Modification of large microbial mat deformation structures before burial: 1 2 A modern case study 3 Lucía Maisano<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, I. Emma Quijada<sup>c</sup>, L. Ariel Raniolo<sup>a,d</sup> and Diana G. Cuadrado<sup>a,b</sup>. 4 5 Instituto Argentino de Oceanografía (IADO-CONICET-UNS), Florida 5000, 8000 Bahía 6 Blanca, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Imaisano@iado-conicet.gob.ar 7 <sup>b</sup> Departamento de Geología, Universidad Nacional del Sur, Av. Alem 1253, Cuerpo B´ 8 Piso 2º, 8000 Bahía Blanca, Buenos Aires, Argentina. cuadrado@criba.edu.ar 9 <sup>c</sup> Departamento de Geología, Universidad de Oviedo, C/ Jesús Arias de Velasco s/n, 10 33005 Oviedo, Spain. quijadaisabel@uniovi.es 11 <sup>d</sup> Departamento de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional del Sur, Alem 1253, Bahía Blanca, 12 Buenos Aires, Argentina. syariel@criba.edu.ar 13 \*Corresponding author: lmaisano@iado-conicet.gob.ar 14 Abstract 15 16 17 The presence of microorganisms gives remarkable plasticity and flexibility to wet sediments. Under these circumstances, a coherent microbial mat is developed, 18 which is loosely attached to the underlying sediment layer. These thick microbial 19 20 mats are capable of being lifted from the underlying sediments in response to high-energy events, such as currents and waves forming several types of 21 22 synsedimentary microbial mat deformation structures. This study analyses excellent examples of these structures developed in Paso Seco (Argentina), a 23

24 modern coastal flat colonized by microbial mats frequently inundated during storms, and the modifications that affect them before burial. To achieve this, the 25 hydrodynamic conditions in the coastal flat over time were interpreted from water 26 level records obtained with HOBO loggers. Field photographs were obtained to 27 document the microbial structures (such as flipped-overs, tear mats, roll-ups, mat 28 29 chips, and folds) covering the area after a high-energy event and over the course 30 of the following two years. Specifically, emphasise was put in roll-up structures 31 because of their large size. Sediment samples were extracted to make thin 32 sections for petrography analysis. Once the microbial structures are formed, they 33 show gradual changes caused by the hydrodynamic conditions that control the 34 sedimentary environment, and on several occasions, these changes are preserved in the sedimentary profile. Our observations and measurement of 35 modern sedimentary structures and the in-situ documentation of their evolution 36 37 may be helpful for the identification and interpretation of analogous sedimentary structures in the fossil record, which could have undergone similar modifications 38 prior to their burial. 39

40 Keywords: microbial mats, deformation structures, roll-up structures, erosion,

41 coastal environment

42

## 43 **1. Introduction**

44

As long as water is present, sediment is often colonized by microorganisms (cyanobacteria, diatoms and different bacteria) organized into aggregates called biofilms or forming microbial mats attached to the surface (Noffke et al., 2022). In supratidal areas, when seawater inundates sediments during storm events, an

49 epibenthic microbial mat can be formed as a coherent network forming a cohesive and leathery carpet, rich in organic matter and mucilaginous extracellular 50 polymers (EPS) (Decho, 2000; Pan et al., 2013). The filaments of cyanobacteria 51 and the ubiquitous EPS exuded by both, cyanobacteria and diatoms, entangle 52 and glue the sediment grains. That fact modifies the sediment rheology (Pan et 53 al., 2019) producing the increase of the erosional shear stress (Noffke and 54 55 Paterson, 2008). Hence, that biostabilization leads to the protection of the sedimentary surface against erosion caused by currents or waves. However, if 56 57 currents or waves are strong enough, the flexible and highly cohesive epibenthic 58 microbial mats are broken and deformed, producing microbial mat deformation 59 structures, which are a type of microbially-induced sedimentary structures (MISS). 60

61 In present-day environments, examples of microbial mat deformation structures (e.g. microbial folds, flipped-over edges, and roll-ups) have been documented 62 (Noffke, 2010; Bouougri and Porada, 2012; Cuadrado et al., 2015; Maisano et 63 al., 2019). The possibility of finding microbial mat deformation structures in the 64 65 fossil record has been proved by the identification of cm-wide roll-up structures in Late Archean sediments of Carawine Dolomite, Australia (Simonson and 66 Carney, 1999), in the Newland Formation in Mid- Proterozoic Belt Supergroup, 67 68 USA (Schieber, 1986, 1999), in Makgabeng Plateau (c. 2.0-1.8 Ga), Limpopo 69 Province, South Africa (Eriksson et al., 2007), and in the Dresser Formation (ca. 3.48 Ga), Western Australia (Noffke et al., 2013). However, the development of 70 metre-scale microbial structures, as well, in present-day environments (Maisano 71 et al., 2022) suggest that they could also be preserved in the fossil record. 72 73 Therefore, comprehension of the processes that occur from the deformation of

the microbial mat until the MISS is buried based on the study of present-day
examples is essential to infer the characteristics that they might show in the fossil
record if they are find out.

