inland (Barkworth 2007). The species of this genus are distributed along the Atlantic and Mediterranean coast of Europe and Africa, where they may have been introduced (Barkworth 2007) and are also located in the Atlantic and Pacific coastlines and inland of America (Baumel et al. 2002a). Its ability to colonize new territories is well-known, and is not confined to the majority of the individual species of this genus, but is also demonstrated by its frequent hybrids. Thus it often acts as an invader, with high capacity for transforming the habitat (e.g. Daehler et al. 1996; Goulletquer 2002; Ayres et al. 2004; Campos et al. 2004; Bortolus 2006; Strong & Ayres 2009).

According to Tutin (1980), the genus Spartina is represented by two native species on the European coasts: S. maritima (Curtis) Fernald and S. versicolor Fabre. Additionally, there are two non-native species located on these coasts: S. alterniflora Loisel., from North American coasts, and S. densiflora Brongn., from South America. Furthermore, the hybrid S. x townsendii H. Groves & J. Groves (S. alterniflora \times S. maritima) has been reported in Europe, as well as the species S. anglica C.E. Hubb., presumably an allopolyploid species derived from the aforementioned hybrid (Ayres & Strong 2001; Baumel et al. 2002b; Ainouche et al. 2004; Fortune et al. 2008).

However, the origin, systematics and nomenclature of those plants identified as Spartina versicolor is not completely clear. This taxon was described from the French Mediterranean coast (Fabre 1849) and its presence was also noted on the central Tyrrhenian coast of Italy (Fiori & Paleoti 1896), on the Portuguese coast (Daveau 1897) and on the French Atlantic coast (Coste 1906). Other closely related species, belonging to the same systematic group, have been described in various coastal areas: Parlatore (1848–1850) described S. duriaei from materials collected by Durieu de Maisonneuve on the Algerian coast. Additionally two other species, originally from the North American Atlantic coast, were described in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries: S. patens (Aiton) Muhl. (\equiv Dactylis patens Aiton) (Aiton 1789; Muhlenberg 1813), cultivated in England from seeds from New York; and S. *juncea* (Michx.) Willd. (\equiv Trachynotia juncea Michx.) (Michaux 1803; Willdenow 1809), which grows in Carolina and Georgia in the USA.

^{*} The authors wish it to be known that, in their opinion, the first two authors should be regarded as joint First Authors

The identification of this systematic group of plants from European and North African coastal territories has been controversial. On the Mediterranean coast, the same Spartina species reported has been treated under different names (Spartina versicolor, S. juncea, S. patens) with different management models proposed depending on its systematization: in cases where it has been considered a native species (Coste 1906; Guinochet & Vilmorin 1978; Tutin 1980; Gamisans et al. 1989; Bolòs & Vigo 2001; Fraga et al. 2003; Fenu & Bacchetta 2008), it is seen as indicative of a good state of conservation of the ecosystem (Ferrandis Pomés 2002). According to this approach, in areas where it is a threatened species it would thus be targeted for protection (Ballesta 1996) and even perhaps considered as a potential species for use in the restoration of coastal habitats (e.g. Montoya & Galofré i Saumell 1997; Vizcaino et al. 2000; Society for Ecological Restoration International 2008). Conversely, other authors have questioned the origin and identity of these Mediterranean plants (Pignatti 1982), or even consider that it is a widely distributed species in America and Europe, with different geographical races in diverse areas (Saint-Yves 1932; Maire 1953). Finally, a different approach taken is its consideration as a non-native species originally from the North American Atlantic coast (Fournier 1977), therefore identifying it as an invader that must be removed (Sanz Elorza et al. 2001, 2004).

Similar disagreements occur in relation to the examples found on the European Atlantic coast, with some authors considering Spartina versicolor as a native species (Daveau 1897; Coutinho 1913; Vicioso 1946; Franco & Afonso 1998; Sánchez Gullón 2001), while other authors suggest different origins: 1) a native species from the Mediterranean coast (Bueno et al. 1993; van der Maarel & van der Maarel-Versluys 1996; Campos & Silván Beraza 2001; Torre Fernández 2003; Bedia 2004; Almagro Bonmatí et al. 2006); 2) a species of ambiguous origin (González Costales 2008); 3) an expanding species reaching more northern coasts as a result of climate change (Brańa Vigil et al. 2009); or 4) even a species from the North American Atlantic coast exhibiting invasive behaviour on the European Atlantic coast (SanLeón et al. 1999; Campos et al. 2004; Fagúndez Díaz & Barrada Beiras 2007; Campos & Herrera 2009a, 2009b; Sánchez Gullón & Verloove 2009), which needs to be controlled and eliminated.

