1	Resveratrol loaded Pickering emulsions stabilized by OSA modified
2	rice starch granules
3	M. Matos <sup>1</sup> , A. Marefati <sup>2</sup> , P. Barrero <sup>1</sup> , M. Rayner <sup>2</sup> , G. Gutiérrez <sup>1</sup>
4 5	<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of Oviedo, Julián Clavería 8, 33006 Oviedo, Spain
6 7	<sup>2</sup> Department of Food Technology, Engineering, and Nutrition, Lund University, P.O. Box 124, SE 221 00 Lund, Sweden

### 8 Abstract

9 Resveratrol is a photosensitive, bioactive molecule which has received increasing research 10 interest during the past decade for its antioxidant properties. However, it has low solubility in 11 water or common triglyceride oils. Resveratrol solubilization in oil can only be achieved in 12 essential oils, such as flavour oil, but the stability of emulsions produced with this type of oils is 13 low as they are prone to creaming phenomena and Oswald ripening.

In this study, resveratrol was first dissolved in orange oil which was mixed into a medium chain triglyceride (Miglyol) at different ratios and used as the internal phase of oil-in-water emulsions (O/W). The emulsions were stabilized by Octenyl Succinic Anhydride (OSA) modified rice starch granules using two different ratios of starch particle:oil to study the influence of interfacial coverage on final emulsion droplet size and emulsion stability.

The study indicated stable Pickering emulsions could be prepared using OSA-modified rice starch granules even at partial coverage conditions. Emulsions prepared at an oil fraction of 0.5 using 30% v/v mixture of orange oil:Miglyol as the dispersed phase seemed to be an appropriate resveratrol carrier system, obtaining encapsulation efficiency values close to 90% which results in emulsions with a resveratrol concentration of 8.45 mg/L. Hence, the emulsions prepared are suitable for food fortification applications.

Keywords: Resveratrol, Pickering emulsions, Rice starch granules, Encapsulation, Orange oil,
 Emulsion stability

## 27 **1. Introduction**

Resveratrol is a natural polyphenol, produced by plants, that is primarily found in the seeds and the skin of grapes and red wine, but it is also present in nuts and berries. Resveratrol shows geometric isomerism, but only trans-resveratrol (trans-3,4,5-trihydroxystilbene), the most abundant form in nature, appears to have biological effects (Hung, et al.,2000, Howitz et al., 2003,Krist, et al., 2009, Machado, et al., 2019). Resveratrol can be considered as a novel nutraceutical which can be used as an ingredient in functional foods to provide consumers with a 34 wide range of benefits such as anti-cancer activity, cardio-protection, antioxidant activity, and

anti-inflammatory activity among others (Machado et al., 2019, Murtaza et al., 2013, Ruivo et al.,

2015, Yang et al., 2014, Saiko et al., 2008). Although resveratrol is present in several foods, due

- to its low solubility in water and common triglycerides, its absorption is limited (Murtaza et al.,
- **38** 2013, Novelle et al., 2015). In addition,

resveratrol has low chemical stability when exposed to light, high temperature, pH changes, and
some enzymes (Machado et al., 2019, Silva et al, 2013)

Microencapsulationis an approach to increase bioavailability and protect unstable bioactive compounds. Microencapsulation is a process where the bioactive compound is retained within an encapsulating matrix or membrane. These systems consist of a semipermeable or non-permeable spherical and strong membrane surrounding a solid or liquid core. Emulsification is one of the common microencapsulation techniques used to encapsulate bioactive compounds (Agustin et al., 2009).

47 Emulsification involves mixing at least two immiscible phases (usually oil and water), where one 48 is dispersed in another as droplets. Since this mixture is thermodynamically unstable, the dispersed droplets tend to merge (coalesce) which in turn will result in destabilization through 49 phase separation. Therefore, the interface between emulsion droplets needs to be stabilized by 50 51 some type of amphiphilic or surface-active compounds, such as surfactants, biopolymers, andparticles. The emulsion droplets can be used to encapsulate bioactive compounds for several 52 53 industrial applications as cosmetics, food, and pharmaceutical products (Shi et al., 2020; Tang 54 2020, Matos et al., 2018a).

55 Particle stabilized emulsion, also known as Pickering emulsions, have demonstrated long-term stability against destabilization mechanisms such a coalescence and Ostwald ripening. When 56 57 particles are at the interface are closely packed, capillary forces are formed between the two 58 adjacent particles at the same droplet interface what leads to the formation of interfacial pressure 59 that impedes mass transfer across the interface which in turn, arrest Ostwald ripening (Matos et 60 al., 2017; Schröder et al., 2017). Moreover, particle-stabilized emulsions have high 61 biocompatibility compared to emulsions ; stabilized by surfactants (Binks, 2001, Matos, et al., 62 2016, Marefati., et al., 2017, Marefati, et al. 2018, Albert al., 2019, Tang et al., 2019).

63 Starch particles have been extensively studied for application as Pickering emulsifiers (Timgren,
64 et al., 2011, Yusoff, & Murray, 2011). Particles should have a partial affinity for both phases (oil

and water) to have efficient stabilizing properties. Since starch particles are naturally hydrophilic,

66 they need to be modified to optimize their emulsifying efficiency. Starch esterification with

67 dicarboxylic acids to give Octenyl Succinic Anhydride(OSA) is found to be ne of the more

effective approaches (Rayner, et al., 2012, Timgren, et al., 2011). A recent study by Marefati and Rayner (2020) has reported that Pickering emulsions stabilized by OSA modified quinoa starch granules remained stable for 8 years with no sign of coalescence. However, quinoa starch is not commercially available which makes other types of starch, such as rice or maize, to have a clear advantage since they are commercially available. Timgren et al., 2011 and Marefati et al, 2018, had studied the emulsification properties of several starches and had demonstrated that after quinoa rice was the type of starch that presented better stabilizing properties.

Previously, resveratrol has been encapsulated in nanovesicles (Pando, et al. 2013). Furthermore, double emulsions have been used for this purpose, in which resveratrol was encapsulated in the inner aqueous droplets (Matos et al., 2015, Matoset al., 2018b, Gan et al., 2019, Santos, et al. 2019, Shao, et al. 2019). These systems present a clear limitation since the aqueous core can have the potential affinity to swell and leak into the external aqueous phase (Khadem, et al., 2019).

The encapsulation of resveratrol in oil-in-water emulsions has not been largely studied, probably due to the low oil solubility of the resveratrol. Recent works have been conducted using orange oil as the oil phase to encapsulate resveratrol obtaining promising results (Davidov-Pardo & McClements, 2015), with solubilities up to 0.13 mg/g, which is higher than the resveratrol solubility in pure water (0.03 mg/g).