To achieve this, in the present study, large-scale roll-ups (up to 1 m in length x 77 0.5 m in width x 0.15 m in height) formed in a confined coastal environment during 78 79 storm surge events (Maisano et al., 2022) are studied. The aim of the study is to 80 analyse the modifications these large-scale primary sedimentary structures underwent after being formed and before burial for more than two years, and the 81 82 environmental processes involved in their physical changes during that time. The 83 study emphasizes the distinctive flexibility of the sediment layers due to an 84 epibenthic microbial mat and draw attention to some clues to help in their identification in the ancient record. The study of meter-size roll-up microbial 85 86 structures in the modern environment can provide answers to the formation process and preservation of some sedimentary structures in the fossil record 87 88 characterized by sediment layer deformation.

89

### 90 **2.** Study area

91 The study area, Paso Seco flat, is located in the south of Buenos Aires Province, 92 Argentina (40°33'S; 62°14W; Fig. 1). It is an old tidal channel that became 93 disconnected from the daily influence of tides by the formation of a coastal sand 94 spit at its entrance, due to the NE longshore sediment transport along the coast. 95 This progradation caused the present-day semi-confined character of the study area and the subaerial exposure of the bottom of the old tidal channel. The 96 passage of extratropical storms characterized by strong winds, combined with 97 98 spring tides, causes storm surges that affect the coast (Maisano et al., 2022).

During storm episodes, seawater overpasses the sand spit and generates a rapid
flooding of the sedimentary surface of Paso Seco, during which large-size
microbial mat deformation structures can be formed (Cuadrado et al., 2015;
Maisano et al., 2019).

103 The study area comprises a surface of 3.5 x 0.4 km, colonized by thick epibenthic 104 microbial mats that are typically cohesive and planar. These microbial mats 105 present plastic and flexible properties caused mainly by

106 cyanobacteria with a gliding motility feature (Cuadrado and Pan, 2018). The two 107 dominant species *Microcoleus chthonoplastes* and *Lyngbya* sp. are the main 108 microorganisms that provide remarkable coherence to the sedimentary flat. In 109 addition, the co-living microbial consortia forming the mats and their metabolic 110 products (i.e., extracellular polymeric substances, EPS) can also significantly 111 alter the rheology of coastal sediments (Pan et al., 2019).

112

## **3. Methods**

MISS were documented in Paso Seco after a storm characterized by strong winds 114 (up to 47 km h<sup>-1</sup>) during winter 2018 (June 23<sup>rd</sup>). To characterize the flooding 115 event produced by the storm and the subsequent evolution of the flat, the 116 117 hydrology was analysed by obtaining water level records with HOBO loggers 118 (Onset-model U20; 2.5 cm diameter, 15 cm length). One logger was located 40 119 cm below the tidal flat, in a vertically buried, perforated PVC pipe (the bottom of the pipe was covered by a permeable textile), to record the water level and water 120 temperature every 10 min. The other sensor was placed on the sediment surface 121 of the tidal flat to correct the data by atmospheric pressure. Field photographs 122 123 were obtained with a camera Nikon (model Coolpix P520 18.1-megapixels) and

a UAV (Karma® unmanned aerial vehicle) holding a GoPro 5 camera to 124 document the microbial structures covering the area after the storm of June 2018. 125 MISS such as roll-ups, flip-over edges, and fold structures were examined and 126 measured over a 5500 m<sup>2</sup> area. Special attention was paid to roll-up structures 127 (n=26), which were measured (length, width and height), sampled and crosscut 128 to analyse their structure. Sediment samples were extracted to make thin 129 130 sections that were obtained after impregnating them with a 4:1 ratio mixture of epoxy resin Dicast 867 and Discure 383 hardener. 35 thin sections of vertical 131 cores were petrographically studied under a Nikon EclipsePOL 50i transmitted-132 133 light microscope, coupled with a camera, using lenses with lower and 134 intermediate magnification. Two of the thin sections were selected to describe a roll-up structure in detail. 135

136

## 137 **4. Results**

### 138

#### 4.1 Roll-up structures: Development and Characteristics

This section includes a description of the hydrodynamic conditions and the main 139 microbial mat deformation structures in the study area before and during the 140 episodic flooding that occurred on June 2018 (red arrow, Fig. 2a). From March to 141 142 June 2018, the water level record showed only few inundations of less than 0.2 143 metres of water level over the flat (blue dashed line, Fig. 2a). Prior to the severe 144 storm that occurred in June 2018, the water level was near the surface (green line, Fig. 2a), and thus, the planar microbial sedimentary surface was wet and 145 146 only few sedimentary microbial structures were observed, such as levelled mat tears and flipped-over edges, and flattened folds (Fig. 2b). 147

148 During the storm in June 2018, which produced a flooding of more than 0.6 m of water column (red arrow, Fig. 2a), meter-sized microbial mat tears, roll-up 149 structures and mat folds were formed on the sedimentary flat over a 3.5 imes 0.4 150 km area (Fig. 2c, d). The mat tears presented different dimensions, between 3 151 and 8 m in length and 1-2 m in width (black dashed lines, Fig. 2d). They showed 152 sharp edges produced by the detachment of up to 1 cm of laminated sediment, 153 which corresponds to the surficial microbial mat, and left exposed old mat layers 154 155 (Fig. 3a-d). Mat tears were commonly associated with flipped-overs (10-50 cm 156 long) along the tear edges, produced by an upturn of 180° of the detached mat 157 (Fig. 3c, red arrow in Fig. 3d).

