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Abstract—This paper presents an agile system for antenna diagnosis. The system is operated by means of freehand movements of the probe antenna avoiding the need of bulky positioners and thus providing portability to the system. For that purpose, the probe antenna position, which is required by the antenna diagnosis algorithm, is retrieved by means of an affordable and quickly-deployable motion capture system. The probe position and the acquired field are used to characterize the antenna under test by computing an equivalent currents distribution on the antenna aperture and its far-field pattern in real-time. To achieve that, an ad hoc workflow is designed including a method for spatially balancing the acquired field samples. Although the system is not intended to provide an accuracy comparable to the one achieved by anechoic chamber facilities, it opens a new horizon of possibilities for in situ agile characterization of antennas enabling the detection of faulty elements or radiation pattern deviations. Results at Ku and Ka bands, supported by attached multimedia material, are presented to illustrate the capabilities of the system.

Index Terms—Antenna measurements; antenna diagnosis; freehand; equivalent currents; sources reconstruction method; mm-wave antenna.

I. INTRODUCTION

ANTENNA diagnosis aims to detect malfunctions of radiating systems so the corresponding repair actions can be launched. This approach has been widely studied in last decades resulting in a large number of robust methods with different scopes.

On one hand, a large family of antenna diagnosis techniques is devoted to the detection of faulty elements by analyzing the impact of one or more failures in the far-field pattern [1], [2]. Since the number of faulty elements is usually expected to be low, this kind of problem can be formulated as a compressed sensing problem taking advantage of the benefits of this technique with respect to sampling [3]. In addition, similar techniques exploiting near-field rather than far-field observations are also available [4]. Although the number of techniques in this family is large, the general advantage is that they can operate with a small number of samples as well as their computational efficiency. The drawbacks are: i) the limited amount of information provided by these techniques as they only provide information about status of elements, which is usually reduced to a binary observation (i.e., healthy or not); ii) a potential relatively wide angular scan can be required when operating in the far-field, which is not always possible.

On the other hand, another large family of techniques exploits the Huygens’ principle to reconstruct an equivalent currents distribution at a surface enclosing the antenna under test (AUT). These equivalent currents radiate the same fields as the AUT at any point outside the surface enclosing it [5], [6], [7]. In some cases (e.g. planar antennas, aperture antennas) the surface enclosing the AUT can be truncated to a finite size plane, as most of the energy radiated by the AUT is contained in such plane [8].

In the last years, several equivalent currents-based techniques have been implemented, due to their potential for antenna diagnosis and characterization using arbitrary-geometry measurement and reconstruction domains [6], [9]. Apart from antenna diagnosis, these techniques have been proved to be efficient for removing unwanted structural interactions [10], [11].

In this contribution, the Sources Reconstruction Method (SRM) described in [8] and [5] will be considered. This inverse problem is solved by expanding the unknown currents into known basis functions, whose coefficients are related to the field observations by means of a linear system of equations.

In contrast to the faulty element detection methods, the equivalent currents-based techniques provide information about the amplitude and phase of the fields around the antenna. Moreover, the far-field radiation pattern can be calculated from the reconstructed equivalent currents by means of a near-field to far-field transformation. Consequently, these techniques are able to detect the amplitude and phase of each element of the antenna as well as other undesired effects such as unwanted reflections in the antenna itself or its neighborhood. This insightful information comes at the expense of computationally intensive algorithms to solve the system of equations. However, this last point has been alleviated by means of several approaches such as the fast multiple method (FMM) [12], [13], the adaptive cross algorithm (ACA) [14] or the use of GPUs [15].

Despite the robustness of the previous diagnosis approaches, these techniques usually resort to relatively bulky and heavy equipment in order to provide reliable sampling of the radiated fields. This paper aims to present an agile diagnosis system, exploiting the basis of some of the previous approaches, to provide real-time diagnosis from freehand movements so that the system can bypass the need of heavy positioners. The
system is mostly intended to work at mm-wave frequencies at which the impact of a non-anechoic environment is in general significantly smaller than at lower frequencies. Although it clearly has an impact in the accuracy, it will be shown that the system is still able to accurately detect the status of the elements and provide a fair estimate of the far-field radiation pattern.

Regarding the kind of diagnosis method, the source reconstruction, conveniently modified to consider the particularities of the system, is used as it provides the more complete information about the amplitude and phase distribution of the antenna as well as its radiation pattern.