85 However, emulsion stability was shown to be not very high when orange oil was used as dispersed phase (Davidov-Pardo & McClements, 2015, Karthik, et al. 2019, Meroni,&Raikos, 2018). The 86 87 main reason for this poor emulsion stability is the water solubility of some orange oil components 88 that favours Oswald ripening phenomena which have found to be decreased by the use of other 89 hydrophobic compounds in combination with the orange oil (Lim et al. 2011, Meroni & Raikos, 2018, Davidov-Prado & McClements 2015). In all these studies the concentration of orange oil 90 91 wasfound to be very small (5-10% of internal phase), therefore, the knowledge regarding the effect of higher concentration of orange oil on the emulsion stability is scarce. Moreover, oil-in-92 93 water emulsions used in previous studies as resveratrol carrier presented low oil fraction phase 94 (10-30% of internal phase), which makes the final resveratrol concentration low despite the high 95 encapsulation efficiency(Lim et al. 2011, Davidov-Pardo & McClements, 2015, Matos, et al., 96 2018a, Matoset al., 2018b).

97 In the present work, the effect of increasing the internal phase concentration ofoil-in.water 98 emulsions formulated using a mixture of orange: Miglyol as oil phase and OSA-rice starch 99 granules as stabilizer was explored up to their colloidal stability limit using several internal oil 100 fractions, particles: oil concentration and ratios of orange: Miglyol. Emulsions were characterized 101 in terms of emulsion droplet size, emulsion stability, and encapsulation efficiency.

### 102 **2. Material and methods**

#### 103 **2.1.** *Materials*

104 Resveratrol (purity is 99%, CAS 501-36-0, MW=228,24 g/mol) was provided by Sigma Aldrich 105 (USA). The dispersed phase of the emulsions prepared was a mixture of orange oil from Sigma-106 Aldrich (USA) and Miglyol 812 from Sasol GmbH (Germany).Native starch granules from rice 107 grains were supplied by Lyckeby-Culinar AB, Sweden. Starch particles wereOSA-modified with 108 a degree of substitution of 2.7% using the method described by Rayner et al. (Rayner at al., 2012). 109 As an external continuous phase, for all the emulsions 0.1 M NaCl deionized water solution was 110 used. The presence of 0.1M NaCl in the aqueous phase was because it has been suggested that 111 the presence of electrolytes lowers the attractive force between water droplets, decreasing the 112 dielectric constant of the aqueous phase and therefore reducing collision frequency (Márquez et al., 2010; Frasch-Melnik et al., 2010; Frasch-Melnik, Spyropoulos et al., 2010; Matos et al., 2018, 113 114 Matos et al., 2015). NaCl, methanol of HPLC-grade and absolute ethanol were purchased from 115 Sigma Aldrich (USA).

## 116 2.2. Emulsion formulation and preparation

117 2.2.1. Formulation with varying concentration of starch

118 To determine the full coverage conditions, emulsions were prepared at different ratios of 119 particle:oil varying theOSA-modified rice starch concentrations in the range 100-1000 mg/g (mg 120 of starch/g oil). The oil volume factions ( $\phi$ ) selected for these preliminary experiments were 0.1 121 and 0.3. To eliminate the effect of orange oil on the stability of emulsions, pure Miglyol was used 122 in this set of experimentsEmulsions were prepared by rotor-stator high shear homogenizer using 123 a Silent Crusher Mixer (Heildolph, Germany), equipped with 8F type agitator. Firstly, the starch 124 granules were added to the aqueous solution and mixed by vortex to disperse the starch in the 125 aqueous phase. Then the oil phase was added. Samples were mixed at 20000 rpm for 1 min, which 126 was the similar conditions used in previous work when resveratrol loaded quinoa starch Pickering 127 emulsions were prepared (Matos., 2018b).

128 2.2.2. Formulations with varying oil volume fractions

To see the effect of different oil fractions on the stability of emulsions, Pickering emulsions with several dispersed phase volume fractions ( $\phi$ ) (i.e. 0.1, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 and 0.6) were prepared and

- 131 characterized using the emulsification procedure described in section 2.2.1.
- 132 2.2.3. Formulations with varying orange oil:Miglyol ratios
- 133 To determine the influence of the combination of orange oil with Miglyol as the dispersed phase,
- pure Miglyol and mixtures of orange oil:Miglyol in volumetric ratios 1:9 and 3:7 were compared.
- 135 Resveratrol was first dissolved in orange oil in a concentration of 0.068 mg/g and mixed for 72

136 h. Davidov-Pardo & McClements 2015, had demonstrated that resveratrol can be dissolved in 137 orange oil in a concentration of up to 0.13 mg/g. The concentration used in the present work was close to half of that tested value so their total solubilization could be assumed. The solution 138 139 containing resveratrol and orange oil wasthen mixed with Miglyol as it was reported in previous 140 work (Matos, 2018b), since Miglyol has been used in formulations of starch stabilized Pickering emulsions which demonstrated to have high stability (Matos et al, 2016; Timgren et al., 2011). 141 142 The final mixture obtained was then used as the dispersed phase using the emulsification 143 procedure described in section 2.2.1.

### 144 2.3. Characterization of microstructure of the emulsions

145 Mastersizer S long bench equipment (Malvern Instruments Ltd., UK) was used to measure the 146 mean droplet diameter,  $D_{(0,5)}$ , of emulsions prepared and the corresponding droplet size 147 distributions.

Micrographs of the emulsions were also obtained with a light optical microscope (Olympus
BX50, Tokyo, Japan) with 10-40×objective magnifications, with a cover glass on the slide.

### 150 2.4. Evaluation of emulsion stability

The stability of the emulsions has been measured by Static Multiple Light Scattering (MLS) using
a Turbiscan Lab Expert (Formulation Co. France). The stability of the selected emulsions was
measured at 30° C for 10 days.

The Turbiscan Stability Index (TSI) was measured for all samples. TSI is the sum of all the
variations detected in the samples in terms of size and/or concentration, and is defined by equation
1:

157 
$$TSI = \sum_{i} \frac{scan_{i} - scan_{i-1}}{H}$$
(1)

158 where H is the total height of the Turbiscan cell at time intervals i.