Considering the different systematic treatments and management models presented above, it is clear why the interpretation of the origin and phytogeographical significance of populations of these plants in each territory is still a controversial topic. Indeed taxonomic errors usually remain unnoticed, and transcend to the limits of ecology and environmental management, so misidentifications could generate worldwide loss of time, knowledge and money (Bortolus 2008). That is to say, there is a need to ascertain adequate criteria for the plants' identification and systematization in order to establish appropriate strategies for the proper management of the populations in their distribution areas.

The specific aims of this study are: (1) to infer phylogenetic relationships among 14 species of the genus *Spartina* (Table 1); (2) to evaluate the consistency of the existing systematic treatments in the previous studied species; and (3) to investigate the current status and conservation/elimination strategies for the species treated as *Spartina versicolor* and *S. patens*. The results may help us to understand the systematics used by different authors, and to provide basic information to enable the development of a scientific strategy for the efficient conservation or removal of these species.

Material and methods

For these investigations, sequencing of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of nrDNA was performed. The ITS is intercalated in the 16S-5.8S-26S region separating the elements of the rDNA locus. The ITS region consists of three parts: the ITS1 and ITS2 and the highly conserved 5.8S rDNA exon located in between (Wheeler & Honeycutt 1988). The advantages of this region are: (1) biparental inheritance, in comparison to the maternally inherited chloroplast and mitochondrial markers; (2) easy PCR amplification, with several universal primers available for various kinds of organisms; (3) the occurrence of multiple copies distributed throughout the genome; (4) its moderate size, allowing easy sequencing; and (5) the fact that based on published studies it shows variation that makes it suitable for evolutionary studies at the species or generic level (e.g. Baldwin et al. 1995; Liston et al. 1996; Álvarez Fernández & Wendel 2003). In addition, the ITS region has been widely used to reconstruct phylogenetic relationships at various taxonomic levels within Poaceae (e.g. Hsiao et al. 1995, 1999; Barker et al. 2001) and has also been successfully used to identify different closely species within the genus Spartina (e.g. Baumel et al. 2002a; Ainouche et al. 2003; Fortune et al. 2008). In total, 40 accessions from 14 species were included in the ITS data set to investigate the phylogenetic relationships among species of the genus Spartina (Table 1), with four samples from the genus Spartina collected on the coast of Asturias (Northern Spain): three of S. versicolor (Asturias 1, 2 and 3) and one of S. maritima (Asturias). Voucher specimens were collected, dried by pressing in absorbent paper, stored at room temperature, and kept in the Herbarium of the University of Oviedo (FCO). In addition, a sample of Cynodon dactylon (L.) Pers. from Brittany (France) was used as an outgroup in the phylogenetic analyses because it is closely related to Spartina (Hsiao et al. 1999). Chromosome numbers were taken from Baumel et al. (2002a), Barkworth (2007) and the "Index to Plant Chromosome Numbers Data Base" (http://www.tropicos.org/Project/IPCN).

Total DNA was extracted from leaf tissue using the CTAB method (Doyle & Doyle 1987) with slight modifications. Double-stranded nrDNA were amplified using a gradient thermal cycler (MyCyclerTM, Bio-Rad). The PCR parameters were as follows: 5 min pre-treatment at 94 °C followed by 35 cycles of 1 min at 94 °C, 1 min at 56 °C, 1 min at 72 °C, plus a final extension of 10 min at 72 °C. Standard primers were used for amplification of the ITS region (Sun et al. 1994). PCR products were run on a 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide, in order to evaluate the quality and quantity of the amplified templates, and then purified using ExoSAP-IT (USB Corporation, Ohio) following the manufacturers' protocols. Both strands were Table 1. List of taxa, chromosome number, origin of the analyzed taxa, identification and GenBank accession numbers. Sequences directly retrieved from GenBank (ITS) are in italics.

| 489595 489598 489594 489597 489596 272775 '372642 '372634 |
|--|
| 489594 489597 489596 272775 372642 372634 |
| '489597 '489596 '272775 '372642 '372634 |
| 489596 272775 372642 372634 |
| '372642 '372634 |
| 372634 |
| |
| 489599 372639 |
| 012000 |
| '372636 |
| 372637 |
| 489600 |
| 489785 |
| 489786 |
| '372635 |
| 489787 |
| '372641 |
| 489788 |
| 019844 |
| 489792 |
| 409709 780700 |
| 489791 |
| 133289 |
| 272776 |
| 489793 |
| 489794 |
| 372638 |
| 489795 |
| 019843 |
| 153082 |
| 372640 489796 |
| 480707 |
| 489797 489798 |
| 199200 |
| 133290 133201 |
| 133292 |
| |
| 489784 |
| |