The proposed system entails a number of challenges. The first one is the physical implementation as it requires an accurate position tracking as well as portable components. However, current technologies in terms of affordable infrared cameras, providing position reports with an accuracy in the order of ∼100-200 µm, as well as portable VNAs make possible to easily implement the system by means of commercial components. In particular, the idea of increasing the flexibility of the acquisition schemes by means of using camera-based positioning systems has been presented in [16] and [17] to directly map either the electric or the magnetic field strength radiated by a device under test onto its predefined 3D model manually. In addition, the same authors also proposed the use of a camera-based positioning system to track a probe under 2D constrained movements in order to map electromagnetic sources by means of backpropagation in [16] and [18]. Although this system improves the flexibility with respect to conventional mechanical acquisition systems, it imposes several constraints that limit its applicability in some scenarios. In particular, it requires a planar structure made of electrically transparent material (such as foam) to ensure a planar scan and a gimbal stabilizer to maintain the orientation of the scanning probe. In addition, it uses an FFT-based method, which requires a regular acquisition grid (missing points are set to zero). The use of a motion capture system in the context of radar imaging was presented in [19].

The second major challenge comes from the fact of acquiring data irregularly sampled due to the freehand scanning. Most of source reconstruction methods are intended to work with data coming from regular grid acquisitions such as planar [20], cylindrical or spherical [6], [21] acquisitions. However, it is relevant to observe that irregularly sampled data has been recently considered by some diagnosis methods to take into account possible deviations from a regular grid, i.e., positioner errors that can be tracked. In particular, quasi-cylindrical, -spherical and -planar data has been studied for diagnosis near-field samples measured at arbitrary positions by means of freehand movements of the probe antenna. The relevant hardware components, the system flowchart and the modified source reconstruction method are described next.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE SYSTEM

The presented system aims to characterize an antenna under test by means of the equivalent sources computed on a surface enclosing the AUT. The sources are computed from near-field samples measured at arbitrary positions by means of freehand movements of the probe antenna. The relevant hardware components, the system flowchart and the modified source reconstruction method are described next.

A. Hardware

The main components of the system are depicted in Fig. 1. The system comprises three major subsystems: i) electromagnetic field acquisition subsystem; ii) positioning subsystem; iii) processing subsystem. In general, commercial off-the-shelf components will be used for the implementation.

The electromagnetic field acquisition subsystem comprises a probe antenna and a vector network analyzer (VNA). As in conventional antenna measurements, the VNA is connected to the AUT as well as to the probe antenna (e.g., an open-ended waveguide) to acquire the S21 parameter. In the presented implementation, two different VNAs have been used. The first
one is a Fieldfox N9950A, which is a portable VNA with capabilities to perform measurements up to 14 GHz. The second VNA is a Keysight PNA-X, which provides better capabilities in terms of dynamic range, time sweep and frequency range at the expenses of larger dimensions and weight in line with standard laboratory analyzers.

In order to perform the antenna diagnosis, it is necessary to know the radiated field but also the position at which the field samples are acquired. Thus, the second major component of the system is the positioning subsystem. This subsystem is devoted to acquire both the position and attitude of the probe antenna. The positioning subsystem must meet several requirements. First, it must be compatible with the probes so it does not require significant, if any, modifications of the probe. Second, it must be accurate enough so that positioning error has a low or negligible impact in the final result. The latter criteria is met as long as the positioning error is much smaller than the working wavelength. Third, the positioning system must provide real-time position information.

In the implementation presented in this contribution, a motion capture system [30] has been adapted to work as positioning subsystem. The system comprises four infrared cameras at fixed positions and four markers with a diameter of 6.5 mm, which can be easily attached to most of probes. The system can be easily calibrated in a few minutes by means of its own calibration software and moving a wand with markers at known positions. The system provides up to 240 positions per second, which is enough for a real-time system. In our setup, the calibration shows an error in the range of 100–200 µm. Thus, this error is expected to have a negligible impact in the final results up to 15 GHz if the criteria of \( \lambda/100 \) is assumed as in IEEE standards for antenna measurements [31], [32]. However, since other sources of error are expected to have a larger impact (e.g., reflections due to the lack of an anechoic environment), the positioning error can be relaxed. In particular, under a criterion of \( \lambda/10 \), the setup is expected to provide fair results up to 150 GHz.