## 159 2.7. Determination encapsulation efficiency (EE)

160 Resveratrol content in the external aqueous phase was determined by reverse phase high-161 performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC, HP series 1100 chromatograph, Hewlett 162 Packard, US). The system was equipped with a UV/VIS absorbance detector HP G1315A and a 163 fluorescence detector 1260 Infinity A (Agilent Technologies, USA). The column used for the 164 separation was a reversed-phase column Zorbax Eclipse Plus C<sub>18</sub> of 5  $\mu$ m particle size, 4.6 mm × 165 150 mm (Agilent Technologies, USA). The mobile phase consisted of a mixture of (A) 100% 166 MilliQ-water and (B) 100% methanol with gradient elution at a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min. The step

- gradient started at 80% mobile phase (A) running 100% of the mobile phase (B) in min 5 for 10
  min. The mobile phase (B) was running for 2 min after each injection to prepare the column for
  the next run. The separation was carried out at room temperature. A wavelength of 305 nm was
- 170 used for the UV/VIS detector while the fluorescence detector was used at  $\lambda_{\text{excitation}}/\lambda_{\text{emission}}$  at
- 171 310/410 nm. The column was cleaned after each analysis by running the first mobile phase (A)
- for 20 min and a mobile phase (C) consisting of 50% acetonitrile, 25% MilliQ-water, 25% 2-
- propanol and 0.01% acid acetic for 40 min at a flow rate of 0.25 mL/min. Finally, the column was
- 174 rinsed with 50% of the mobile phase (A) and 50% of the mobile phase (B) for another 20 min.
- The method followed was the one described in precious works (Matos etl al., 2018b) with some modifications. Emulsions were centrifugated at low speed (1000 rpm for 20 min) in order to separate the aqueous phase from the oil droplets. The collected aqueous phase was filtered with a 0.22 µm polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) syringe filter, to eliminate all the cream oily phase residues and any free starch. These filtered samples were then injected into RP-HPLC.
- As a blank, a sample was prepared for each formulation studied by dissolving the same amount of resveratrol ina water:methanol solution (volume ratio 1:1) since resveratrol presents poor solubility in water and the use of methanol will ensure its complete solubilization. Methanol was not necessary to be added to the aqueous phases recovered from the emulsions since in all cases the amount of resveratrol collected was lower than the resveratrol solubility in water.
- 185 The encapsulation efficiency (EE%) was calculated using the following equation:

186 
$$EE\% = \left(1 - \frac{A_s^i}{2 \cdot A_c}\right) \cdot 100 \tag{2}$$

187 where  $A_s^i$  is the area of the peak of the sample detected through RP-HPLC at the day *i*, and  $A_c$  is 188 the area of the blank sample.

189 2.8. Statistical analysis

190 Data were expressed as the mean  $\pm$  SD (standard deviation) of three independent experiments, 191 and statistical analysis of the data was carried out (ANOVA). Fisher's test (p<0.05) was used to 192 calculate the least significant difference (LSD) using statistical software (Microsoft Excel 2010).

### 193 **3. Results**

## 194 3.1. Formulations with varying amount of starch

195 In order to determine the full coverage conditions, emulsions were prepared at different ratios of 196 starch particles:oil. As a general trend, droplet size decreases when the ratio of particle:oil increases until a constant valuethat indicates that full coverage conditions are achieved
(Tcholakova et al., 2008, Rayner, Timgrem et al., 2012). Emulsions with oil fractions of 0.1 and
0.3 were prepared with particle concentrations ranging from 100 to 1000 mg/g (Figures 1A and
1B).

201 Two peaks appeared on all particle size distribution corresponding the smaller one to the presence 202 of free starchwhile the larger one to the presence of oil droplets it was reported in previous 203 studies(Marefati et al. 2017a and Marefati et al. 2017b, Li et al., 2019). To select the appropriate 204 starch:oil ratio the mean size of this second peak was studied. A significant droplet size reduction 205 was observed when a ratio of 400 mg/gwas usedBeing the size constant after the addition of 700 206 mg/g.Similar behavior was observed for an oil fraction of 0.1 and 0.3 when pure Miglyol was 207 used as the oil phase (Figure 1A, 1B). Previous works, where quinoastarch granules were used 208 (Matos, et al., 2016) have shown that the minimum ratio of starch particles/oil required necessary 209 to ensure the stability of the interface oil/water was independent of the oil fraction used...

210 The starch: oil ratio obtained of 700 mg/g was similar to another type of Pickering corn starch 211 stabilized emulsions (Li et al., 2013) but higher compared to the other types of starch, as is the case of quinoa starch (Marefati, et al, 2013, Matos, et al., 2018b, Matos, at al., 2016). This is 212 213 probably due to the larger size of OSA-rice starch granules which presents a particle size 214 distribution that varies from 0.5 to 20  $\mu$ m with a volume weighted mean diameter (d<sub>43</sub>) of 5.2  $\mu$ m 215 (Li et al. 2013, Sato et al. 2018, Timgren, et al., 2013) compared to OSA-quinoa starch which 216 presented particle size distributions ranging from 0.5 to 10  $\mu$ m with a d<sub>43</sub> of 2.5  $\mu$ m (Rayner at al., 217 2014), resulting in lower total available area to coverthe droplet surfaces at the same mass of 218 starch compared to quinoa starch granules(Timgren, et al., 2013). So in that sense, two different 219 starch: oil ratios were selected in order to see the influence of partial and full coverage conditions 220 (i.e. 400 and 700 mg/g).

Figure 1 shows a micrograph of the emulsions prepared with pure Miglyol at 400 (C) and 700 (D) mg/g. It is possible to see that partially covered emulsion droplets had part of the droplets uncovered and the initial coalesce can occur while fully coveredemulsion droplets present droplets completely covered by starch particles.Droplet size observed in the micrographs are in good agreement with the ones obtained by particle size distributions analysis using Mastersizer, indicating that the larger peak observed was the one responsible of the emulsion droplets.

Previous works showed that when orange oil was used as the oil phase a larger amount of starch
is required in order to obtain the oil droplets in full coverage conditions (Matos, et al., 2018b). In
the present work, the ratio of starch:oil was decided to be fixed in the two indicated concentrations
(400 and 700 mg/g) for the three different oil phases studied (pure Miglyol, orange:Miglyol 1:9

ratio, orange:Miglyoloil 3:7 ratio). In that sense, the effect of the presence of the orange oil onemulsions properties could be easily identified.

233

#### Figure 1

234

## 235 3.2. Formulations with varying oil volume fractions

Droplet size distribution was measured for emulsions prepared with pure Miglyol as the oil phase.
Figure 2 shows the droplet size distribution of the emulsions using Miglyol as the internal phase
with different internal oil fractions, for the emulsions with partial coverage (400 mg/g) and
complete coverage (700mg/g).

240

#### Figure 2

As as aformetntioned, for lower  $\phi$  (0.1 and 0.3) the small peak was observed at the lower size, typically due to the presence of free starch also observed in other works where emulsions were stabilized by starch particles (Matos et al., 2016, Matos et al., 2018b, Li et al., 2019).

At higher  $\phi$  the starch particles probably tended to aggregate producing agglomerates of large size. This can be the reason why the pick of a few microns was not observed at high  $\phi$  values as was the case of the work done by other authors (Li et al. 2020) when they study particle size distributions of stach –stabilized emulsions with  $\phi$  of 0.2-0.6.