* This chromosome count was obtained by Gerish (1979), who reported it for *Spartina foliosa*, but Spicher & Josselyn (1985) demonstrated that the plants he worked with were almost certainly *S. densiflora* (for more details see Barkworth 2007).

sequenced to check the reliability of the detected differences. All chromatograms were visually examined to correct possible misinterpretations of the computational routine. Sequenced data were assembled and edited using Muscle 4.0 within Geneious Pro 4.8.5 (Biomatters, Auckland, New Zealand). The limits of the regions were determined by the position of flanking primers. IUPAC (International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry) symbols were used to represent nucleotide ambiguities.

A maximum parsimony (MP) analysis was conducted by heuristic search using the MEGA 4 (Kumar et al. 2008). The maximum parsimonious tree was performed using the



Fig. 1. Consensus tree of 41 samples of the genus *Spartina* and *Cynodon*, inferred from the 3779 most parsimonious trees (the numbers at nodes are bootstrap values, 2000 replicates). The consistency index is 0.74038, the retention index is 0.92904 and the composite index is 0.76668 for 118 parsimony informative characters.

close neighbor interchange (CNI; level = 3) algorithm in which the initial trees were obtained with random addition of sequences (10 replicates). The robustness of nodes was inferred from a bootstrap analysis of 2000 replicates. In order to display conflicts in data, SplitsTree 4.10 (Huson & Bryant 2006) was used to construct an unrooted network (uncorrected P, NeighborNet distance) of relationships between individuals. All alignment gaps were treated as missing data. Such a network, compared to a phylogenetic tree, potentially offers a more realistic visual presentation of possible reticulate phylogenetic patterns by depicting the incompatible signals in a net-like scheme (Moulton & Huber 2009). Relationships among ribotypes in the clade of Spartina bakeri, S. patens and S. versicolor were inferred using TCS software (Clement et al. 2000), which uses a statistical parsimony method to estimate ancestral or intermediate ribotypes. The accession numbers of the sequences submitted to GenBank are listed in Table 1.

Results

Firstly, an exploratory data analysis of the studied sequences of *Spartina* was performed, and summarized in Table 2. The alignment of 40 ITS sequences produced a matrix of 606 characters with 19.47% of these being parsimony informative.

In the maximum parsimony analysis (Fig. 1) the distribution of the nrDNA ribotypes shows that *Spartina* genus is a monophyletic group, with bootstrap values exceeding 50%. *Spartina* is split in two main clades; the first one (consisting primarily of hexaploid species) includes *S. alterniflora*, *S. foliosa*, *S. maritima*, *S. spartinae* and *S. argentinensis*, and is supported by an 86% bootstrap value. The second clade (consisting primarily of tetraploid species) is well-supported (100% bootstrap) and contains nine species: *S. arundinacea*, *S. bakeri*, *S. ciliata*, *S. cynosuroides*, *S. densiflora*, *S. gra-*



Fig. 2. NeighbourNet network for ITS sequences from 40 accessions of the *Spartina* group. The least squares fit index for the split network has a value of 99.97%. Groups have been circled and labeled to correspond with the distinct groups indicated in the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1). The numbers correspond to the arrangement of the populations of Table 1.

Table 2. Alignment and sequence characteristics of the nrDNA region within Spartina.

| Sequence characteristics | ITS data set | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sequence length range (bp) Sequence length mean (bp) G+C content (range%) G+C content (mean%) Aligned sequence length (bp) Parsimony informative characters Constant characters Uninformative characters | $589-602 \\ 596.42 \\ 51.42-54.11 \\ 52.42 \\ 606 \\ 118 \\ 464 \\ 24$ | | | | |
| | | | | | |

cilis, S. patens, S. pectinata and S. versicolor. Within this clade, S. bakeri, S. patens and S. versicolor appear closely related, forming a well-supported monophyletic sister group (100% bootstrap) to the species: S. arund-inacea, S. ciliata and S. densiflora which form another monophyletic clade (100% bootstrap).

The unrooted SplitsTree NeighbourNet network in Fig. 2 provides a graphical representation of ribotypes which is not purely dichotomous where reticulation indicates alternative mutational pathways (i.e. homoplasy) within groups. The characteristics of ITS sequences for the clade composed by the species *Spartina bakeri*, *S. patens* and *S. versicolor* are summarised in Table 3. In addition, the topology of genealogy generated by TCS software with a 95% cutoff value to construct the significant connection is shown in Fig. 3. These results were consistent with the maximum parsimony analysis (Fig. 1) and NeighbourNet network



Fig. 3. Statistical parsimony analysis of S. bakeri, S. patens and S. versicolor. Black dots represent intermediate ribotypes missing in the data set.