Roll-ups were formed by the rolling of more than 360° of teared mats by the water current (red arrows, Fig. 2d), which generated a flatten cylinder morphology, commonly oriented perpendicularly to the long axis of the flat and the water current direction (Fig. 4a).

The roll-up structure reached up to 1 m in length (white dashed line in Fig. 4b), 162 0.5 m in width (red dashed line in Fig. 4b), and more than 0.15 m in height (blue 163 dashed line in Fig. 4 c). In cross-section, the roll-up structures showed an elliptical 164 165 morphology (Fig. 4 c, d). The structures consisted of a very cohesive and flexible 166 piece of mm-thick (up to 1 cm) microbial mat rolled-up producing a spiral arrangement with several involutions. The number of involutions depended on 167 the length of the microbial mat detached and rolled-up (I, II, III in Fig. 4d). The top 168 of the roll-ups exposed the reverse surface of the overturned microbial mat, which 169 170 showed a rough appearance that corresponded to the ripped-out sedimentary substrate (old microbial mats; US in Fig. 4c). 171

Petrographic studies carried out in a piece of roll-up structure (Fig. 5a, b) showed 172 the flexure of several involutions of ~1 cm-thick microbial mat, separated by ~1 173 cm-thick sand layers. Thin sections reveal that the microbial mats consist of 174 biolaminites composed of repetitively alternating organic matter laminae with mud 175 (~ 100 µm-thick, green arrows in Fig. 5c), very thin micritic laminae (~ 100-200 176 µm-thick, red arrows in Fig. 5c) and sand laminae (~ 250-400 µm-thick, blue 177 178 arrows in Fig. 5c). The micritic laminae are composed of carbonate particles smaller than 4 µm. The calcite's high-order interference colour under cross-179 180 polarized light makes the identification of these laminae easy. The different 181 laminae have been deformed in a flexible manner and preserve the cohesion 182 between the sediment particles, as well as the original lamination.

- 183
- 184

## 4.2. Complex structures related to roll-up structures

Complex structures associated with roll-ups were developed in Paso Seco flat. This is the case of roll-up structures that, instead of showing a spiral internal layout of the folded mat (see Fig. 4c), presented a zig-zag arrangement of the folded mat (red dashed line, Fig. 6a). In addition, this structure was superimposed by thin surficial microbial mats (up to 0.5 cm thick) rolled-up in opposite directions on both sides of the roll-up (yellow arrows, Fig. 6a).

Abundant roll-ups presented accumulations of sediment and cm-sized mat chips on the stoss side of the roll-up structure (red arrow in Fig. 6b). Some roll-up structures were associated with a fold structure at the back of the roll-up (red arrow in Fig. 6c). The length of the fold may be larger than the roll-up length.

Several MISS may co-occur, forming very complex structures, such as the one
shown in Fig. 6d. This structure was composed of a tear (2 m in length in Fig. 6d)

rolled-up to form a thick roll-up structure with several involutions (red dashed line in Fig. 6d). Moreover, a wider tear with associated flipped-overs was formed around the roll-up (yellow dashed line in Fig. 6d). In addition, a fold was developed at the back of the roll-up (blue arrows in Fig. 6d). Microbial mat chips and sediment were present on the stoss side of the roll-up (green arrows in Fig. 6d).

203

*4.3. Evolution of MISS* 

205

206 The evolution of the deformational MISS through time (more than two years) has been analysed by documenting the changes in the morphology of some of the 207 MISS produced during the flooding of June 2018, in relation to the inundations 208 and subaerial exposure of the sedimentary flat (Fig. 7a). Two days after their 209 210 creation (June 2018, red arrow in Fig. 7a), a couple of roll-up structures were 211 documented (Fig. 7b). 40 days later, after three subsequent inundations of nearly 30 cm of water column height (P1 in Fig. 7a), the structures were identified with 212 similar morphology (Fig. 7c). The two roll-ups were detected in April 2019 when 213 the morphology of the structures was highly flattened and eroded at the top (Fig. 214 215 7d). Prior to this field trip of April 2019, the hydrodynamics during the end of 2018 216 was characterized by several minor inundations (less than 20 cm) and several days/weeks of subaerial exposure (first part of Period P2 in Fig. 7a). During 217 218 Austral summer (ending of Period P2 in Fig. 7a) the area was subaerially exposed for most of the time, as the water level was below the sedimentary surface, except 219 for some days at the end of February and beginning of March when an inundation 220 221 occurred.