248 In both series of samples, it seemed that when  $\phi$  of 0.5 was reached the emulsions started to 249 change their main droplet size. However, only the emulsions with  $\phi$  of 0.6 seem to had a non-250 homogeneous aspect regardighte presence of free oil indication that the oil was not completely 251 emulsified. Hence, emulsionsreachedits colloidal stability limit and the main particle size 252 distribution started to be divided into two peaks, since coalescence was taking place. Similar 253 results were obtained by Li et al. (2020) when corn oil was emulsified by quinoa starch, obtaining 254 satisfactory emulsification up to a  $\phi$  of 0.6. Moreover, Binks et al. (2002) have shown that from 255  $\phi$  of 0.6-0.7 the emulsion inversion usually takes place when particles are used as stabilizers.

It should be pointed out that when  $\phi$  increases the starch particle concentration also increases, which leads to interparticle interactions and causes the formation of networks of starch and droplets leading to wider particle size distributions. In addition, the larger oil and starch concentration of higher  $\phi$  emulsions resulted in a less efficient mixing and hence resulted in wider particle size distributions merging in abimodal particle size distribution. Most of the instability phenomena (such as flocculation, creaming, coalescence or Oswald
ripening) seemed to have their major effect during the first 24 hours (Raikos, 2017, Zhao et al.,
2014, Meroni & Raikos, 2018) so the stability of emulsions with \$\overline{0}\$ of 0.4 and 0.5 was measured
during 24 hours for emulsions with both starch:oil ratios tested.

265 TSI values were measured being in all cases in the range of 2 to 3.5 units, which indicates high 266 stability when compared to other works where this parameter was measured (Raikos, 2017).No 267 creaming phenomena wereobserved since the clarification layer was not present. This is probably 268 due to the high internal phase concentration that hindrance oil droplets migration to the top of the 269 emulsion vessels. Similar behaviour was observed in previous works where high internal phase 270 emulsions were prepared with surfactants as the stabilizer (Gutierrez et al., 2014). Droplet 271 migration velocity (V) to the surface can be calculated by equation 3 for systems in which the 272 internal fraction is medium of high and hence particle movement is affected by the presence of 273 their neighboring particles (Snabre & Mills., 1994).

274 
$$V = \frac{gd^2(\rho_w - \rho_o)}{18\mu\rho_w} \frac{(1-\varphi)}{\left[1 + \frac{4.6\varphi}{(1-\varphi)^3}\right]}$$
(3)

where d is the droplet diameter (median size on the volume weighted distribution  $D_{(0,5)}$ ),  $\rho_w$  and  $\rho_o$ the densities of the continuous phase and dispersed phase respectively,  $\mu$  is the viscosity of the continuous phase, g is the gravitational forceand  $\phi$  is the dispersed phase volume fraction. However, the use of a large amount of starch as stabilizer increasedthe droplets' densities up to values of 1030-1090 kg/m<sup>3</sup> which was similar to continuous phase density, and even larger. Hence, not significant migration velocities were expected in the studied systems.

For the following experiments, emulsions as dispersed phase mixtures of orange oil and Miglyol were used. Emulsions were prepared with  $\phi$  of 0.4 and 0.5.

283 If the internal phase fraction ( $\phi_c$ ) was calculated taking into account, the amount of stabilizer used 284 (Equation 4).

$$\varphi_c = \frac{V_o + V_s}{V_o + V_s + V_w} \tag{4}$$

where  $V_o$  is the volume of the oil phase,  $V_s$  is the volume of rice starch and  $V_w$  volume of the continuous phase.

At 700 mg/g ratio the real internal fraction was larger than at 400mg/g conditions since the amount of stabilizer used is higher for the same amount of oil. The real internal phase of emulsions with  $\phi$  of 0.4 was 0.54 and 0.65 for 400 mg/g and 700 mg/g conditions respectively. While for emulsions  $\phi$  of 0.5 the real internal fraction was 0.58 and 0.72 for 400 mg/g and 700 mg/g conditions respectively. Hence, an increase of starch concentration in the emulsion could increase oil droplet flocculation within starch networks. Other authors (Li et al, 2019) had studied the
effect of the degree of substitution of quinoa starch on the emulsification properties observing
that a low degree substitution showed poor emulsification properties obtaining bimodal
distributions.

#### 297 3.3. Formulations with different orange oil: Miglyol ratios

Two differentorange oil:Miglyol ratios were studied, 1:9 and 3:7 v/v using rice starch as a stabilizer for partial and fully covered droplets (400 and 700 mg/g particle:oil ratios). The effect of the presence of orange oil mixed in the oil phase on the particle size distribution is presented in Figure 3 for emulsions with  $\phi$  of 0.4 and 0.5.

302

#### Figure 3

303 For emulsions with particle:oil ratio of 400 mg/g the addition of orange oil at several 304 concentrations seemed to not have an important effect on emulsions size distribution, specially at 305  $\phi$  of 0.4. However in the case of  $\phi$  0.5 the presence of orange oil resulted in bimodal size 306 distributions.

For 700 mg/g formulations, the presence of a high concentration of oil and particles and a low amount of water in the formulations resulted in larger sizes at higher oil volume fraction  $\phi$  of 0.5.On the other hand, the presence of orange oil caused droplet coalescence specially at  $\phi$  of 0.5, as it was clearly indicated by the bimodal distribution obtained for emulsions with both orange oil concentration tested compared to those withpure Miglyol as the dispersed phase.

It seems that the presence of orange oil alters the surface properties of the droplets making the particles less efficient for the adsorption at the surface of the droplets. This is in line with the previous work (Matos, 2018b), where larger ratio (particles:oil) was required when orange oil was present in the oily phase, and hence in the present study coalesce can be taking place due to their poor coverage when orange oil was used. The fact that was specially noticeable at a coverage ratio of 700 mg/g, since probably at 400 mg/g coalescence was already taking place even when no orange oil was used.

Theoretical full coverage was also calculated for emulsions, for this purpose. The diameter used
was the mean value of the peak observed at the particle size distribution that represents the oil
droplets (D<sub>oil</sub>)since the specific surface area of an emulsion is by definition:

$$S = \frac{6\phi}{D_{oil}} \tag{5}$$

For full coverage calculations, it was assumed that rice starch particles are spherical and half volume of each of them is submerged into the oil phase. The same assumptions were made in previous works for theoretical full coverage calculations when starch particles are used (Matos, Laca et al., 2018, Timgren, Rayner, Dejmek, Marku, & Sjöö, 2013)

Equation 6 was followed to calculate the full coverage of the mass of particles per mass of oil  $(\Gamma_{p/o})$ :

329 
$$\Gamma_{p/o} = \frac{N_{p/d} V_p \rho_p}{V_d \rho_{oil}}$$
(6)

where N<sub>p/d</sub> is the number of particles to cover an oil droplet, V<sub>p</sub> and V<sub>d</sub> are the volume of a particle and the oil drop respectively and  $\rho_p$  is the density of the starch particles (1.5 kg/L) and  $\rho_{oil}$  is the densities of the oils used (0.945 kg/L for pure Miglyol and 0.976 kg/L for a mixture of orange oil:Miglyol ratio 1:9 v/v and 0.935 kg/L for a mixture of orange oil:Miglyol ratio 3:7 v/v) and the N<sub>p/d</sub> is calculated by equation 7:

$$N_{p/d} = \frac{A_d}{A_{tp}} \tag{7}$$

where  $A_d$  and  $A_{tp}$  are the areas of a droplet and the transversal area of a starch particle.

