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Abstract: Control of permanent magnet synchronous machines (PMSMs) requires absolute rotor position measurement/estimation, as well as the magnet polarity detection for the machine start-up, encoders/resolvers being normally used for this purpose. However, these sensors can account for a large portion of the overall drive cost, and require additional room and cabling, therefore penalizing the size and reliability of the drive. This paper proposes a method to emulate a resolver in machines using low cost Hall-effect sensors. The proposed Hall-effect resolver (HER) system is a new type of angular position sensor for PMSMs. This allows to control machines which do not include a resolver from inverters which require a resolver signal to operate.  
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I. Introduction

Permanent magnet synchronous machines (PMSMs) have become very popular during the last decades due to their high efficiency, high power density and superior dynamic response compared with other types of machines, e.g. induction, wound field or synchronous reluctance machines. Control of PMSMs requires the absolute position of the rotor, i.e. including magnet polarity prior to startup of the machine [1]-[2]. Both absolute encoders [3]-[6] and resolvers [16]-[22] comply with this requirement. On the contrary, incremental encoders will require some additional mechanism to obtain the rotor initial position. Combined use of incremental encoder and Hall-effect sensors [3]-[10] provide the absolute position.

Table I shows a comparative analysis of position sensors normally used in industry applications. Optical encoders are likely the preferred option in general purpose applications; however, they are less robust than magnetic encoders and resolvers, the last being the preferred option for e.g. hybrid-electric vehicles (HEV) and electric vehicles (EV) motors [13]-[15], aircrafts, satellite antennas or robots [11]-[13]. Although resolvers provide absolute position, its use always implies additional hardware (a resolver to digital converter is needed [12],[17]-[18]), room and cabling, therefore penalizing cost, size and reliability of the drive.

In addition of conventional position sensors, a number of alternative solutions have been reported in the literature. A planar angular position sensor is proposed in [23], with a similar working principle as a variable reluctance resolver. However, this sensor is highly sensitive to electromagnetic interference due to its construction and requires and additional electronic circuit to operate. The sensor proposed in [24] provides angular

Table I. Position sensors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position sensors</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Robustness</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incremental optical encoder [3]-[6]</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑↑↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Incremental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental magnetic encoder[8]-[10]</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Incremental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute optical encoder [3]-[6]</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑↑↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound field resolver [16]-[19]</td>
<td>↑↑↑</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable reluctance resolver [20]-[21]</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑↑↑</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planar Coil/Capacitor [23]-[25]</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contactless Hall-based [26]-[27]</td>
<td>↓↓↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed HER</td>
<td>↓↓↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
position output that is not compatible with standard encoder or resolver signals. In [25], a contactless capacitive angular position sensor is proposed, but it is characterized for presenting a non-linear behavior. Other non-contact angular positions sensors based on Hall-effect devices for automotive applications (e.g. throttle position detection, shaft position...) are also commercially available [26], [27]. The main drawbacks of these methods are the robustness decrease, inertia increase and extra room.

This paper proposes a method to emulate resolvers using the signals provided by low-cost Hall-effect sensors, HER, which is an extension of [28]. Stability of the HER system is evaluated and compared with standard sensors. Uses of the HER system could be twofold: 1) it would enable the use of machines that do not include a resolver, with electric drives that require a resolver signal to operate and 2) a new type of position sensor for PMSM. Appealing properties of the HER system are reduced cost as well as simple, light and robust construction, as there are no moving parts or couplings.

The paper is organized as follows: design and operation principles of resolvers are presented in section II, emulation of a resolver using Hall-effect sensors is presented in section III, machine and Hall-effect sensors placement is presented in section IV, experimental results are presented in section V and conclusions are presented in section VI.