222 Another structure monitored for more than a year was a double flipped-over edge more than 50 cm in length (red arrow in Fig. 7e) associated with a microbial fold 223 (green arrow in Fig. 7e). Both structures presented high flexibility and were 224 subsequently biostabilized over the following weeks after their creation, when the 225 flat remained inundated (P1, Fig. 7e). From August to December 2018, a period 226 227 in which the sediment surface was repetitively subaerially exposed and affected 228 by inundations of less than 0.2 m of water (first part of P2 in Fig. 7a), the structures were smoothed, the surficial layers were partially eroded, and the 229 230 microbial fold was flattened (Fig. 7e, f). Since December, the structures suffered 231 an extended period of subaerial exposure due to summer solar radiation (from 232 December 2018 to March 2019, P2 in Fig. 7a), and several floodings during winter 2019 (P3 in Fig. 7A). In August 2019, the upper part of the microbial fold was 233 eroded (white arrow, Fig. 7g, h) and the erosion of the flipped-over mat was more 234 advanced. 235

236 In August 2020, the microbial sedimentary surface still exhibited roll-up structures formed during the storm in June 2018, although significantly eroded (Fig. 8a). The 237 238 partial erosion caused the truncation of the microbial roll-ups, which produced the disappearance of the upper part of the structures and the preservation of only the 239 240 lower part and the mat bent edges, perpendicular to the sedimentary surface (red 241 arrow in Fig. 8b- c). In transversal cross-section, the eroded roll-up structures 242 consist of up to 50 cm-long segments of planar microbial mats parallel to the sedimentary surface and curved in their extremes, with sand sediment between 243 them (Fig. 8 d, e). The hydrologic record during the previous 10 months indicates 244 that the sedimentary surface was affected by several inundations and long 245 periods of subaerial exposure (nearly 60 days in March-April 2020, Fig. 8f). 246

During prolonged periods of subaerial exposure, especially in summer, the intense wind typical in Paso Seco would provoke the deflation of the most surficial layers of the dried roll-ups (video 1).

250

### **5.** Discussion

252

253 Paso Seco provides an excellent modern example of the changes that microorganisms can cause to the sediment in terms of flexibility, and allows the 254 255 study of the modifications to which microbial deformation structures are subject 256 after their creation and before burial. The epibenthic microbial mats developed in 257 Paso Seco present ~1 cm in thickness and consist on a biolaminated structure composed of mm to cm-thick layers of cohesive material (organic matter and 258 mud) separated by sandy layers, where older mat generations are overgrown by 259 younger ones (definition of biolaminites by Gerdes and Krumbein, 1987). An 260 important feature of these epibenthic mats is that their microbial assemblages are 261 characterized by a large number of filamentous cyanobacteria that weave the 262 263 sediment secreting high amounts of EPS, which favors their gliding motility (Stal, 2001) and forming an effective protection against desiccation during long 264 exposure to solar radiation. Moreover, the EPS generates adhesion between 265 266 sediment particles and has high viscoelastic properties, conferring physical 267 flexibility and mechanical resiliency to the microbial mats (Peterson et al., 2015). The remarkable plasticity of the mats in wet conditions due to the presence of 268 EPS (Decho and Gutierrez, 2017) is crucial to mat deformation when a water 269 270 current is applied to the microbial mats.

271 When this coherent microbial mat is overpressed by a water column, it is loosely attached to the underlying sandy layer. As a result, the surficial microbial mat may 272 be lifted from the underlying sediments in response to high-energy events, such 273 as currents and waves (Maisano et al., 2022). The detached microbial mat is 274 pushed by the currents and reacts in a flexible mode due to its high cohesion, 275 276 forming different MISS. The plastic behaviour during deformation varies on the 277 amount of organic matter and humidity of the microbial mat (Pan et al., 2019). Thus, when they are wet, they present higher plastic performance than when they 278 279 are dry.

280 In the study area, the development of microbial structures is influenced by 281 geomorphology. Towards the coast, a narrow mouth (Fig. 1b) yields the acceleration of flood currents entering from the sea and generates high-velocity 282 currents. These currents enter the old tidal channel and act on the microbial mats 283 (Maisano et al., 2022), and one of the largest MISS, the roll-ups, might be 284 created. They are of remarkable dimensions because of the tearing of the 285 cohesive microbial mat over long distances (up to eight meters). In cross-section, 286 287 roll-ups present generally an elliptical shape probably because they were flattened by the weight of their own structure (Fig. 4c). On some occasions, a 288 microbial fold may form at the back of a roll-up structure when the structure 289 290 becomes so heavy that the water current cannot continue rolling it. Then, all the 291 roll-up structure is pushed a bit further by the current, sliding over the underlying sedimentary surface and forming a fold at the back of the roll-up (Fig. 6c). Even 292 more complex structures may be formed when the microbial mat is ripped 293 producing a wider tear around a roll-up structure (Fig. 6d). Furthermore, 294

subsequent inundations may produce modifications to previous microbial
structures (Fig. 6a).