337 Results obtained from theoretical full coverage calculations were shown in Table 1. Theoretical 338 full coverage was similar to the ratio of particles:oil used. Only for emulsions prepared with pure 339 Miglyol at  $\phi$  of 0.4, theoretical values were much larger than the experimental values used 340 indicating that the droplets formed were probably not fully covered by the starch particles. 341 However, it is important to point out the wide size distributions obtained, and hence theoretical 342 calculations based on mode values can produce some errors on theretical calculations. Theoretical 343 values for emulsions prepared with the ratios of particles:oil of 700 m/g at  $\phi$  of 0.5 were not 344 calculated since samples presented l extremely wide and bimodal size distributions (Figure 3).

345

#### Table 1

346 Figure 4 shows the stability of the formulated emulsions for one week time. All samples presented 347 high stability since backscattering profiles remained constant with time. Just a small clarification 348 layer was observed at the top part of the cell. Indicating a modest movement of oil droplets to the 349 bottom part of the cell. Similar behaviour was observed in previous works (Matos et al., 2016) 350 since starch stabilized oil droplets presented higher density than the continuous phase. In the 351 mentioned study, the emulsifying ability of starch isolated from quinoa in the granular form, in the dissolved state and a combination of both were compared. For this purpose, emulsions 352 353 formulated using Miglyol 812 as oily phase were prepared. When starch granules were used as 354 stabilizer it was observed that at larger ratios of starch particles:oil (200 - 400 mg starch/ml oil) 355 droplets migrated to the bottom of the cell ,instead of to the upper part, promoted by the sedimentation of the unadsorbed granules in the continuous phase or small starch granule 356 357 stabilized emulsion droplets dense enough to sink due to a large starch to oil ratio, and a density 358 increase since the relative volume of oil to the starch layer covering it was smaller (Rayner et 359 al.,2012). Another effect reported caused byt the use of high starch concentration was that the 360 accumulation of starch granules between droplets what also increased their total effective density 361 (Timgren et al., 2013).

362 Previous works verified the Oswald ripening behaviour observed when orange oil was used due 363 to their partial water solubility (Zhang et al, 2015, Raikos 2017, Meroni & Raikos, 2018). On the 364 otherhand, some authors have observed that Oswald ripening was hindered when orange oil was 365 encapsulated in other more lipophilic carrier oils. Carrier oils demonstrated an Oswald ripening 366 inhibition effect by the generation of entropy mixing which was found more thermodynamically 367 stable (Meroni & Raikos, 2018, Zhang, 2015). The favourable effect of entropy mixing seemsto 368 be present in this study since all emulsions formulated demonstrated to have high stability which 369 could be the explanation of their high stability. Any significant increase on droplet size was 370 observed over time (Lemarchand et al., 2003).

It is important to point out that the mean oil droplet sizes of the emulsions in the present study were considerably larger than the one measured in previous works, in which surfactant or proteins were used to stabilize orange oil-in-water emulsions (Meroni & Raikos, 2018, Zhang, 2015, Zaho et al. 2014, Davidov-Pardo & Mc Clements 2015), being even larger than other works were other types of starch were used as a stabilizer (Li et al., 2013). This is due to the larger particle sizes of rice starch granules, as explained earlier, and the high particle concentration which could result in the formation of aggregated network of droplets due to interparticle interactions.

In that sense, the oil migration due to the density difference between the oil and the aqueous phases could be more favourable in this study. However, the larger internal phase concentration raised in this work, compared to previous works (Meroni & Raikos, 2018, Zhang, 2015, Zaho et al. 2014, Davidov-Pardo & Mc Clements 2015, Li et al., 2013), could limit droplet movement due to their higher viscosity.

#### 383

#### Figure 4

### 384 *3.4Encapsulation of resveratrol*

Emulsions with  $\phi$  of 0.5 were selected for this resveratrol encapsulation since even the wider droplet size distribution achieved for this formulation was not exceeding the 200 µm and had shown high stability. Taking into account that the concentration of resveratrol on orange oil was kept constant (0.068 mg/g). The use of  $\phi$  of 0.5 could produce final emulsions with a resveratrol concentration of 0.0034 and 0.0102 mg/g for orange:Miglyol ratio 1:9 and 3:7, respectively. On the contrary, the use of  $\phi$  of 0.4 could produced final emulsions with a resveratrol concentration of 0.00272 and 0.00816 mg/g for orange:Miglyol ratio 1:9 and 3:7, respectively. Hence the selection of a  $\phi$  of 0.5 offers a potential clear advantage for further emulsion applications.

The results of the effect of the two different internal phase ratios of orange:Miglyol oil phase(1:9and 3:7) and two different ratios of starch:oil, 400 and 700 mg/g are presented in Figure 5.

395 As a general trend it can seen that the increase of orange oil concentration and the increase of 396 starch/oil ratio increased encapsulation efficiency. However, significant differences encapsulation 397 efficiency were observed for emulsions with orange: Miglyol ratio of 3:7 and a ratio of starch:oil 398 of 700 mg/g respect and emulsion with orange: Miglyol ratio of 1:9 and a ratio of starch: oil of 400 mg/g, with values of 89% and 81 % respectively. The fact that emulsion with orange: Miglyol 399 400 ratio of 3:7 and a ratio of starch:oil of 700 mg/g had bimodal and wider size distribution did not 401 negatively affect the encapsulation capacity of the emulsions.Not significant differences were 402 observed when the orange oil ratio was increased at a constant starch/oil ratio and for and increase 403 of the starch/oil ratio at a constant orange oil concentratrion, indicanting a synergic effect between 404 both variables.

405 All emulsions showed high encapsulation efficiencies(larger than 80%), being the encapsulation 406 efficiency values higher than those previously obtained for the similarformulation when 407 surfactant Tween 20 or whey isolated proteins were used as the stabilizer(values around 60% 408 were registered) (Sha et al., 2019; Bao et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2020). However, similarvalues 409 obtained when quinoa starch particles were used (values around 80-95% were registered) (Matos 410 et al., 2018). However, even the EE values are quite similar to the ones obtained with quinoa starch 411 , the high content of internal oil fraction and orange oil in the oil phase increase resveratrol 412 concentration from 1.72 to 8.45 mg/L.