II. Resolver design and principles of operation

This section briefly reviews the design and operating principles of resolvers. Commercial resolvers can be classified into wound field (WF) [16]-[19] and variable reluctance (VR) [20]-[21]. WF resolvers include an excitation winding in the rotor, which is magnetically coupled with two identical stator windings having 90° mutual electrical phase shift [16]-[19]. A sinusoidal voltage, typically called carrier, is applied to the rotor windings, via brushes and rings or alternatively by means of a magnetic coupling (i.e. rotating transformer) [16]-[19]. The resulting voltages in the two-stator windings are a sine and cosine signal whose angle is modulated by the rotor angle. WF resolvers have a wide temperature range of operation, are small and light, and with a simple and robust construction; in addition they are highly insensitive to noise and tolerate long transmission cables [16]-[19]. On the other hand, VR resolvers have both the output and the excitation windings in the stator; no brushes or rotating transformer being therefore required. In general, VR resolvers are even less expensive and more robust than WF resolvers [13]-[14], [20]-[21].

Resolvers can be seen as a special type of rotary transformer that couples a primary winding (Input, see Fig. 1a) with two secondary windings (Output 1 & 2, Fig. 1a) that are 90 electrical degrees phase shifted; note that Fig. 1a shows a schematic representation of a VR resolver. Excitation signal, i.e. $V_{source}$ in Fig. 1a, is typically a sinusoidal signal (1), see Fig. 1b, where $V_0$ and $\omega_{source}$ are the magnitude and frequency of the excitation signal respectively. The excitation frequency depends on the resolver type. Brushless resolvers typically operate in the range of 1 to 10 kHz, while Variable Reluctance resolvers operate around 10 kHz. For the sake of compatibility with conventional resolvers, frequencies of 2kHz and 10 kHz will be used in this paper.

The output signals of the resolver are (2) and (3), $V_{out,1}$ and $V_{out,2}$ respectively, see Fig.1c and Fig.1d, where $k$ is the transformation ratio of the resolver, $\theta_i$ is the position of the resolver, $\omega_r$ is the rotating frequency of the resolver and $X$ is a multiplication factor for the angle [13].

\[ V_{source} = V_0 \sin(\omega_{source}t) \]  
\[ V_{out,1} = V_0 \sin(\omega_{source}t) \cdot k \sin(X\theta) \]  
\[ V_{out,2} = V_0 \sin(\omega_{source}t) \cdot k \cos(X\theta) \]
\( V_{\text{out},1} \) and \( V_{\text{out},2} \) fed a resolver-to-digital (R/D) converter [11]-[22], which typically includes a demodulation stage [12], [17]-[19], [32], [33]; \( V_{\text{out},1} \) and \( V_{\text{out},2} \) after the demodulation being expressed by (4) and (5); A large variety of methods have been proposed to obtain the rotor position, \( \theta_r \), from (4) and (5) [12], [17]-[19], [32], [33].

\[
V_{\text{out},1} = k \sin (X \theta_r) \\
V_{\text{out},2} = k \cos (X \theta_r)
\]

III. Emulation of a resolver using Hall-effect sensors

This section presents the proposed system to emulate a resolver using Hall-effect sensors.

Hall-effect sensors are typically used to convert a magnetic flux density signal into a voltage signal (i.e. Hall voltage). It consists on a semiconductor element (i.e. Hall element), see Fig. 2, which fed with a current \( I_z \). In the presence of a magnetic field \( (B_y) \) in Fig. 2, the induced Hall voltage \( (V_{HI}) \) is proportional to both the current applied, \( I_z \), and the magnetic flux density, \( B_y \), (6), where \( hw \) is the cross-sectional area of the element, \( e \) is the charge of the electron and \( n \) stands for the charge carrier density. Since \( e \), \( hw \) and \( n \) are constants, \( V_{HI} \) will be proportional to \( B_y \) and \( I_z \).

\[
V_{HI} = \frac{I_z B_y}{nhwe} \\
V_{HI} = k(I_z B_y)
\]

Fig. 2. Schematic representation of the Hall-effect phenomenon

Fig. 3 shows and schematic representation of the test machine that will be used for the experimental verification of the proposed HER system. The HER system consists of two Hall-effect sensors, 90 electrical degrees phase shifted, HALL-1 and HALL-2 in Fig. 3a. The sensors will be mounted on the end frame of the machine, measuring field in the radial direction; i.e. the sensors will measure the leakage flux in y-axis direction \( (B_y) \), see Figs. 3b and c, which will vary as the rotor rotates [29]. The output of HALL-1 and HALL-2 will consist of a sine and cosine waveforms containing the position information [28]-[30].