The third pillar of the presented system is the processing subsystem. This subsystem processes the acquired radiated field and positions by means of a source reconstruction method (SRM) to obtain the antenna diagnosis information. In addition, it also triggers the synchronous acquisition of the position and radiated field. The flowchart of this processing is detailed later in section II-B. This processing subsystem is implemented by means of one or two conventional PCs 4 since the sample acquisition and the SRM can be run in independent computers to alleviate the computational cost.

B. Flowchart

The system is based on continuously moving the probe antenna over the antenna under test so that the radiated field is sampled at different positions. During this process, the system continuously updates the antenna characterization in terms of equivalent currents in the aperture and of far-field pattern. Those functionalities are implemented by means of the flowchart shown in Fig. 2.

4In general, laptops are preferred due to portability concerns.

The workflow involves two independent processes, which can be run in different machines, both in parallel to the operator movements. The acquisition process is in charge of the synchronous acquisition of the position and field samples. In order to balance the sample distribution, the approach detailed in section II-D is used, resulting in some discarded samples based on the acquisition position. In addition, in order to ensure that the main lobe of the probe antenna points to the front as in a conventional planar range and that the desired polarization is measured, the orientation of the probe antenna is monitored and measurements when the yaw, pitch or roll angles of the probe are above a given threshold are also discarded. According to the performed measurements, a threshold value of 5° provides a good trade-off between scanning speed and accuracy. Furthermore, in order to set an upper bound of the probe movement during a frequency sweep, the position of the probe is queried before and after the sweep and, if the difference is higher than a given frequency-dependent threshold, the sample is discarded. In particular, for the measurements discussed in this paper this threshold was set to 1 mm in the case of the mm-wave frequency measurements and to 2 mm in the case of the X-band measurements. Non-discarded samples are buffered until a certain amount of samples is gathered and, after that, the samples are sent to the diagnosis process. The sample buffer avoids an unnecessary computational burden associated to recomputing the equivalent currents for each new acquisition as the operator usually does not require an update rate so high.

The diagnosis process receives and stores the position and field samples. After receiving each set of samples, it computes the equivalent currents distribution and the radiation pattern of the AUT (see next section for further details) while more data is acquired by the operator. The diagnosis information (currents distribution and radiation pattern) is updated and displayed in real-time, alongside with a heatmap depicting where measurements have been acquired. In addition, the
amplitude and phase of the acquired fields are shown on a bidimensional grid. All the previous real-time information is intended to aid the operator to decide how to proceed to scan. For example, the operator can dynamically change from scanning a certain area to a different one based on the achieved sample density, the lack of impact of new samples in the final result, energy of the sampling area, etc.

C. Source Reconstruction Method

The acquired field and position samples are processed by means of a source reconstruction method (SRM) [5]. In the presented implementation, a planar reconstruction domain (usually placed at the antenna aperture) is chosen and, therefore, only the equivalent magnetic currents are needed [8]. The magnetic currents are discretized by means of known basis functions yielding the following linear system of equations:

\[
A\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_1 \\ E_2 \\ \vdots \\ E_M \end{bmatrix}
\]  

(1)

where \(b_n\) is the weight of the \(n\)-th basis function and \(E_m\) is the field sample at the \(m\)-th considered position. The matrix \(A\) has dimensions \(M \times N\) where \(N\) is the number of basis functions and \(M\) is the number of considered NF samples. The elements at row \(m\) and column \(n\) of matrix \(A\) relate the field at the \(m\)-th position radiated by the \(n\)-th basis function with the corresponding evaluation of the Green’s function [5].

The previous system of equations, which is usually over-determined, is solved by means of an iterative solver, such as the conjugated gradient method, providing the minimum error and energy solution to (1). After that, the far-field radiation pattern is computed by using the corresponding radiation integrals [33].
they should be in the order of $\lambda/2$. However, this size can be relaxed if more than one sample per cuboid is considered. On the other hand, the vertical cell size $t$ enables some tolerance so that it relaxes the operator movement and it is usually chosen up to $\lambda/2$ to avoid electrically large gaps between adjacent samples. It should be pointed out that when more than one acquisition per cell is performed, i.e., $P > 1$, the effective sampling step in the vertical dimension would be smaller than the value of the tolerance, $t$, and, thus, the requirement of $t < \lambda/2$ can be relaxed. Since samples outside those cells are discarded, a visual aid is included in the interface so that the operator knows the distance to the cells bottom and top in order to move the probe to the corresponding area. In addition, the density of samples per cell is shown by means of the aforementioned heatmap.