A recent study reported encapsulation efficies up to 97% for systems prepared by membrane emulsification and further spray drying using palm oil as oil phase, the final resveratrol concentration on the obtained powder was 0.8 mg/g (Consolo et al., 2020). Other type of emulsions such as gel filled emulsions reported maximum resveratrol encapsulation efficiencies up to 60%. Encapsulation efficiencies close to 90% were also reported when resveratrol was encapsulated in double emulsions, being resveratrol entrapped in that case in an ethanolic internal aqueous phase (Diaz-Ruiz et al., 2020)

420

421

Stability of all emulsions were recorded for ten days to study the effect of different concentration
orange oil in the dispersed phase mixture used on the emulsion stability for partial and full
coverage formulations with and without encapsulated resveratrol. Backscattering profiles are
presented in Figure 6.

426

### Figure 6

In general terms, from the stability backscattering profiles a clarification layer at the top part, higher than the registered in samples without resveratrol could be observed (figure 4). The results obtained, indicated that the presence of resveratrols lightly negatively affected the stability of the emulsion. Clarification at the top part of the celloccurred in all samples and differences on the backscattered light in the middle part of the cell indicated growth of the droplet size.

The negative effect of the presence of resveratrol on the stability could be due to the migration of
resveratrol to the surface of the droplets as was observed by other authors (Davidov-Pardo &
McClements, 2015) that may alter the interfacial activity of the droplets.

In the present study, the backscattering variations observed were not high and TSI values lowerthan 15 were recorded in all cases, indicating high stability.

## 437 **4. Conclusions**

Pickering emulsions with an oil fraction of maximum 0.5 stabilized by OSA- modified rice starch
granules showed high stability against creaming phenomena. Homogenous and stable emulsions
with higher internal phase were not possible to be obtained with the equipment and operating
conditions used in the present study.

442 Oil droplets with partial coverage of OSA- modified rice starch granules showed high stability
443 indicating that it is not necessary to have full coverage conditions. However, larger mean droplet
444 size was observed for these formulations.

445 Orange oil can be used up to a 30% v/v in combination with Miglyol as the oil phase to obtain
446 emulsions with high stability. However, the use of orange oil as a portion of the oily phase
447 increased the mean droplet sizes of the resulting emulsions.

Pickering emulsions stabilized by OSA- modified rice starchgranules using a mixture of orange oil in combination with Miglyol as the dispersed phase seemed to be an appropriate resveratrol carrier system, obtaining resveratrol encapsulation efficiency values up to 89±2.3% which corresponds to aconcentration of 8.45 mg/L on the final emulsions. The system formulated could be considered suitable for further use in functional food formulations.

## 453 Acknowledgements

- 454 This study was financed by the Consejería de Economía y Empleo del Principado de Asturias
- 455 (Plan de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación, 2013e2017) through the Grant Ref. IDI/2018/000185.
- 456 A travel Grant from Lunds Tekniska Högskola (LTH) and Ersasmus + from the University of
- 457 Oviedo (Ref. STT1819) was also received to perform a research stay at Department of Food
- 458 Technology, Engineering, and Nutrition (Lund University)
- 459 References
- 460 Augustin, M. A., Hemar, Y., Nano- and micro-structured assemblies for encapsulation of food
  461 ingredients, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 38 (2009) 902–912.
- Bao H., Ni. Y., Wusigale, Dong H., Liang, Li., α-Tocopherol and resveratrol in emulsion-filled
  whey protein gels:Co-encapsulation and in vitro digestion, International Dairy Journal, 104
  (2020) a104649
- Beladjine, M., Tsapis, N., Fattal E., Agnely, F., Huang, N., (2019), *Journal of Controlled Release*, 309, 302-332.
- 467 Binks, B.P. (2002). Particle as surfactants similarities and differences. *Current Opinion in*468 *Colloid & Interface Science*, 7, 21-41.
- 469 Cheng H., Zhang H., Li D., Duan H., Liang L., (2020) Impact of oil type on the location,
- 470 partition and chemical stability of resveratrol in oil-in-water emulsions stabilized by whey
- 471 protein isolate plus gum Arabic, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 109 a 106119
- 472 Consoli L., Dupas Hubinger, M., Dragosavac, M.M., (2020) Encapsulation of resveratrol
  473 using Maillard conjugates and membrane emulsification, *Food Research International*, 137,
  474 a 109359
- 475 Davidov-Pardo, G., McClements, D.J. (2015). Nutraceutical delivery systems: Resveratrol
  476 encapsulation in grape seed oil nanoemulsions formed by spontaneous emulsification. *Food*477 *Chemistry*, *167*, 205-212
- Díaz-Ruiz,R. Martínez-Rey, L., Laca, A., Álvarez, J. R., Gutiérrez, G., Matos, M., (2020)
  Enhancing trans-Resveratrol loading capacity by forcing W1/O/W2 emulsions up to its
  colloidal stability limit, *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces* 193, a111130
- 481 Frasch-Melnik, S., Spyropoulos, F., Norton, I.T. (2010) W1/O/W2 double emulsions
  482 stabilised by fat crystals—Formulation, stability and salt release, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 350,
  483 178-185
- Frasch-Melnik, S., Norton, I.T., Spyropoulos, F. (2010) Fat-crystal stabilised w/o emulsions
  for controlled salt release, J. Food. Eng. 98 (2010), 437-442

486 487 488	Gan, C, Cai, K., Qu, X., Li, H., Wei, L., Cheng, R. (2019) Glucose-based novel gemini surfactants: Surface activities, aggregation properties and a preliminary study as nanocarrier for resveratrol, 283, 781-787
489 490 491	Gutiérrez, G., Matos, M., Benito, J.M., Coca, J., Pazos, C. (2014). Preparation of HIPEs with controlled droplet size containing lutein. <i>Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects</i> , 442, 69-79.
492 493 494 495	Howitz, K.T., Bitterman, K.J., Cohen, H.Y., Lamming, D.W., Lavu, S., Wood, J.G., Zipkin, R.E., Chung, P., Kisielewski, A., Zhang,L.L., Scherer, B., Sinclair, D.A. (2003). Small molecule activators of sirtuins extend Saccharomyces cerevisiae lifespan. Nature, 425, 191-196.
496 497 498	Hung, L-M., Chen, J-K., Huang S-S., Lee, R-S, Su, M-J. (2000). Cardioprotective effect of resveratrol, a natural antioxidant derived from Grapes. <i>Cardiovascular Research</i> , 47, 549-555.
499 500	Karthik, P., Ettelaie, R., Chen, J, (2019) Oral behavior of emulsions stabilized by mixed monolayer, <i>Food Research International</i> , 125, a108603
501 502 503	Khadem, B., Sheibat-Othman, N., Modelling droplets swelling and escape in double emulsions using population balance equations, <i>Chemical Engineering Journal</i> , 2019, 382, a122824
504 505 506	Kristl, J., Teskač, K., Caddeo, C., Abramović, Z., Šentjurk, M. (2009). Improvements of cellular stress response on resveratrol in liposomes. <i>European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics</i> , 73(2), 253-259.
507 508 509	Lemarchand, C. Couvreur, P., Vauthier,C., Costantini, D., Gref, R., Study of emulsion stabilization by graft copolymers usingthe optical analyzer Turbiscan, International Journal of Pharmaceutics 254 77-82 (2003)
510	Li, C., Fu, X., Luo F., Huang, Q., (2013) Effects of maltose on stability and rheological
511	properties of orange oil-in-water emulsion formed by OSA modified starch, Food
512	Hydrocolloids, 32, 79-86
513 514 515 516	Li, S., Zhang, B, Li, C., Fu, X.,, Huang, Q., (2020) Pickering emulsion gel stabilized by octenylsuccinate quinoa starch granule, as lutein carrier: Role of the gel networkFood Chemistry 305, a125476
517	Li, S., Zhang, B, Li, C., Fu, X., Huang, Q., (2019), Octenylsuccinate quinoa starch granule-
518	stabilized Pickering emulsion gels:Preparation, microstructure and gelling mechanism, Food
519	Hydrocolloids 9 40–47