(Fig. 2). The sample of *S. patens* from Louisiana (USA) was identified as an ancestral ribotype. In addition, it should be noted that regardless of the origin of the samples (Atlantic or Mediterranean region), *S. versicolor* presented the same ribotype.

Discussion

The molecular phylogeny of various *Spartina* species presented here, and based on ITS regions (Fig. 1), shows a consensus tree highly consistent with those ob-

| Taxon | Nucleotide position ITS region | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---|---|------------------|
| | 0 5 9 | 0 8 6 | 0 8 8 | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 9\\ 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 1\\9\\0\end{array}$ | | 3 9 3 | $\begin{array}{c} 4\\ 4\\ 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 4\\ 4\\ 5\end{array}$ | 4 9 8 |
| S. bakeri Florida 1 (USA) S. bakeri Florida 2 (USA) | C G | C T | C T | T T | A G | A C | A C | C G | A T | _ |
| S. patens Louisiana (USA) S. patens New Jersey (USA) S. patens Texas (USA) | C C C | ${f C} {f T} {f C}$ | T T T | ${f T} {f T} {f C}$ | G G G | C C C | C C C | C C C | T T T | A |
| S. versicolor Rodiles (Spain) S. versicolor El Espartal (Spain) S. versicolor Los Quebrantos (Spain) S. versicolor Valencia (Spain) | C C C C | T T T T | T T T T | T T T T | G G G G | C C C C | C C C C | C C C C | T T T T | - - - - |

Table 3. Summary of the nucleotide site variation of the Internal Transcribed Spacers (ITS1 and ITS2) in *Spartina bakeri, S. patens* and *S. versicolor*.

tained by other authors (Baumel et al. 2002a; Fortune et al. 2007), as well as with the phylogenetic relationships and evolutionary dynamics in polyploid species of Spartina indicated by Ainouche et al. (2009). Diversification of the genus Spartina raises interesting questions regarding biogeography, history and evolution of species. Our results provide strong evidence for existence of two main clades in the genus: the first one consisting of hexaploid native American plants [S. alterniflora Loisel. (2n = 62) and S. foliosa Trin. (2n = 60)], a hexaploid native European species [S. maritima (Curtis) Fernald (2n = 60)], and two other tetraploid native American plants [S. argentinensis Parodi and S. spartinae (Trin.) Merr., both (2n = 40)]. The second well-supported main clade within Spartina revealed by our study was mainly constituted by tetraploid species (2n = 40), most of them native to America [S. arundinacea (Thouars) Carmich., S. bakeri Merr., S. cynosuroides (L.) Roth, S. gracilis Trin., S. patens (Aiton) Muhl. and S. pectinata Link]. This second clade also included S. versicolor Fabre (considered native to European coasts and with unknown chromosome count), as well as S. densiflora Brongn. (2n = 60?) and S. ciliata Brongn. (2n = ?). The clades obtained in this study are supported by recently published articles (see Baumel et al. 2002a; Ainouche et al. 2009), which also considered two main lineages in the genus, meanwhile our data markedly differ from the two "stirps" (lineages) recognized by Saint-Yves (1932) based on the anatomy of the leaf blade.

With regard to the Cantabrian coast (Northern Spain), the sample identified as *Spartina maritima* can be seen to constitute a clade with the samples belonging to the same species from Brittany and Britain (Fig. 1). The history of this Euro-African species is still an unsolved question, with several hypotheses proposed: current populations of *S. maritima* could actually represent a relic from a wider distribution of an ancestral hexaploid species, or alternatively, *S. maritima* was introduced from North America to the Eastern Atlantic coast and subsequently vanished from the

New World. Comparative analyses of various gene histories in this clade should therefore help exploring this question and estimating the divergence time between S. maritima and its sister lineage S. alterniflora /S. fo*liosa* (see Ainouche et al. 2003). Furthermore, the three Cantabrian samples identified as S. versicolor were integrated into the second clade, mainly constituted by tetraploid species (Fig. 1). Indeed, these samples together with other European S. versicolor from Valencia (Spain) formed a well-defined subclade with the North American samples of S. patens and S. bakeri, the close relationships between these latter two species having previously been highlighted by Baumel et al. (2002a), and also reported by Saint-Yves (1932) and Barkworth (2007). The NeighbourNet analysis (Fig. 2) was consistent with the abovementioned consensus tree, strongly supporting the groupings set out in Fig. 1. The statistical parsimony analysis of S. patens, S. bakeri and S. versicolor (Fig. 3) indicated that the ribotype of S. versicolor is intermediate between that of the American samples of S. patens from Louisiana and New Jersey (USA), and that of S. bakeri (sample 2) from Florida. However, the other S. bakeri (sample 1) from Florida displayed the highest number of intermediate ribotypes. Finally, S. patens (Louisiana) also showed similarities to S. patens from Texas.