An example of the use of cells is shown in Fig. 3. In this example, only a few cuboids are shown to ease the visualization of the trajectory of the probe and the acquired samples, but a plane through the center of the cells is shown to help in illustrating the process. In this example, a maximum of two samples per cell (i.e., $P = 2$) is considered. Thus, the sample corresponding to the orange empty circle marker is discarded since two samples are already available. In addition, the sample corresponding to the orange empty square marker is also discarded because the vertical tolerance is exceeded.

In addition to mitigate the effect of non-equally spaced samples, it is important to note that there are some environmental agents that can distort the measurement. In particular, these agents are: a) coupling between the AUT and the probe as well as between the probe and the operator holding the antenna; b) reflections due to the non-anechoic environment.

The reactive coupling between the AUT and the probe follows the same rules as in conventional planar measurements and therefore it is considered that the near-field acquisition can be successfully performed as long as a distance of a few wavelengths is considered [34]. It is relevant to consider that, as in conventional planar range measurements, the distance between the AUT and the acquisition plane (i.e., the reference surface) also has an impact on the plane truncation error [34].

Regarding the coupling between the probe and the person who is holding it (i.e., touching the probe antenna), a coupling similar to the supporting structures such as the ones in conventional facilities (e.g., an anechoic chamber) is expected. In this regard, the use of electrically long open-ended waveguides (OEWG) is recommended in order to reduce reactive coupling.

Finally, the reflections due to the non-anechoic environment (including the operator) are also expected to have an impact in the accuracy of the system. These reflections are inherent to any in situ measurements. However, the impact of these reflections is expected to be significantly alleviated at high frequencies due to the propagation losses. As it will be experimentally shown, a good quality is found at mm-wave frequencies, whereas the system still shows a fair quality at a few GHz (12 GHz).

III. Validation of the System

The performance of the proposed system was assessed through several measurements conducted at the research facilities of the Signal Theory and Communications group of the University of Oviedo (TSC-UniOvi). A general overview of the setup is depicted in Fig. 4. The first set of tests consisted of different measurements of a two horn antenna array at Ka band and using the laboratory VNA (Keysight PNA-X) to measure the radiated signal. During the second set of tests a leaky wave antenna at 12 GHz was characterized using both the aforementioned VNA and a portable VNA (Keysight Fieldfox N9950A). The sweep time of the PNA-X, which was configured to measure 201 frequency points in all of the measurements, was 1.45 ms and that of the Fieldfox, which was configured to measure 11 frequency points, was 24 ms. The reference results of each set of measurements are compared with the ones obtained with a planar range of the University of Oviedo.

A. Two horn antenna array

First, a two horn antenna array at Ka band with the antennas separated 2.1 cm (Fig. 5) was measured. Four markers were attached by means of a 3D printed support structure to the
probe antenna, which was an open-ended waveguide WR28, so that it could be tracked by the motion capture system.

A total of 6573 measurements were taken at an average distance of 3.5 cm from the AUT and the size of the cuboids used to balance the sampling was given by $d = 2\,\text{mm}$ and $t = 5\,\text{mm}$. The total acquisition time was 892 s. The magnitude and the phase of the computed equivalent currents distribution at 32 GHz are shown in Figs. 6a and 6b, respectively. As it can be seen, two similar sources both in phase and magnitude, corresponding to the two horn antennas of the array, can be clearly identified (the area corresponding to the aperture of each horn is enclosed by a black dashed line). The maximum of both sources differ only in 0.58 dB in magnitude and 1.2° in phase (which may result from small imperfections in the setup of the array), and the separation between sources matches the physical space between both horns. The phase variation across the aperture of the horns is 27.9°. The heatmap, depicting where measurements were taken, is shown in Fig. 6c. Finally, the H-plane of the far-field pattern obtained from the equivalent currents distribution after performing a NF-FF transformation is shown in blue in Fig. 6d. The theoretical pattern, depicted in red, was obtained considering an array of two elements separated the same distance as the actual horn-array, fed with equal magnitude and phase and considering an element radiation pattern of $\cos\theta$. The side lobes of the H-plane cut of both patterns are at ±22°, being the correspondence between the theoretical model and the pattern obtained from the measurements very good within the angular margin of validity of the measurements.