- 520 Lim, S. S., Baik M., Y., Decker, E. A., Henson, L., Popplewell, M., McClements, D. J., Choi,
- 521 S. J. (2011). Stabilization of orange oil-in-water emulsions: A new role for ester gum as an
- 522 Ostwald ripening inhibitor. *Food Chemistry*, *128*, 1023–1028.
- Machado, N.D, Fernández, M.A., Díaz, D.D, .(2019) Recent Strategies in Resveratrol Delivery
   Systems, *ChemPlusChem*, 84, 951-973
- 525 Marefati, A., Wiege, B., Haase, N.U., Matos, M., Rayner, M., (2017a) Pickering emulsifiers
- 526 based on hydrophobically modified small granular starches Part I: Manufacturing and
- 527 physico-chemical characterization, 175, 473-483
- Marefati, A., Matos, M., Wiege, B., Haase, N.U., Rayner, M., (2018) Pickering emulsifiers
  based on hydrophobically modified small granular starches Part II Effects of modification
  on emulsifying capacity, *Carbohydrate Polymers*,
- Marefati, A., Rayner, M., Timgren, A., Dejmek, P., Sjöö, M., (2013) Freezing and freezedrying of Pickering emulsions stabilized by starch granules, *Colloids and Surfaces A:*
- **533** Physicochem. Eng. Aspects 436 (2013) 512– 520
- Marefati, A., Bertrand, M., Sjöö, M., Dejmek, P., Rayner, M.,(2017b), Storage and digestion
  stability of encapsulated curcumin in emulsions based on starch granule Pickering
  stabilization, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 63, 309-320
- 537 Marefati, A., Rayner, M., (2020) Starch granule stabilized Pickering emulsions: an eight-year
  538 stability study, *Journal of the science of food and agriculture*, 100, 2807-2811
- Márquez, A.L., Medrano, A., Panizzolo, L.A., Wagner, J.R. J.R. (2010) Effect of calcium
  salts and surfactant concentration on the stability of water-in-oil emulsions prepared with
  polyglycerol polyricinoleate, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 341, 101-108
- Matos, M., Gutiérrez, G., Iglesias, O., Coca, J.,Pazos, C., (2015) Enhancing encapsulation
  efficiency of food-grade double emulsions containing resveratrol or vitamin B12 by
  membrane emulsification, *Journal of Food Engineering*, 166, 212-220
- Matos, M., Gutiérrez, G., Martínez-Rey, L., Iglesias, O., Pazos, C., (2018), Encapsulation of
  resveratrol using food-grade concentrated double emulsions: Emulsion characterization and
  rheological behaviour, *Journal of Food Engineering*, 226, 73-81
- Matos, M., Laca, A., Rea, F., Iglesias, O., Rayner M., Gutierrez, G., (2018) O/W emulsions
  stabilized by OSA-modified starch granules versus non-ionic surfactant: Stability, rheological
  behaviour and resveratrol encapsulation, *Journal of Food Engineering.*, 222, 207-217.
- 551 Matos M, Marefati A, Bordes R, Gutiérrez G and Rayner M, (2017) Combined emulsifying 552 capacity of polysaccharide particles of different size and shape. Carbohydr Polym 169:127– 553 138.

- Matos, M., Marefati, A. Gutiérrez, G., Wahlgren, M., Rayner, M. (2016) Comparative
  emulsifying properties of OSA-modified starch: granular form vs dissolved state, *Plos One*, *11*, Article number: e0160140.
- 557 Meroni, E.,Raikos, V.(2018) Formulating orange oil-in-water beverage emulsions for 558 effective delivery of bioactives: Improvements in chemical stability, antioxidant activity and 559 gastrointestinal fate of lycopene using carrier oils, *Food Research International*, 106, 439-560 435
- Murtaza, G. Latif, U. Najam-Ul-Haq, M., Sajjad, A., Karim, S., Akhtar, M., Hussain, I.,
  Resveratrol: An active natural compound in red wines for health, J. Food Drug Anal. 21
  (2013).
- Novelle, M. G., Wahl, D., Diéguez, C., Bernier, M., De Cabo, R., Resveratrol
  supplementation: Where are we now and where should we go?, Ageing Res. Rev. 21 (2015)
  1–15
- Pando, D., Gutiérrez, G., Coca, J.,Pazos, C.,(2013) Preparation and characterization of
  niosomes containing resveratrol, Journal of Food Engineering, 117(2), 227-234
- Raikos, V., (2017) Encapsulation of vitamin E in edible orange oil-in-water emulsion
  beverages: influence of heating temperature on physicochemical stability during chilled
  storage, Food Hydrocolloids, 72, 155-165
- Rayner M., Sjöö M., Timgren A., Dejmek, P. (2012). Quinoa starch granules as stabilizing
  particles for production of Pickering emulsions. *Faraday Discussion*, 55, 158–139.
- Rayner M., Timgren A., Sjöö M., & Dejmek, P. (2012). Starch granules: A candidate for
  food-grade Pickering emulsions. *Journal of the Food Science and Agriculture*. 92,
  1841–1847.
- Rayner M., Marku D., Eriksson M., Sjöö M., Dejmek, P., Wahlgren M., (2014) Biomassbased particles for the formulation of Pickering typeemulsions in food and topical
  applications, Colloids and Surfaces A., 458, 48-62
- Rayner, M., M. Sjöö, A. Timgren, P. Dejmek (2012). Quinoa starch granules as stabilizing
  particles for production of Pickering emulsions. *Faraday discussions* 158(1): 139-155.
- Ruivo, J., Francisco, C., Oliveira, R., Figueiras, A., The main potentialities of resveratrol for
  drug delivery systems, Brazilian J. Pharm. Sci. 51 (2015) 499–514.
- 584 Saiko, P. Szakmary, A., Jaeger, W., Szekeres, T., Resveratrol and its analogs: defense against
- 585 cancer, coronary disease and neurodegenerative maladies or just a fad?, Mutat. Res. Rev.
- 586 Mutat. Res. 658 (2008) 68–94

