# Analytical Model of the Refrigeration System for a Cooling in a Hygroscopic Cycle Power Plant

Roberto Martínez-Pérez<sup>1</sup>, Bernardo Peris-Pérez<sup>2</sup>, Juan Carlos Ríos-Fernández<sup>1</sup>, Juan M. González-Caballín<sup>1</sup>, Francisco J. Rubio-Serrano<sup>3</sup>, Antonio J. Gutiérrez Trashorras<sup>1</sup>

Department of Energy - University of Oviedo <sup>2</sup> Department of Mechanical, Thermal and Fluid Engineering - University of Málaga <sup>3</sup> IMATECH - IMASA Technologies



The Hygroscopic Cycle Technology (HCT) has similar characteristics to the Rankine Cycle. However, this technology is characterized by working with hygroscopic components. The hygroscopic compounds are capable of absorbing water in the form of vapor or in a liquid state.

For same condensing pressure, the cooling temperature is 13 °C higher in a real HC than in Rankine Cycle at an industrial scale. For this reason, cooling solely by air is possible in this cycle since there is enough difference between the hot fluid and the air temperatures.

No research to date have directly focused on the dry-coolers used in the HC, requiring a more specific design to enhance the dissipation of large amounts of thermal power. The objective of the study was to develop an analytical model that would allow knowing in detail about the configuration and internal functioning of the HC dry-cooling system.

## PILOT PLANT (GIJÓN, SPAIN)

### **MODEL DIAGRAM**

### **MODEL PARAMETERS**

#### **Engineering Equation Solver** Vacuum Pump LEC Deaerator cooler Heat 5 recovery 1 Steam **Boiler blow-**Exhaust absorber downs Steam -----3 Deaerator Condensate feed pump pump

Pipe length (m)	5.67					
Tube diameter (mm)	12					
Tube material	Copper					
Fins thickness (mm)	0.2					
Fin pitch (mm)	2.2					
Fin material	Aluminum					
A <sub>total</sub> (m <sup>2</sup> )	1023.12					
A <sub>fins</sub> (m <sup>2</sup> )	984.48					
L(m)	1132.95					
N <sub>fins</sub>	539500					
r <sub>fins</sub> (m)	0.018					
$\eta_{ m vent}$ (%)	70					

LMTD + NTU $Q = LMTD \cdot U \cdot A \cdot F$  $LMTD = \frac{(T_{hi} - T_{co}) - (T_{ho} - T_{ci})}{\ln\left(\frac{T_{hi} - T_{co}}{T_{ho} - T_{ci}}\right)} \qquad NTU = -R \cdot \ln\left(1 + \frac{\ln(1 - R \cdot P)}{R}\right)$  $P = \frac{T_{ho} - T_{hi}}{T_{ci} - T_{hi}}$  $F = \frac{ln\left(\frac{1-R\cdot P}{1-P}\right)}{NTU\cdot\left(\frac{1}{R}-1\right)}$ 

**Thermal Power Absorbed by Air**  $\dot{Q} = \dot{m}_c \cdot cp_c \cdot (T_{co} - T_{ci})$ 

**Thermal Power Transfered by Liquid** 

**Pressure Drop in Air Flow**  $\Delta P_c = Eu \cdot \rho_c \cdot v_c^2$ 

 $W_m = \Delta P_c \cdot \dot{V}_c$ **Power Consumed**  $W_e = \frac{W_m}{w_m}$ by Fans





 $\dot{Q} = \dot{m}_c \cdot cp_c \cdot (T_{co} - T_{ci})$ 

 $\eta_{fan}$ 

Case 4

50.90

44.10

35.10

44.56

18.06

51,241.2

26.13

0.80

86.36

141.91

2.41

Case 1 Case 2 Case 3				Case 4	l	Simulation A				Simulation B					
$T_a$ (°C)10.0217.8424.15 $T_{hi}$ (°C)25.1533.6233.91 $T_{ho}$ (°C)18.1026.3132.60 $\dot{V}_h$ (m³/h)18.0018.0518.06	24.15 33.91 32.60 18.06	15       35.10         91       50.90         60       43.91         06       18.06		INPU SET	<ul> <li>Inlet T of hot and cold fluids</li> <li>Outlet T of hot fluid</li> </ul>			INPU SET	<ul><li>Inlet T of hot and cold fluids</li><li>Mass flow of hot fluid</li></ul>						
$\dot{V}_c$ (m <sup>3</sup> /h)	51,239.8	51,240.0	51,240.2	51,240.3			Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4		Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Cas
VV <sub>e</sub> (KVV)	2.36	2.36	2.37	2.37		T <sub>hi</sub> (°C)	25.15	3°3.62	39.91	50.90	T <sub>hi</sub> (°C)	25.15	33.62	39.91	50.
[						T <sub>ho</sub> (°C)	18.10	26.31	32.60	43.91	T <sub>ho</sub> (°C)	18.50	26.87	32.89	44.
						T <sub>ci</sub> (°C)	10.02	17.84	24.15	35.10	T <sub>ci</sub> (°C)	10.02	17.84	24.15	35.
						T <sub>co</sub> (°C)	18.00	26.95	34.06	44.22	T <sub>co</sub> (°C)	18.90	26.69	33.83	44.
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		18.00	18.10	18.70	18.80		18.00	18.05	18.06	18.
						V <sub>c</sub> (m³/h)	51,238.3	51,238.9	51,239.2	51,239.9	V <sub>c</sub> (m³∕h)	51,238.1	51,239.0	51,240.0	51,24
						U (W/ m <sup>2</sup> K)	25.10	25.31	25.66	26.13	U (W/ m <sup>2</sup> K)	25.30	25.31	25.66	26.
					I 1	F	0.81	0.81	0.8	0.8	F	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.8
						$\eta_{fins}$	86.10	86.13	86.21	86.36	$\eta_{fins}$	86.10	86.13	86.21	86.
						Q (kW)	139.00	139.08	139.35	139.90	, Q (kW)	140.07	141.32	141.88	141
						W <sub>a</sub> (kW)	2.37	2.38	2.38	2.39	W <sub>e</sub> (kW)	2.39	2.40	2.41	2.4



RESULTS

The model developed for the simulation of the dry-coolers has been validated with the experimental results obtained in

the pilot plant. These results allowed us to contrast the design to later extrapolate the results to other working conditions.

The model of the dry system for the refrigeration of the HCT will be very useful for the development of a global model of the cycle and for the simulation under different working conditions. That will, be done in future works in order to improve the efficiency and the general performance of the technology.

This work has been supported by the project "Improvement of energy performance of the Hygroscopic Cycle for power production" PID2019-108325RB-I00/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 from the Agencia Estatal de Investigación - Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Spain; and "Severo Ochoa" grant program for training in research and teaching of the Principality of Asturias - Spain (BP20-176). The authors also want to acknowledge the contribution of the Spanish company IMATECH (Imasa Technologies), owner of the Hygroscopic cycle pilot plant, as well as the support from the University Institute of Industrial Technology of Asturias (IUTA), financed by the City Council of Gijón, Spain.





21<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Renewable Energies and Power Quality (ICREPQ'23) Madrid (Spain), 24<sup>th</sup> to 26<sup>th</sup> May 2023