As a result of the data presented herein, it should be concluded that the European plant identified as *Spartina versicolor* is identical to the North American accession *S. patens* (= *S. juncea*), and therefore they should be treated as the same species. This is a clear example showing the need for constant reliable taxonomic checking through updated methods and perspectives (Bortolus 2008) and the importance of genealogical approaches to better understand the historical and evolutionary context of species expansion (Fortune et al. 2008). Besides, the presence of *S. patens* on the Iberian coasts is fully accepted (e.g. Ainouche et al. 2003; Ayres et al. 2004; Page et al. 2010), and consequently, it has already been included in numerous catalogues of invasive plants of the Iberian Peninsula coast (e.g. Sanz Elorza et al. 2004; Campos & Herrera 2009a, 2009b).

Within this assumption, the management of European coastal natural resources should consider that Spartina patens strongly modifies and disturbs such habitats (Sanz Elorza et al. 2004; Campos & Herrera 2009a; Page et al. 2010). The goals of the management of S. patens on European shores should target to prevent the establishment and spread of any Spartina populations. Outreach and education about the threat and management of Spartina and measures to prevent new introductions are also important elements. Given the difficulty of working in estuarine environments and the high cost of the management methods, early detection and controls are critical to a successful protection of estuaries from infestation by Spartina. The main efforts to achieve this goal should include (1) preventing the movement of *Spartina* propagules to other areas suitable for invasion; (2) establishing clear procedures, authorities, and responsibilities for action, and a framework for implementation of the management and (3)education and information of the general public about Spartina and the need to control it. Potential management options may be considered according to the size of populations, location, and species of Spartina. Actually this kind of actions have already started within this genus (e.g. Daehler et al. 1996; Howard Morgan & Sytsma 2010), where deciding whether the control or eradication approach is the key for the subsequent management decisions.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank: Dr. Candela Cuesta for her comments and suggestions, as well as for her assistance in the laboratory work; and Dr. Marie-Stéphanie Samain for kindly revising the language throughout the manuscript and for supplying useful comments.

References

- Ainouche M.L., Baumel A. & Salmon A. 2004. Spartina anglica C. E. Hubbard: a natural model system for analysing early evolutionary changes that affect allopolyploid genomes. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 82: 475–484.
- Ainouche M.L., Baumel A., Salmon A. & Yannic G. 2003. Hybridization, polyploidy and speciation in *Spartina* (Poaceae). New Phytol. 161: 165–172.
- Ainouche M.L., Fortune P.M., Salmon A., Parisod C., Grandbastien M.-A., Fukunaga K., Ricou M. & Misset M.-T. 2009. Hybridization, polyploidy and invasion: lessons from *Spartina* (Poaceae). Biol. Invasions **11**: 1159–1173.
- Aiton W. 1789. Hortus Kewensis 3. Geoge Nicol. London.
- Almagro Bonmatí M., Garrido Martín B., Lopes L., Pinho R. & Keizer J. 2006. Monitorización de la flora y vegetación de las zonas húmedas en el Baixo Vouga Lagunar (Ría de Aveiro, Portugal). Ecosistemas 15(2): 1697–2473.
- Álvarez Fernández I. & Wendel J.F. 2003. Ribosomal ITS sequences and plant phylogenetic inference. Molec. Phylogen. Evol. 29: 417–434.
- Ayres D.R., Smith D.L., Zaremba K., Klohr S. & Strong D.R. 2004. Spread of exotic cordgrasses and hybrids (*Spartina* sp.) in the tidal marshes of San Francisco Bay, California, USA. Biol. Invasions 6: 221–231.