587	Santos, A.C., Pereira, I., Pereira-Silva, M., Ferreira, L., Caldas, M., Magalhães, M., Figueiras,
588	A., Ribeiro, A.J., Veiga, F., (2019) Nanocarriers for resveratrol delivery: Impact on stability
589	and solubility concerns, Trends in Food Science and Technology, 91, 483-497
590	Sato, Y., Wiege, B., Furikado, I., Marefati, A., Nylander, T.c, Kappl, M.&Rayner, M.(2018),
591	Rice starch particle interactions at air/aqueous interfaces-effect of particle hydrophobicity and
592	solution ionic strength, Frontiers in Chemistry, 6, a139
593	Shao, P., Feng, J., Sun, P., Ritzoulis, C. (2019) Improved emulsion stability and resveratrol
594	encapsulation by whey protein/gum arabic interaction at oil-water interface, International
595	Journal of Biological Macromolecules,133, 466-472
596	Shi, A., Feng., X., Wang, Q., Adhikari, B., (2020) Pickering and high internal phase Pickering
597	emulsions stabilized by protein-based particles: A review of synthesis, application and
598	prospective, Food Hydrocolloids In press, a106117
599	Schröder A, Sprakel J, Schroën K and Berton-Carabin CC, (2017) Tailored microstructure of
600 601	colloidal lipid particles for Pickering emulsions with tunable properties. Soft Matter 13:3190–
602	Silva, C. G., Monteiro, J., Marques, R. R. N., Silva, A. M. T., Martínez, C., Canle M., Faria,
603	J. L., Photochemical and photocatalytic degradation of trans-resveratrol, Photochem.
604	Photobiol. Sci. 12 (2013) 638-644.
605	Snabre, P, Mills P., (1994), Settling of a suspension of hard spheres, Q., Europhysic Letters,
606	25, 651-656
607	Tang., C-H., (2020) Globular proteins as soft particles for stabilizing emulsions: Concepts
608	and strategies, Food HydrocolloidsVolume 103, a105664
609	Tang, Q., Xie, X., Li, C., Zhen, B, Cai, X, Zhang, G., Zhou, C.&Wang, L.(2019) Medium-
610	chain triglyceride/water Pickering emulsion stabilized by phosphatidylcholine-kaolinite for
611	encapsulation and controlled release of curcumin, Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces,
612	183, a110414
613	Tcholakova, S., Denkov, N. D., Lips, A. (2008). Comparison of solid particles, globular
614	proteins and surfactants as emulsifiers. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 10, 1608-1627.
615	Timgren, A., Rayner, M., Dejmek, P., Marku, D., M. Sjöö. (2013). Emulsion stabilizing
616	capacity of intact starch granules modified by heat treatment or octenyl succinic anhydride.
617	Food Science & Nutrition, 1(2), 157-171.
618	Timgren, A., Rayner, M., Sjöö, M., Dejmek, P. (2011). Starch particles for food-based
619	Pickering emulsions. Procedia Food Science, 1, 95–103.

620	Yang, X. Li, J. Ren, From French Paradox to Cancer Treatment: Anti-cancer Activities and
621	Mechanisms of Resveratrol, Anticancer. Agents Med. Chem. 14 (2014) 806-825.
622	Yusoff, A., Murray, B. S. (2011). Modified starch granules as particle-stabilizers of oil-in-
623	water emulsions. Food Hydrocolloids, 25(1), 42–55.
624	Zhang, J., Bing, L., Reineccius, G.A., (2015) Formation, optical property and stability
625	of orange oil nanoemulsions stabilized by Quallija saponins, LWT-Food Science and
626	Technology, 64, 1063-1070
627	Zhao, J., Xiang, J., Wei T., Yuan F., Gao Y., (2014) Influence of environmental
628	stresses on the physicochemical stability of orange oil bilayer emulsions coated by
629	lactoferrin-soybean soluble polysaccharides and lactoferrin-beet pectin, 66, 216-227
630	
631	

# Highlights

- OSA-rice starch Pickering emulsions containing Resveratrol were prepared
- Stable Pickering emulsions with internal volumetric fraction up to 50% were prepared
- Orange oil was used as Resveratrol carrier in the oily phase
- Stable emulsions with 30% of orange oil on the oily phase were prepared
- OSA-rice starch Pickering emulsions contained 8.45 mg/L of Resveratrol (90% EE)

### **Figure Captions**

Figure 1. Particle size distribution of emulsions prepared at several starch/Miglyol ratio,  $\varphi$  of 0.1 (A) and  $\varphi$  of 0.3 (B). Microscope images of emulsions formulated with pure Miglyol 812 as dispersed phase stabilized with OSA-modified rice starch granules at 400 mg/g (C) and 700 mg/g (D) conditions with  $\varphi$  of 0.3.

Figure 2. Emulsion particle size distributions with different oil fractions (0.1 to 0.6) at partial (400 mg/g) and full coverage (700 mg/g).

Figure 3. Effect of the presence of orange oil on the droplet size distribution of the emulsions. M: Pure Miglyol, O+M (1:9): orange oil:Miglyol ratio (1:9 v/v) and O+M (3:7): orange oil:Miglyol ratio (3:7 v/v)

Figure 4. Backscattering profiles of emulsions with 400 and 700 mg/g of starch:oil and ratios 1:9 and 3:7 or orange oil:Miglyol during 10 days. All samples contain internal oil fraction of 0.5

Figure 5. Encapsulation efficiency of resveratrol in emulsions with different oil ratios and partial and full coverage conditions

Figure 6. Backscattering profiles of resveratrol loaded emulsions with 400 and 700 mg/g of starch:oil and orange oil:Miglyol ratios of 1:9 and 3:7 or during 10 days. All samples contain internal oil fraction of 0.5.



Figure 1

400 mg/g

700 mg/g



Figure 2







Figure 5



	Coverage used 400 mg/g							
-	Miglyol		Orange:Miglyol (1:9)		Orange:Miglyol (3:7)			
-	mode	$\Gamma_{p/d}$	mode	$\Gamma_{p/d}$	mode	$\Gamma_{p/d}$		
	(µm)	(mg/g)	(µm)	(mg/g)	(µm)	(mg/g)		
φ=0.4	48.3	717	76.3	454	76.3	454		
φ=0.5	76.3	454	75.8	457	76.7	452		
			Coverage u	sed 700 mg/g				
-	Miglyol		Orange:Miglyol (1:9)		Orange:Miglyol (3:7)			
-	mode	$\Gamma_{p/d}$	mode	$\Gamma_{p/d}$	mode	$\Gamma_{p/d}$		
	(µm)	(mg/g)	(µm)	(mg/g)	(µm)	(mg/g)		
φ=0.4	26.2	1322	35.6	976	48.27	718		

Table 1. Theoretical full coverage and surface particle size for emulsions prepared at internal oil phase fraction of 0.4 and 0.5