In addition, roll-up structures behave as an obstacle to the sand and mat chips that are transported by the current. Therefore, these sediments are deposited on the stoss side of the roll-up (Fig. 6d). The association of a fold at the back of a roll-up structure and the accumulation of sand and microbial mat chips on the stoss side are hints to infer the current direction that produced the MISS.

After their formation, MISS may show gradual flattening (Fig. 7d, f) due to the 302 303 weight of the structure, and can be affected by erosional events, such as aeolian 304 deflation during subaerial exposure and water currents during subsequent lower-305 energy inundations (less than 30 cm of water column, Fig. 7b-d, g-h, 8a-d). The erosion is promoted by the desiccation of the roll-ups due to solar radiation and 306 by subsequent blowing out of the desiccated surficial fragments (see video 1). 307 The repetitive erosional processes end up truncating the structures (Fig. 8c, e) 308 but the lower part of them can be preserved. The truncated roll-ups may be 309 310 identified in cross-sections due to the presence of flexible microbial mats with 311 curved ends oriented perpendicularly to the sediment surface (Fig. 8c, e).

Deformation features have been described in thinly-laminated, muddy-sandy, 312 ancient sediments and have been interpreted as caused by the flexible behaviour 313 314 of microbial mats. Roll-ups of few millimetres to centimetres were documented by 315 Eriksson et al. (2000, 2007) in deposits interpreted as formed in a desert environment (Makgabeng Formation, South Africa, c. 2.0-1.8 Ga). They have an 316 excellent preservation of the whole structure in the rock. The inferred genesis 317 proposed by the authors to the observed structures is different from the roll-ups 318 documented here, but still they ascribed them to deformation of cohesive 319

320 microbial mats. Noffke et al. (2013) described small (~ 3 cm in width), rolled-up fragments of sediments in early Archean intertidal deposits of Australia, and 321 interpreted them as pieces of microbial mats with flexible behaviour that were 322 ripped off, transported and re-deposited. Such structures presented a zig-zag 323 arrangement (see Fig.7b in Noffke et al., 2013) like the morphology shown by 324 some of the roll-ups described in Paso Seco (red dashed line, Fig. 6a). Besides, 325 326 in late Archean to Paleoproterozoic carbonate sediments of Western Australia, Simonson and Carney (1999) documented small (up to  $\sim 2$  cm in width) roll-up 327 328 structures closely associated with other types of structures, such as folds. 329 Although the authors were not able to offer a full explanation of the genesis of the 330 structure, they related them with the occurrence of cohesive microbial mats.

The above ancient examples show many morphologic similarities with the 331 structures described in the current study, suggesting that microbial deformation 332 structures may be preserved in the fossil record. However, all the microbial 333 deformation structures described in the aforementioned ancient successions are 334 much smaller than the ones formed in Paso Seco and they are entirely preserved. 335 336 This is probably because smaller structures may be buried faster. Nevertheless, larger deformation structures, such as the roll-ups of Paso Seco, might potentially 337 occur in the fossil record but truncated because their large height makes them 338 339 more prone to erosion. The characteristics that would allow to recognize entirely 340 preserved roll-ups in cross-section of ancient successions would be the identification of discrete structures that have no lateral continuity and consist of 341 an up to 1 cm-thick set of fine-grained laminae that are overturned more than 342 360° creating a spiral shape. In the case that the roll-up had been partially 343 truncated before burial, its identification in the geological record would be more 344

difficult. The presence of the same up to 1 cm-thick set of laminae stacked on top
of each other multiple times at a specific location and with upwards curled edges
would allow the recognition of truncated roll-ups in cross sections of ancient
successions.

349 Considering that microbial deformation structures may be preserved in the fossil record, the possibility that the presence of soft-sediment deformation structures 350 351 might be related with microbial mat development and deformation should be considered when interpreting ancient successions. In this sense, Varejão et al. 352 353 (2022), studying, mixed carbonate-siliciclastic successions deposited in 354 lacustrine settings with sea incursions (Early Cretaceous, Araripe Basin, Brazil) 355 that contain abundant soft-sediment deformation structures (SSDS), interpreted some SSDS as the result of the deformation of microbial mats, caused by periodic 356 flooding and desiccation. The SSDS ascribed by Varejão et al. (2022) to a 357 microbial origin have similar dimensions and characteristics to the microbial 358 deformation structures present in Paso Seco. Thus, despite the difficulties for 359 interpreting the triggering agent that produced SSDS in the fossil record, the 360 361 studies that document the plastic and flexible characteristics of microbial mats in the modern counterparts should be considered when trying to interpret this type 362 of structures. These studies might provide clues for understand the sedimentary 363 364 processes involved in their formation in the past.