- Ayres D.R. & Strong D.R. 2001. Origin and genetic diversity of *Spartina anglica* (Poaceae) using nuclear DNA markers. Amer. J. Bot. 88(10): 1863–1867.
- Baldwin B.G., Sanderson M.J., Porter J.M., Wojciechowski M.F., Campbell C.S. & Donoghue M.J. 1995. The ITS region of nuclear ribosomal DNA: a valuable source of evidence on angiosperm phylogeny. Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 82: 247–277.
- Ballesta J. 1996. El Delta del Llobregat: amenazado por tierra, mar y aire. In: Arguments ecologistas contra el "Pla Delta". http://personal.redestb.es/llp0001/plan.htm (accessed 15.06.2010).
- Barker N.P., Clark L.G., Davis J.I., Duvall M.R., Guala G.F., Hsiao C., Kellogg E.A., Linder H.P., Mason-Gamer R.J., Mathews S.Y., Simmons M.P., Soreng R.J. & Spangler R.E. 2001. Phylogeny and subfamilial classification of the grasses (Poaceae). Ann. Missouri Bot. Garden 88(3): 373–457.
- Barkworth M.E. 2007. Spartina Schreb. In: Barkworth et al. (eds). Flora of North America vol. 24. http://herbarium.usu. edu/webmanual. (accessed 15.06.2010).
- Bortolus A. 2006. The austral cordgrass *Spartina densiflora* Brong.: its taxonomy, biogeography and natural history. J. Biogeogr. **33**: 158–168.
- Bortolus A. 2008. Error cascades in the biological sciences: the unwanted consequences of using bad taxonomy in ecology. AMBIO **37**: 114–118.
- Baumel A., Ainouche M.L., Bayer R.J., Ainouche A.K. & Misset M.T. 2002a. Molecular phylogeny of hybridizing species from the genus *Spartina* Schreb. (Poaceae). Molec. Phylogen. Evol. 22: 303–314.
- Baumel A., Ainouche M., Kalendar R. & Schulman A.H. 2002b. Retrotransposons and genomic stability in populations of the young allopolyploid species *Spartina anglica* C.E. Hubbard (Poaceae). Molec. Biol. Evol. **19(8)**: 1218–1227.
- Bedia J. 2004. Expansión en la franja litoral cantábrica de las plantas exóticas invasoras. Locustella 2: 85–89.
- Bolòs O. de & Vigo J. 2001. Flora dels Països Catalans 4 (Monocotiledònies). Editorial Barcino, Barcelona.
- Braña Vigil F., Bueno Sánchez A., de Luis Calabuig E., Díaz González T.E., Obeso Suárez J.R., Taboada Palomares A. & Vera de la Puente M.L. 2009. Biodiversidad. In: Anadón Álvarez R. & Roqueñi Gutiérrez N. (coord.) Evidencias y efectos potenciales del Cambio Climático en Asturias. Consejería de Medio Ambiente, Ordenación del Territorio e Infraestructuras, Viceconsejería de Medio Ambiente, Oficina para la Sostenibilidad, el Cambio Climático y la Participación, Gobierno del Principado de Asturias, pp. 68–80.
- Bueno A., Fernández-Carvajal M.C., Díaz González T.E. & Fernández Prieto J.A. 1993. Spartina versicolor Fabre en el litoral norte de la Península Ibérica. XIII Jornadas de Fitosociología: "Clima e vegetação". Universidad Técnica de Lisboa, Lisboa.
- Campos J.A. & Herrera M. 2009a. Diagnosis de la flora alóctona invasora de la CAPV. Dirección de Biodiversidad y Participación Ambiental, Departamento de Medio Ambiente y Ordenación del Territorio, Gobierno Vasco, Bilbao.
- Campos J.A. & Herrera M. 2009b. Análisis de la flora alóctona de Bizkaia (País Vasco, España). Lazaroa 30: 7–33.
- Campos J.A., Herrera M., Biurrum I. & Loidi J. 2004. The role of alien plants in the natural coastal vegetation in centralnorthern Spain. Biodivers Conserv. 13: 2275–2293.
- Campos J.A. & Silván Beraza F. 2001. Flora Exótica de la Reserva de la Biosfera de Urdaibai. Gobierno Vasco. Departamento de Medio Ambiente y Ordenación del Territorio. Dirección de Biodiversidad y Participación Ambiental.
- Clement M., Posada D. & Crandall K.A. 2000. <u>TCS:</u> a <u>computer</u> <u>program to estimate gene genealogies</u>. Mol. Ecol. **9:** 1657– 1659.
- Coste H.-J. 1906. Flore descriptive et illustrée de la France 3. Librairie des Sciences naturelles Paul Klincksieck. Paris.
- Coutinho A.X.P. 1913. A Flora de Portugal. Aillaud, Albes & Cia, Lisboa.
- Daehler C.C., Donald R. & Strong D.R. 1996. Status, prediction and prevention of introduced cordgrass Spartina spp. in pacific estuaries, USA. Biol. Conserv. 78: 51–58.