Hall sensors are normally fed using a DC current. The resulting output signals of the two Hall-effect sensors are shifted by 90º as shown in Fig. 4a.

It is possible however, to feed the sensors using a sinusoidal signal (either voltage or current), i.e. same as WF and VR resolvers [16]-[21]. The output signals from the two Hall-effect sensors are shown in Fig. 4b. The peak value of the resulting signals will be proportional to the magnet leakage flux density seen by the sensor and to the current feeding the sensor (7).

Similarities between the signals in Fig. 4b are those produced by traditional resolvers in Fig. 1c-d evident, what strongly support the idea that the same signal processing used with the resolver signals could be applied to the signals produced by the hall sensors. It is noted that in this case, there is no element
attached to the rotor, the solution being therefore simple, compact and robust.

It must be noted that the proposed method assumes a linear response of the sensor with the excitation signal. To validate this assumption, a sensor was placed on top of a PM (see Fig. 5a), being subjected to a constant field. The sensor was fed with a sinusoidal voltage $V_{in}$ shown in Fig. 5b (see Fig. 5a). Fig. 5c and d show the output of the sensor, $V_{out}$, and excitation signal $V_{in}$ vs. output of the sensor ($V_{in}$-$V_{out}$, see Fig. 5d). The results in Fig. 5 confirm the linear response of the sensor with respect its sinusoidal excitation.

Assembly of the sensors does not differ from standard Hall-effect sensors already available in some machines [34]-[35], while cabling between sensors and drive is the same as for standard resolvers [16]-[21]. The phase shift among sensors is 90 electrical degrees, which corresponds to 30 mechanical degrees in a 6-pole machine, see Fig. 6a; the output of the two Hall-effect sensors will be therefore two sinusoidal signals, 90º phase shifted (i.e. sine and cosine waveforms). Hall-effect sensors that have been used, [31], are standard sensors typically used for motion control in PMSMs [28]-[30]. Standard Hall-effect sensors used in PMSM drives measure the magnetic flux density along one direction, i.e. they are 1D sensors; Hall-effect sensors used in this work are oriented in the radial direction, i.e. y-axis direction (see Fig.6a), which is expected to provide high sensitivity to PM leakage flux and low sensitivity to stator current [28]-[30].
It is finally noted that the input impedance of the Hall sensor is \( \sim 725\Omega \) [31], the total power consumption of the HER sensor (RMS) being \( \sim 34.5\text{mW} \ (\sim 17.2\text{mW per sensor}) \). Input impedance of conventional resolvers (VR and Brushless) varies from \( \sim 50\Omega \) to \( \sim 150\Omega \), leading to power consumptions in the range of 250 to 500\text{mW}.

V. Experimental results

Experimental results of the HER system are presented in this section for different working conditions of the machine.

A 2kHz, 5V peak signal was used to feed the sensor in Figs. 7-8, 10 kHz 10V was used in Figs. 10 to 13. These signals are similar to those used by conventional resolvers, meaning that they can feed directly any existing circuitry designed for the signal processing of conventional resolvers.
The bandwidth of the proposed HER will depend primarily on the bandwidth of the PLL, which has been set to 230 Hz. The bandwidth of the Hall sensor 250 kHz, and can be therefore safely neglected [31].

A. Influence of dq-axes currents

Both $d$- and $q$-axes stator currents [29] are expected to interfere with the leakage flux due to the magnets measured by the Hall effect sensors. $d$-axis current will affect only to the amplitude of the measured signals, but with no effect on the angles, as it is aligned with the magnet. $q$-axis current affects the phase of the leakage flux waveform, resulting therefore in a position error.