In addition, the same AUT was measured using the proposed system but increasing the height of the cuboids (i.e. its dimension in the measurement direction) used to control the sampling to $t = 2\,\text{cm}$. In this case measurements were acquired at an average distance of 6.1 cm from the AUT. The computed H-plane of the far-field pattern is depicted in dashed-green line in Fig. 6d. As it can be seen, although the obtained pattern is slightly different, the accuracy is still sufficient for a fast diagnostic and characterization of an AUT outside a laboratory facility such as an anechoic chamber. In addition, relaxing the control of the sampling allows for a much faster diagnostic of antennas (the total scanning time for $t = 2\,\text{cm}$ was reduced to only 223 s).

The same array was deployed inside a conventional planar range at the University of Oviedo [35] and it was measured using both the planar range and the proposed system to compare the obtained results with the reference ones retrieved using conventional techniques. Nevertheless, some cables were replaced resulting in slightly different phase shifts and, consequently, radiation patterns. The measurement setup is depicted in Fig. 7, where it is possible to observe the two-horn antenna array inside the planar range and the cameras of the motion capture system. During the freehand scan, a total of 4091 samples were acquired in an area of $19.6 \times 23.3\,\text{cm}$ at 7.1 cm from the AUT in 984 s. Using the planar range, a total of 3135 acquisitions were performed in a regular grid of $24.3 \times 25.2\,\text{cm}$ at 10 cm from the AUT. The step between consecutive samples was $\lambda/2$ at 32 GHz and the total acquisition time was 6173 s.

Fig. 7. Measurement setup in the planar range.

The amplitude of the reconstructed equivalent currents distribution at 32 GHz is depicted in Fig. 8a and Fig. 8b using the measurements obtained with the proposed system and with the standard planar range, respectively. As it can be seen, although small artifacts below $-20\,\text{dB}$ appear when using the proposed system, the results are similar. The same holds for the phase of the reconstructed equivalent currents distribution, which is shown in Fig. 8c and Fig. 8d using the proposed system and the planar range, respectively. The radiation pattern computed
Fig. 9. Measurement setup using an attenuator connected to one of the horns of the two antenna array.

from the equivalent currents distribution estimated using the proposed system and the planar range is depicted in Fig. 8e and Fig. 8f, respectively. As it can be observed, although the pattern obtained with the proposed system is noisier than the one measured with the planar range, the main and the secondary lobes are well-reconstructed, which demonstrates the accuracy of the proposed system.

B. Two horn antenna array with an attenuator

For the next test a variable attenuator was added to one element of the previous two horn antenna array (Fig. 9). In this case the VNA was configured to acquire 201 frequency points between 24 and 32 GHz and the same probe antenna as in the former experiment was used. During the scan a total of 7271 acquisitions were performed at an average distance of 3.6 cm from the AUT and the size of the cuboids used to balance the sampling were given by \( d = 2 \) mm and \( t = 5 \) mm.

Results for \( f = 32 \) GHz are shown in Fig. 10. At this frequency, the attenuator level, previously measured using the VNA, is 4.6 dB. This level matches the difference existing between the amplitude values of the reconstructed equivalent currents on each horn antenna aperture (Fig. 10a), which results in 4.5 dB. In addition, the phase shift between the reconstructed currents on each aperture is 55° (Fig. 10b), in agreement with the extra phase shift of the attenuator branch measured with the VNA. The heatmap depicting where measurements were taken is shown in Fig. 10c and the H-plane cut of the far-field pattern computed through a NF-FF transformation is shown in blue in Fig. 10d, where a good correspondence in the main and side lobes with a theoretical model can be observed; higher differences appear for values of other secondary lobes of the pattern below –20 dB.

The difference in both magnitude and phase of the maximum of the equivalent currents distribution between both horn antennas is depicted for all the measured frequencies in Figs. 11a and 11b, respectively. In addition, the same difference, depicted in red, was characterized using the VNA measuring directly the \( S_{21} \) parameter between the end ports of the array feeding network. As it can be seen in Fig. 11a, the difference in magnitude obtained with the diagnosis system and from the feeding network measurement are very similar with errors below 1 dB. The curve obtained with the proposed antenna measurement system presents a higher ripple, probably due to the presence of reflections in the near environment. The phase difference retrieved using the system is very similar to that obtained using the VNA across the whole measured frequency range as can be observed in Fig. 11b. As it can be seen, in 97% of the cases the error is below 5°, being the maximum error 7.8°.