- Daveau J. 1897. La flore littorale du Portugal. Bol. Soc. Brot. **14:** 4–54.
- Doyle J.J. & Doyle J.L. 1987. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. Phytochem. Bull. 19: 11–15.
- Fabre E. 1849. Description d'une nouvelle spèce de Spartina abondante sur une portion du littotal méditerranée. Ann. Sci. Nat. Bot. (sér. 3) 13: 122–125.
- Fagúndez Díaz J. & Barrada Beiras M. 2007. Plantas Invasoras de Galicia-Bioloxía, distribución e métodos de control. Xunta de Galicia.
- Fenu G. & Bacchetta G. 2008. La flora vascolare della Penisola del Sinis (Sardegna Occidentale). Acta Bot. Malac. 33: 1–34.
- Ferrandis Pomés C. 2002. Ampliación del aeropuerto de Barcelona. Medidas de integración ambiental en la 35 pista. I Congreso de Ingeniería Civil, Territorio y Medio Ambiente, Madrid, 13–15 February 2002, pp.1349–1362.
- Fiori A. & Paoletti G. 1896–1908. Flora analitica d'Italia 1. Tipografia del Seminario. Padua.
- Fortune P.M., Schierenbeck K.A., Ainouche A.K., Jacquemin J., Wendel J.F. & Ainouche M.L. 2007. Evolutionary dynamics of Waxy and the origin of hexaploid *Spartina* species (Poaceae). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. **43(3)**: 1040–1055.
- Fortune P.M., Schierenbeck K., Ayres D., Bortolus A., Catrice O., Browns S. & Ainouche M.L. 2008. The enigmatic invasive *Spartina densiflora*: A history of hybridizations in a polyploidy context. Mol. Ecol. **17**: 4304–4316.
- Fournier P.V. 1977. Les quatre flores de France. Ed. Lechevalier, Paris.
- Fraga P., García O. & Pons M. 2003. Notes i contribucions al coneixement de la flora de Menorca (V). Bull. Soc. Hist. Nat. Balear. 46: 51–66.
- Franco J. & Afonso M. 1998. Nova Flora de Portugal 3(2) (Gramineae). Escolar Editora, Lisboa.
- Gamisans J., Deschâtres R., Paradis G. & Lambinon J. 1989. Spartina versicolor Fabre. In: Jeanmonod D, Burdet HM (eds), Notes et contributions à la flore de Corse, IV. Candollea 44: 367–368.
- Gerish W. 1979. Chromosomal analysis of a previously unidentified *Spartina* species. Dissertation University of Long Island.
- González Costales J.A. 2008. Plantas alóctonas invasoras en el Principado de Asturias. Consejería de Medio, Ordenación del Territorio e Infraestructuras y Obra Social "La Caixa", Oviedo.
- Goulletquer P., Bachelet G., Sauriau P.G. & Noel P. 2002. Open atlantic coast of Europe – A century of introduced species into French waters. In: Leppäkoski E. et al. (eds), Invasive Aquatic Species of Europe. Kluwer Academie Publishers. Netherlands.
- Guinochet M. & Vilmorin R. 1978. Flore de France, 3. Ed. Éditions du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris.
- Howard Morgan V. & Sytsma M. 2010. Alaska *Spartina* prevention, detection and response plan. Prepared for: National Marine Fisheries Service Alaska Region Juneau, AK.
- Hsiao C., Chatterton N.J., Asay K.H. & Jensen K.B. 1995. Molecular phylogeny of the Pooideae (Poaceae) based on nuclear rDNA (ITS) sequences. Theor. Appl. Genet. 90: 389–398.
- Hsiao C., Jacobs S.W.L., Chatterton N.J. & Asay K.H. 1999. A molecular phylogeny of the grass family (Poaceae) based on the sequences of nuclear ribosomal DNA (ITS). Austral Syst. Bot. **11:** 667–688.
- Huson D.H. & Bryant D. 2006. <u>Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies</u>. Mol. Biol. Evol. 23: 254–267.
- Kumar S., Dudley J., Nei M. & Tamura K. 2008. <u>MEGA: A</u> <u>biologist-centric software for evolutionary analysis of DNA</u> <u>and protein sequences.</u> Brief Bioinform. 9: 299–306.
- Liston A., Robinson W.A., Oliphant J.M. & Alvarez-Buylla E.R. 1996. <u>Length variation in the nuclear ribosomal DNA internal</u> <u>transcribed region of non-flowering seed plants</u>. Syst. Bot. **21(2)**: 109–120.
- Maire R. 1953. Flora de l'Afrique du Nord (Maroc, Algerie, Tunisie, Tripolitaine, Cyrenaique et Sahara. Volume 2, Monocotyledonae: Glumiflorae (Gramineae: sf. Pooideae p.p.). Paul Lechevalier Èditerur, París.