Fig. 10a shows the estimated position error when $d$-axis current is varied from 0 to -1 pu (i.e. flux weakening) in steps of 0.25 pu. As expected, $d$-axis currents do not affect the accuracy. Similarly Fig 9b shows the results when $q$-axis current is varied from 0 to 1 pu in steps of 0.25 pu. As expected, the error increases almost linearly with the $q$-axis current. However, this error can be compensated, two different options have been evaluated:

- linear compensation using (11): the compensation angle, $\Delta \theta$ being proportional to the $q$-axis current

$$\Delta \theta = a I_q$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

- trigonometric compensation using (12); the compensation angle that relies on two constants, $a$ and $b$.

$$\Delta \theta = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{a I_q}{b}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Compensation constants are experimentally approximated. Fig. 10b shows the position error using these two compensation methods, both leading to similar results (i.e. error <0.1 rad).

![Fig. 9: Schematic representation of the signal processing used for speed and position estimation.](image)

![Fig. 10a: Angular position error a) when $I_d$ is varied (flux weakening), b) when $I_q$ is varied (torque current), with and without compensation. $\omega_{source} = 2 \cdot \pi \cdot 10000 \text{ rad/s}$, $V_{source} = 10 \text{ V}$, $n_0 = 200 \text{ rpm}$.](image)

Performance of the proposed HER during transient operation has been also analyzed. Fig. 11 shows the experimental results when a $d$-axis current step of -0.5 pu is applied at $t=0.35s$ with the machine operating at constant speed. Fig. 11a shows the measured $d$ and $q$-axis currents. Fig. 11b shows the rotor position measured with the proposed system without and with compensation of $q$-axis current effects as well as the rotor position measured with the encoder. It is noted that the error does not increase due to transients in the $d$-axis current.

Similarly, Fig. 12 shows the case when a $q$-axis current step of -0.5 pu is applied (at $t=0.35s$ for the case of without and with linear compensation, in the second case the error remaining <0.1 rad).
Fig. 11. Response to a d-axis current step a) d- and q-axis current, b) measured position using the HER without and with q-axis current compensation, as from the encoder, and c) estimated position error. $\omega_{\text{source}} = 2 \cdot \pi \cdot 10000 \text{ rad/s}$, $V_{\text{source}} = 10 \text{ V}$.

Fig. 12. Response to a q-axis current step a) d- and q-axis current, b) estimated position using the HER without and with q-axis current compensation. Position provided by the encoder is also shown, and c) estimation error. $\omega_{\text{source}} = 2 \cdot \pi \cdot 10000 \text{ rad/s}$, $V_{\text{source}} = 10 \text{ V}$, $\omega_r = 200 \text{ rpm}$.

B. Operation at variable speed

Performance of the HER is expected to be independent of speed. Fig. 13 shows the sensor signals and the estimated speed and position when the speed varies as shown in Fig. 13a. Results in Fig. 13d show a maximum position error of $\pm 0.05 \text{ rad}$ in steady state, which slightly increases during transients. Maximum error of commercially available variable reluctance resolvers ranges from $\pm 0.01 \text{ rad}$ for four-pole variable resolvers to $\pm 0.018 \text{ rad}$ for two-pole variable resolvers. Maximum error of commercially available brushless resolvers is in the range of $\pm 0.006 \text{ rad}$.
VI. Conclusions

This paper proposes a method to emulate a resolver using low cost Hall-effect sensors. The proposed HER device consists of two Hall-effect sensors, mounted on the end shield of the machine, 90 electrical degrees phase shifted, which measure the magnetic flux leakage of the PMSM.

Compared to commercial resolvers, the proposed HER sensor has higher error, but in turn it provides several advantages:

- Very low cost
- Reduced size, which eases its integration in the drive, its impact on system inertia being negligible.
- Increased robustness, as there are no moving parts or coupling.
- Lower power consumption than conventional resolvers and full compatibility with resolver circuitry.

Experimental results have been provided to demonstrate the viability of the proposed HER system.
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