365

## 366 **6. Conclusion**

367

The present study evidences the cohesiveness and great flexibility that microbial mats confer to sediment, allowing the development of deformational MISS in a

370 siliciclastic environment due to high-energy currents. The hydrodynamic characteristics of Paso Seco flat provide the proper conditions for the 371 development of metre-scale microbial roll-up structures, which are the most 372 striking deformational MISS in the study area due to their size, and other related 373 complex microbial structures. These characteristics are: alternation of calm 374 periods, during which microbial mats biostabilise the sediment, and flooding 375 376 episodes during storm surges, during which the microbial mats can be deformed. This research explains the physical changes of the microbial structures over time 377 378 once they are formed and before burial. After the structures are created they 379 remain inundated for an extended period (days), during which they are 380 recolonised and biostabilised by a new mat. Afterwards, the flat is repetitively affected by minor inundations and subaerially exposed, which cause the 381 smoothing of the structures and the erosion of the surficial layers by solar 382 radiation and wind effect. This fact generates the truncation of the structures. 383 However, the lower part can be preserved, which might be observable in a vertical 384 section of the flat as planar microbial mats curved at their extremes. Similar 385 386 sedimentary structures characterized by sediment layer deformation are recognized in the fossil record, evidencing the preservation potential of 387 deformational MISS and highlighting the possible application of the observations 388 389 in present-day microbial settings to interpret ancient successions.

390 Acknowledgments

We thank the staff of the UNS/INGEOSUR Petronomy Laboratory for the skillful preparation of thin sections. The authors are grateful to the editor Dr. Catherine Chagué, and the reviewers Dr. Paula Leonowicz and Dr. Bruce Simonson for their careful and thoughtful reviews. This work was supported by ANPCyT (PICT

2019) and SECYT-UNS (grant number PGI 24/H138) awarded to DGC, the 395 International Association of Sedimentology (IAS, Post-Doctoral Research Grant) 396 awarded to LM, the Spanish Department of Science, Innovation and Universities 397 (project PGC2018-094034-B-C21 and José Castillejo grants CAS17/00270 and 398 CAS21/00123) awarded to IEQ. Lucia Maisano: Conceptualization, Data 399 400 curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and 401 editing. I. Emma Quijada: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Visualization, Resources, Writing - review and editing. L. Ariel Raniolo: 402 403 Investigation, Resources, Writing review. Diana Graciela Cuadrado: 404 Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project 405 administration, Resources, Writing - review and editing.

## 406 Data availability

- 407 Datasets related to this article can be found at
- 408 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.17632/b6wdr3phnr.1</u>, an open-source online data repository
- 409 hosted at Mendeley Data (Cuadrado Diana, 2021).

410

## 411 **References**

412

Bouougri, E.H., Porada, H., 2012. Wind-induced mat deformation structures in recent tidal flats and sabkhas of SE-Tunisia and their significance for environmental interpretation of fossil structures. Sedimentary Geology 263, 56-66.

| 418 | Cuadrado, D.G., Pan, J., Gómez, E.A., Maisano, L., 2015. Deformed microbial      |
|-----|--|
| 419 | mat structures in a semiarid temperate coastal setting. Sedimentary Geology      |
| 420 | 325, 106-118.  |
| 421 |  |
| 422 | Cuadrado, D.G., Pan, J., 2018. Field observations on the evolution of reticulate |

423 patterns in microbial mats in a modern siliciclastic coastal environment. Journal

425

424

- 426 Decho, A.W., 2000. Microbial biofilms in intertidal systems: an 427 overview. Continental Shelf Research 20, 1257-1273.
- 428

429 Decho, A.W., Gutierrez, T., 2017. Microbial Extracellular Polymeric Substances

430 (EPSs) in Ocean Systems. Frontiers in Microbiology 8, 922.
431 <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00922</u>

432

433 Eriksson, P.G., Simpson, E.L., Eriksson, K.A., Bumby, A.J., Steyn, G.L., Sarkar,

434 S., 2000. Muddy Roll-up Structures in Siliciclastic Interdune Beds of the c. 1.8 Ga

435 Waterberg Group, South Africa. Palaios 15, 177–183.

of Sedimentary Research 88, 24-37

436

437 Eriksson, P.G., Porada, H., Banerjee, S., Bouougri, E., Sarkar, S., Bumby, P.J.,

438 2007. Mat-destruction features. In: Schieber, J., Bose, P.K., Eriksson, P.G.,

Banerjee, S., Sarkar, S., Altermann, W., Catuneanu, O. (Eds.), Atlas of Microbial

- 440 Mat Features Preserved within the Clastic Rock Record. Atlases in Geoscience
- 441 2. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 76–105.
- 442

| 443 | Gerdes, G., Krumbein, W.E., 1987. Biolaminated deposits. In: Battacharii, S.,    |
|-----|--|
| 444 | Friedman, G.M., Neugebauer, H.J., Seilacher, A., (Eds.), Lecture Notes in Earth  |
| 445 | Sciences 9, Springer, Berlin, 183 pp.  |
| 446 |  |
| 447 | Maisano, L., Cuadrado, D.G., Gómez, E.A., 2019. Processes of MISS-formation      |
| 448 | in a modern siliciclastic tidal flat, Patagonia (Argentina). Sedimentary Geology |

449 **381**, **1-12**.