- Michaux A. 1803. Flora Boreali-Americana. Parisiis et Argentorati: Apud fratres Levrault (Typis Caroli Crapeplet), Paris.
- Montoya F.J. & Galofré i Saumell J. 1997. La particularidad sobre la actuación física en la costa: caso del Delta del Ebro. Revista de Obras Públicas **3368**: 33–45.
- Moulton V. & Huber K.T. 2009. Split networks. A tool for exploring complex evolutionary relationships in molecular data. In: Lemey P., Salemi M. & Vandamme A.M. (eds), The phylogenetic handbook. A practical approach to phylogenetic analysis and hypothesis testing. University Press: Cambridge, UK.
- Muhlenberg G.H.E. 1813. Catalogus Plantarum Americae Septentrionalis. Ed 1. William Hamilton, Lancaster.
- Page H.M., Lastra M., Rodil I.F., Briones M.J.I. & Garrido J. 2010. Effects of non-native Spartina patens on plant and sediment organic matter carbon incorporation into the local invertebrate community. Biol Invasions 12(11): 3825–3838.
- Parlatore F. 1848–1850. Flora italiana I. Tipografia Le Monnier. Firenze.
- Pignatti S. 1982. Flora d'Italia 1–3. Edagricole, Bologna.
- Saint-Yves A. 1932. Monographia Spartinarum. Candollea **5:** 19–100.
- Sánchez Gullón E. 2001. Spartina versicolor (Poaceae), novedad agrostológica para Andalucia. Acta Bot. Malac. **26:** 279–280.
- Sánchez Gullón E. & Verloove F. 2009. New records of interesting xenophytes in Spain. II. Lagascalia **29**: 281–291.
- SanLeón D.G., Izco J. & Sánchez J.M. 1999. Spartina patens as a weed in Galician saltmarshes (NW Iberian Peninsula). Hydrobiologia 415: 213–222.
- Sanz-Elorza M., Dana E.D. & Sobrino E. 2001. Listado de plantas alóctonas invasoras reales y potenciales en España. Lazaroa 22: 121–131.
- Sanz Elorza M., Dana E.D. & Sobrino E. (eds) 2004. Atlas de las plantas alóctonas invasoras en España. Dirección General para la Biodiversidad, Madrid.
- Society for Ecological Restoration International. 2008. Restauración de las dunas litorales de la Devesa de la Albufera de Valencia. In: Global restauration network: case study detail. http://www.globalrestorationnetwork.org/database/casestudy/?id=229. (accessed 20.06.2010).
- Spicher D. & Joselyn M. 1985. Spartina (Gramineae) in northern California: Distribution and taxonomic notes. Madroño 32: 158–167.
- Strong D.R. & Ayres D.R. 2009. Spartina introductions and consequences in salt marshes. Arrive, survive, thrive, and sometimes hybridize. In: Silliman B.R., Grosholz E.D., Bertness M. (eds), Human Impacts On Salt Marshes. University of California Press, pp. 3–23.
- Sun Y., Skinner D.Z., Liang G.H. & Hulbert S.H. 1994. Phylogenetic analysis of *Sorghum* and related taxa using internal transcribed spacers of nuclear ribosomal DNA. Theor. Appl. Genet. 89: 26–32.
- Torre Fernández F de la. 2003. Las plantas invasoras en Asturias. Nat. Cantab. ${\bf 2:}$ 33–43.
- Tutin T.G. 1980. Spartina Schreber. In: Tutin T.G., Heywood V.H., Burges N.A., Walters S.M. & Webb D.A. (eds), Flora Europaea 5. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, London, New York, Melbourne.
- van der Maarel E. & van der Maarel-Versluys M. 1996 Distribution and conservation status of littoral vascular plant species along the European coasts. J. Coast. Conserv. 2: 73–92.
- Vicioso C. 1946. Notas sobre la Flora Española. Anales Inst. Bot. Cavanilles 6(2): 5–92.
- Vizcaino A., Collado F. & Benavent J.M. 2000. La dehesa de la Albufera, treinta años después. Mètode: 88–91.
- Wheeler W.C. & Honeycutt R.L. 1988. Paired sequence difference in ribosomal RNAs: evolutionary and phylogenetic implications. Mol. Biol. Evol. 5: 90–96.
- Willdenow C.L. 1809. Enumeratio Plantarum Horti Regii Botanici Berolinensis: continens descriptiones omnium vegetabilium in horto dicto cultorum. Taberna libraria Scholae Realis, Berlin.

Received December 14, 2010 Accepted June 21, 2011