C. Leaky-wave antenna

Finally, the leaky-wave antenna depicted in Fig. III-C was diagnosed at 12 GHz with the proposed system, using both the PNA-X and the Fieldfox portable VNA. In addition, this antenna was also measured in a conventional planar range [35] in order to have a reference result. The probe antenna was an open-ended waveguide WR90.
Using the proposed system and the PNA-X a total of 937 NF samples were acquired. Measurements were taken at an average distance of 11 cm from the AUT, covering an area of 27 × 33 cm, and the size of the cuboids used to balance the sampling were given by \( d = 1 \text{ cm} \) and \( t = 1 \text{ cm} \).

Fig. 12. Measured leaky-wave antenna.

Concerning the measurements using the planar range with the setup depicted in Fig. 13, a total of 10201 NF samples were acquired in a planar domain of 1 × 1 m, with a sampling step of 1 cm in the x- and y- axes.

Fig. 13. Measurement setup in the planar range.

The magnitude of the equivalent currents distribution obtained using the proposed system and the planar range are depicted in Figs. 14a and 14b, respectively. As it can be seen, the shape and magnitude of the currents are in good agreement. In addition, in order to show the influence of the value of \( d \), the NF samples acquired with the proposed system were post-processed using \( d = 2 \text{ cm} \) (0.8\( \lambda \)). The computed equivalent currents distribution are also depicted in Fig. 14c, where it can be noticed how the reconstructed equivalent currents distribution exhibits more artifacts.

The phase of the equivalent currents distribution retrieved with the proposed system and with the planar range are depicted in Figs. 15a and 15b, respectively. For a better comparison, only the phase where the magnitude of the equivalent currents distribution is above −15 dB is plotted. As it can be observed, both phase profiles are in good agreement.

Finally, the far-field pattern was computed from the equivalent currents distribution by means of a NF-FF transformation. In particular, the results of the E-plane cut obtained using the proposed system with the PNA-X, the proposed system with the Fieldfox portable VNA as well as using the planar range are depicted in Fig. 16. Using the Fieldfox portable VNA a total of 764 samples were acquired at an average distance of 10.3 cm, covering an area of 26 × 31 cm. In this example, it can be noticed that the proposed system, using both hardware configurations, is able to correctly compute the position of the maximum of the field pattern at \( \theta = -22^\circ \). In addition, the −15 dB sidelobe at \( \theta = 20^\circ \) is also predicted and the rest of radiation pattern in the angular margin of validity (denoted with vertical dashed lines in Fig. 16) is in a fair agreement with the planar range results despite the freehand measurements not being accomplished in an anechoic environment.

Fig. 14. Magnitude of the computed equivalent currents distribution obtained using the proposed system and \( d = 1 \text{ cm} \) (a), using a planar range (b) and employing the proposed system and \( d = 2 \text{ cm} \) (c).

Fig. 15. Phase of the equivalent currents distribution retrieved from the NF measurements obtained using the proposed system (a) and using a planar range (b). Only the phase where the magnitude of the equivalent currents distribution is above −15 dB is plotted.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

A system to perform freehand scanning of antennas providing fast diagnosis without the need of a typical antenna measurement range has been presented. The system comprises
a handheld probe antenna, which is moved in front of the AUT aperture, a VNA, which is connected to both the probe antenna and the AUT, a motion capture system to retrieve the position of the probe antenna, and a conventional laptop in charge of controlling the field and position samples and of processing the acquired data. During the scan, field and position acquisitions are triggered as the operator moves the antenna in front of the AUT and the SRM is applied to the obtained data to provide a real-time estimation of an equivalent currents distribution on the aperture of the AUT and its radiation pattern after a NF-FF transformation is performed. In addition, several strategies to cope with non regular hand movements of the probe antenna, which result in an uneven distribution of field samples, are discussed.

The proposed system was validated through several sets of measurements in Ku and Ka bands. The results show that a fast and rough diagnosis of the status of radiating elements can be obtained in a few seconds while a full and more accurate characterization of antennas can be achieved in only a few minutes for low to medium size antennas. Although the accuracy is not expected to be as high as the one obtained in typical anechoic antenna measurement ranges, the results obtained with the presented system exhibit very good accuracy at mm-wave frequencies.

In addition, the presented system can be deployed in a matter of minutes and it can be easily implemented with portable components, paving the way to a new approach to perform fast, in situ diagnosis. Future work will focus on modifying the system to include phaseless algorithms to avoid the need of a connection to the antenna under test as well as to reduce the overall cost.
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