450

- 451 Maisano, L., Stempels Bautista, C., Cuadrado, D. G., Piccolo, M. C., Raniolo, L.
- 452 A., Gómez, E. A., 2022. Microbially induced sedimentary structures (MISS)
- 453 generated by episodic storm surges in a temperate coast. Marine Geology 448,
- 454 106813. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2022.106813

455

- 456 Noffke, N., 2010. Geobiology: Microbial Mats in Sandy Deposits from the Archean
- 457 Era to Today. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 200 pp.

458

- 459 Noffke, N., Paterson, D., 2008. Microbial interactions with physical sediment
- 460 dynamics, and their significance for the interpretation of Earth's biological history.
- 461 Geobiology 6, 1-4.
- Noffke, N., Christian, D., Wacey, D., Hazen, R.M., 2013. Microbially induced
  sedimentary structures recording an ancient ecosystem in the ca. 3.48 billionyear-old Dresser Formation, Pilbara, Western Australia. Astrobiology 13, 11031124.

466

| 467 | Noffke, N., Beraldi-Campesi, H., Callefo, F., Carmona, N.B., Cuadrado, D.G.,       |
|-----|--|
| 468 | Hickman-Lewis, K., Xiao, S., 2022. Treatise Online no.162: Part b, Volume 2,       |
| 469 | chapter 5: Microbially Induced Sedimentary Structures (MISS). Treatise Online.     |
| 470 | https://doi.org/10.17161/to.vi.18111   |
| 471 |  |
| 472 | Pan, J., Bournod, C. N., Pizani, N. V., Cuadrado, D. G., Carmona, N. B., 2013.     |
| 473 | Characterization of microbial mats from a siliciclastic tidal flat (Bahía Blanca   |
| 474 | estuary, Argentina). Geomicrobiology Journal 30, 665-674.                          |
| 475 |  |
| 476 | Pan, J., Perillo, V.L., Cuadrado, D.G., 2019. Quantification of microbial mat      |
| 477 | response to physical disruption in siliciclastic sediments. Estuarine, Coastal and |

Shelf Science, 230, 106434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2019.106434 478

479

Peterson, B.W., He, Y., Ren, Y., Zerdoum, A., Libera, M.R., Sharma, P.K., 480 Busscher, H.J., 2015. Viscoelasticity of biofilms and their recalcitrance to 481 mechanical and chemical challenges. FEMS Microbiology Reviews 39, 234-245. 482 483

Schieber, J., 1986. The possible role of benthic microbial mats during the 484 formation of carbonaceous shales in shallow Mid-Proterozoic 485 basins. Sedimentology 33, 521-536. 486

487 Schieber, J., 1999. Microbial mats in terrigenous clastics; the challenge of 488 identification in the rock record. Palaios 14, 3-12.

489

Simonson, B.M., Carney, K.E., 1999. Roll-up structures; evidence of in situ 490 microbial mats in late Archean deep shelf environments. Palaios 14, 13-24. 491

- 493 Stal, L. J., 2001. Coastal microbial mats: the physiology of a small-scale
- ecosystem. South African Journal of Botany 67, 399-410.
- 495

492

- Varejão, F.G., Warren, L.V., Simões, M.G., Cerri, R.I., Alessandretti, L., Santos,
  M.G.M., Assine, M.L., 2022. Evaluation of distinct soft-sediment deformation
  triggers in mixed carbonate-siliciclastic systems: Lessons from the Brazilian PreSalt analogue Crato Formation (Araripe Basin, NE Brazil). Marine and Petroleum
  Geology 140, 105673. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2022.105673
- 501
- 502 Captions
- 503

Figure 1. Location of Paso Seco flat. (a) Satellite image showing the study area behind a sand spit (blue square). (b) Close-up view of the study area (blue square in a). The orange mark indicates the location of the water level sensor. Image extracted from Google Earth

Figure 2. Hydrodynamics and aerial images of the study area. (a) Water level 508 record from March 2018 to July 2018 (green line) relative to the microbial mat 509 510 surface (red line). Water level above the microbial mats indicates inundations and below the red line indicates groundwater variations and exposing of mat surface. 511 512 Red arrow indicates the flooding of June 2018. (b) Appearance of the study area before the storm episode in June 2018. (c-d) Aerial images of the study site two 513 days after the flooding in June 2018. (c) Meter-sized roll-ups formed at the end 514 515 of the ripped microbial mats (red arrows). Black circle points to a person for scale. 516 (d) An orthogonal aerial photograph showing long microbial tears (black dashed

lines) that are rolled up in the direction of the flood current (red arrows). 1-2 mlong microbial folds (yellow dashed line) were created in random directions.

Figure 3. Mat tears and associated flipped-over edges. (a) View of an exposed 519 mat layer (old mat layer) after a ripped mat tear. There is a sharp edge (~ 1cm) 520 at the tear margin. (b) Close-up view of a desiccated tear. The biolamination in 521 the tear edge can be observed (arrow). (c) Irregular edges at the margin of a tear 522 that leave exposed several layers of microbial mats underneath. (d) Close view 523 of c). Old mat layers are exposed (mat I is the oldest and mat V the youngest). 524 Part of the tear edge is flipped over (white dashed line), exposing the underlying 525 526 sediment (red arrow).

527 Figure 4. (a) Roll-up schematic diagram. (b) Roll-up acting as a barrier for landward transport of sediment and microbial mat chips. The direction of the 528 water current is pointed (red arrow). The structure showed 0.7 m in length (yellow 529 dashed line) and 0.3 m in width (red dashed line). (c) Vertical section of a roll-up 530 structure composed of several involutions of mm-thick, very cohesive and flexible 531 microbial mat. The uppermost surface of the structure shows the sediment 532 attached to the lower surface of the overturned mat (US). (d) Drawing of B 533 indicating the subsequent involutions (I, II, III). 534

Figure 5. Petrography of a roll-up structure. (a) Sample of a roll-up structure from which a thin section was prepared. The yellow dashed rectangle shows the location of the thin section. (b) A magnifying glass image of the thin section from (A). The yellow dashed square shows the area shown in c). (c) Thin section photomicrograph under cross-polarized light of the rolled-up microbial mat shown in (b), which consists of alternating sand (blue arrows), mud + organic matter (green arrows) and micritic laminae (red arrows).

542 Figure 6. Characteristics of roll-ups structures. (a) Vertical section of a roll-up structure showing a zig-zag arrangement (red dashed line). Surficial thin 543 microbial mats are turned to opposite directions by subsequent currents (yellow 544 arrows). (b) A roll-up structure acting as an obstacle for the transport of sand and 545 microbial mat chips (red arrows). mm indicates microbial mat; us indicates the 546 reverse of the overturned mat. (c) A roll-up developed over the flat. The structure 547 548 is associated with a fold developed in the back the roll-up (red arrow). (d) A complex structure formed in two stages: i) a tear of 2 m in length forming a roll-549 550 up structure (RU) with several involutions (red dashed line); ii) a fold developed 551 in the back of the roll-up (F, blue arrows) due to the slip of the surficial mat over 552 which the roll-up was transported. The current (black arrow) made the tear wider (yellow arrows) around the roll-up. Flipped-over edges (FO, yellow dashed line 553 and yellow curved arrow) were developed at the sides of the roll-up structure. The 554 roll-up structure acted as an obstacle for the sediment transport and microbial 555 mat chips (SS, MC, green arrow). UMM indicates underlying microbial mat. 556

557

Figure 7. Evolution of MISS. (a) Water level record from June 2018 to November 558 2019 (green line) relative to the sedimentary surface (red line). The great 559 560 inundation in June 2018 (more than 0.7 m of column water) is indicated by a red 561 arrow. Yellow circles indicate the field trips. P1, P2, and P3 indicate periods between field trips when different structures were monitored. The blue dashed 562 line indicates inundations of 0.2 m. (b) A couple of roll-up structures created by 563 the inundation of June 2018. (c) Same structures shown in b) 40 days after the 564 inundation. (d) Structures shown in b) ten months after the inundation. (e) A 565 flipped-over edge (red arrow) and a microbial fold (green arrow) created during 566

the inundation in June 2018. (f) The MISS showed in e) six months after their creation. (g) The MISS showed in e) 14 months after their creation. The white arrow indicates the truncated fold. (h) Close view of g).

Figure 8. Identification of MISS in a vertical section. (a) Roll-up structure formed 570 in June 2018 progressively eroded. (b) Close view of a). The red arrow indicates 571 the bent edges of the previous roll-up structure perpendicularly oriented to the 572 tidal flat. (c, d, e) Cross-sections of eroded roll-ups showing bended extremes of 573 microbial mats, truncated at the surface. Microbial mats are the mud layers (light 574 575 colour) that are intercalated with sand layers (dark colour). (f) Water level record 576 from November 2019 to August 2020 (green line). The red line indicates the sediment surface, and the blue dashed line indicates seawater inundations of 0.2 577 578 m. The orange line shows a long period when the flat was subaerially exposed.

579 Video 1. A video shows the flat's behavior when it is exposed to prolonged 580 periods of solar radiation and subaerial exposure, especially in summer. Note the 581 intense wind which provoke the deflation of the most surficial layers of the dried 582 roll-ups.

Highlights:

Meter-sized roll-up structures were formed on a sedimentary flat during storms

MISS evidence the high flexibility of the microbial mats

Repetitive erosional processes end up truncating the microbial structures



















Video 1

Click here to access/download Supplementary material for on-line publication only video1\_F.mp4

# **Declaration of interests**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: