Electrochemical Micropipette-Tip for Low-Cost Environmental Applications: Determination of Anionic Surfactants through their Interaction with Methylene Blue

Andrea González-López, Estefanía Costa-Rama, M. Teresa Fernández-Abedul

PII: S0039-9140(20)31023-7

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2020.121732

Reference: TAL 121732

To appear in: *Talanta*

Received Date: 16 July 2020

Revised Date: 26 September 2020

Accepted Date: 1 October 2020

Please cite this article as: A. González-López, E. Costa-Rama, M.T. Fernández-Abedul, Electrochemical Micropipette-Tip for Low-Cost Environmental Applications: Determination of Anionic Surfactants through their Interaction with Methylene Blue, *Talanta*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2020.121732.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier B.V.



Credit author statement

A.González-López: methodology, investigation, visualization, writing original draft; **E. Costa-Rama**: methodology, supervision, writing-review and editing; **M.T. Fernández-Abedul**: conceptualization, methodology, supervision, writing-review and editing.

Journal Pre-proof



Electrochemical Micropipette-Tip for Low-Cost
 Environmental Applications: Determination of Anionic
 Surfactants through their Interaction with Methylene
 Blue

5 Andrea González-López, Estefanía Costa-Rama, M. Teresa Fernández-Abedul*

6 Departamento de Química Física y Analítica, Universidad de Oviedo, 33006, Oviedo,7 Spain

8 *mtfernandeza@uniovi.es; Phone: +34 985102968

9 Abstract

Miniaturization is one of the main requirements of the design of portable devices 10 that allow in-field analysis. This is especially interesting in environmental monitoring, 11 where the time of the sample-to-result process could be decreased considerably by 12 approaching the analytical platforms to the sampling point. We employed traditional 13 mass-produced and low-cost elements (micropipette tips and pins) in an out-of-box 14 application to generate an innovative and cost-effective platform for analytical 15 purposes. We have designed simple and easy-to-use electrochemical cells inside 16 17 polypropylene micropipette tips with three stainless-steel pins acting as the working, 18 reference and counter electrodes of a potentiostatic system. The pin acting as working 19 electrode was previously coated with carbon ink, meanwhile the rest were used 20 unmodified. In this way, electrochemical measurements were done directly in-the-tip using low volumes (µL) of sample. The devices showed good reproducibility, with a 21 22 relative standard deviation of 7% (n=5) for five different tip-based complete electrochemical cells. As a proof-of-concept, its utility has been probed by the 23 24 determination of an anionic surfactant (sodium dodecyl sulphate, SDS) in water 25 through its interaction with methylene blue (MB). Two different alternatives were presented: 1) electrochemical determination of the MB remaining in the aqueous phase 26 27 after extraction of the pair SDS-MB to an organic medium.

28 Keywords: micropipette-tip electrochemical cell, stainless-steel analysis,
29 electrochemical analysis, water analysis, sodium dodecyl sulphate.

30 **1. Introduction**

The design of miniaturized and low-cost sensing devices is an area of enormous interest in the field of Analytical Chemistry. They are definitely required to move

(bio)chemical analysis from the bench to the field [1]. In fact, the combination of wireless sensors with smartphones is producing platforms well suited to perform decentralized analysis [2,3]. In this context, the electrochemical detection must be pointed out since it is low cost and easily miniaturized and provide high selective and sensitive methodologies [4].

In terms of cost-effectiveness, the use of common elements for out-of-box 38 applications is clearly increasing. They are already mass-produced items that can be 39 utilized for novel applications. In 2016, Whiteside's group introduced the use of 40 stainless-steel pins as electrodes in combination with thread for microfluidic 41 determination of lactate [5]. The modification of these metallic elements with carbon ink 42 allowed their use to determine glucose enzymatically, in static [6] and flow-based 43 44 systems [7]. Batch injection analysis has also been demonstrated for epinephrine 45 determination using pin-based cells [8]. Their low size enabled the design of arrays for performing simultaneous measurements using a multichannel potentiostat [6]. 46 47 Similarly, other mass-produced elements of common use such as staples have been 48 modified for use as electrodes in new electrochemical low-cost platforms [9].

Among the items that are widely employed in most (bio)chemical laboratories and 49 can be employed in out-of-box applications are micropipette tips. They can be used for 50 51 different purposes apart from dispensing liquids, their main function. These novel 52 applications include sample purification as in the case of tips containing a bed of 53 chromatography media for the detection of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) and 54 prostate-specific antigen (PSA) in urine samples [10] or selective extraction, with integrated molecularly-imprinted monoliths, of the toxin berberine [11] or the pesticide 55 methomyl [12]. More recently, our research group designed an enzymatic 56 immunoassay where the inner surface of a micropipette tip was turned into a capture 57 58 zone for anti-tissue transglutaminase (IgA) [13], antigen of interest for the detection of the celiac disease. 59

60 Moving further in this direction, in this work we add a new functionality to this common and affordable consumable material, easily found in laboratories. With this 61 aim, we have integrated a very simple electrochemical cell. Already in 1991, a 62 micropipette tip was used as a platform for the fabrication of carbon fiber 63 ultramicroelectrodes [14]. Following this idea of using the tip as container, miniaturized 64 electrochemical devices were designed using micropipette tips combined with e.g., 65 graphite composite [15] or epoxy resin [16]. In these examples, the micropipette tip 66 67 acted as the body of the working electrode, that had to be immersed in the sample solution for performing measurements, as happens with e.g., a polymeric cylinder that 68

encloses carbon paste or a pencil lead. The other two electrodes (reference and 69 counter electrodes) had to be introduced in the solution as well, which could be done: i) 70 71 independently, as different elements, or ii) integrated in the outer part or the tip. Apart from these approaches, the tips can be used, after sealing the bottom, as low-cost and 72 73 low-volume electrochemical cells. Following this, three small-size electrodes with a pencil graphite working electrode were introduced in a tip-based cell [17]. However, in 74 75 all these cases the tip is modified and cannot be used in combination with a 76 micropipette for performing its original function: aspirating and dispensing solutions. 77 There is a unique report on the use of a micropipette-tip used as container of a 78 complete electrochemical cell [18] that retained this function. In this case, the sample 79 was aspirated and analyzed thanks to the introduction of three wire electrodes (with gold-based working and reference electrodes) and a cotton wool filter (for medium 80 storage and sample purification) inside the tip, in a new lab-on-a-tip approach for 81 decentralized electroanalysis of Cu²⁺ in water. 82

83 In this work, we propose the use of a simpler and lower-cost device that employs 84 stainless-steel pins as electrodes and integrates them in the micropipette tip, which becomes now multifunctional. A pin modified with carbon ink is used as working 85 electrode, and two more pins, unmodified, are used as reference and counter 86 electrodes. One important advantage is that the modification of pins with carbon ink 87 allows their use in many applications. Carbon materials, such as carbon paste/ink and 88 graphite, are widely used in electrochemistry because of their advantageous 89 90 properties: carbon is cheap and chemically inert and shows high surface area and 91 electrical conductivity, low background currents and a wide potential window [19,20]. 92 The bottom of the tip has a diameter that allows the introduction of the pin covered with a thick film of carbon, with the head of the pin acting as stopper. Following this new 93 94 design, the electrochemical cell can be fabricated in an extremely fast and reproducible way employing inexpensive materials. 95

96 Thus, we present here a modified micropipette tip that act as an electrochemical 97 cell, very useful for in-field analysis. The combination of common (stainless-steel pins) and lab (micropipette tips) materials described here draw us to the idea of a simple 98 99 "everywhere" electroanalysis that could be performed by non-qualified personal. The portability of the system makes it useful for environmental analysis, e.g. water and 100 101 wastewater in situ analysis. Then, we decided to evaluate this new electrochemical lab-102 on-a-tip device for assessing the quality of water in terms of the presence of anionic 103 surfactants. They mainly come from household aqueous discharge, industrial 104 laundering and other cleansing operations. Surfactants are considered emerging

105 contaminants. They have negative effects on water quality since they tend to 106 congregate providing properties such as foaming, emulsification and particle suspension. Moreover, surfactants can pass through wastewater treatment plants and 107 reach environmental and even drinking water. These compounds produces harmful 108 effects in aquatic flora and fauna, and also in human health [21]. Therefore, the 109 concentration of surfactant in water is an important parameter to assess the water 110 contamination and quality. In the United States, ionic surfactants (mostly anionic) are 111 112 about two thirds of the total surfactants used [22]. The standard analytical method 113 highlights anionic surfactants, such as sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), as the most 114 common substances present in water and it is based in their methylene blue (MB) 115 activity. Anionic surfactants interact with MB, a cationic dye, forming an ionic pair. This complex is transferred from the aqueous solution into an immiscible organic solvent 116 upon equilibration. The blue color intensity obtained in the organic phase is measured 117 spectrophotometrically and is proportional to the concentration of anionic surfactants. 118 This interaction has been applied also inversely, to adsorb MB (dye discharged to the 119 environment from textiles, dyeing and printing industries) on SDS-modified zeolites 120 [23]. We have applied a modification of this standard analytical method in which a 121 reduction in the sample volume and, henceforth, in the use of reagents is achieved 122 [24]. 123

124 Moreover, instead of using a colorimetric assay, we have taken advantage of the electrochemical activity of MB and its growing interest in biosensing (e.g. as indicator of 125 126 DNA hybridization processes through its interaction with the double strand [25]). Then, 127 we have adapted the modified standard method to detect electrochemically MB, 128 directly in the micropipette tip, as a way of determining SDS, a MBAS (methylene blue 129 active substances) frequently found in wastewaters. Then, when a constant 130 concentration of MB is added to the sample, its residual concentration in the aqueous 131 phase, after interaction with MBAS and further extraction to an organic media, is indicative of the initial MBAS concentration in the sample. 132

Additionally, and considering the influence of surfactants on carbon electrodes, 133 which can improve electrochemical processes [26-28], a simplified electrochemical 134 135 method for the determination of SDS without following the extraction protocol previously indicated, was also developed. In this way, an increment in the 136 electrochemical signal of MB (fixed concentration), seen for increasing SDS 137 concentrations, is the basis for its determination. Then, in this work, we report two 138 139 simple alternatives for SDS determination in water samples using an innovative and 140 low-cost multifunctional electrochemical platform.

141 **2. Material and methods**

142 2.1. Chemicals and materials

Through all this work, 100-1000 µL polypropylene micropipette tips were used 143 144 (Labbox Labware). For the assembly of the system and to facilitate micropipette 145 handling, a foot stand with a three-point gripper fastening tong was employed. As 146 electrodes, 26 x 0.59 mm stainless-steel pins (Metalurgica Folch) were selected. 147 Carbon paste (Gwent Group Ref. C10903P14) and N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, 148 Merck) were employed for the fabrication of the working electrode. Acidic solutions of different concentrations of methylene blue (Sigma Aldrich) were prepared in 0.5 M HCI 149 (hydrochloric acid 37% from Merck). Working SDS solutions for optimization studies 150 were prepared in ultrapure water. Also, chloroform (trichloromethane, Sigma-Aldrich) 151 152 was used for the analysis of anionic surfactants. Millipore Direct-Q(R) 3 UV was used to obtain purified water. 153

154 2.2. Electrochemical "in-the-tip" cell design

We used stainless-steel pins as electrodes. The use of this kind of pins as 155 electrodes has been reported previously [6,7], including the stability of a stainless-steel 156 157 pin acting as reference electrode by comparison with a saturated calomel electrode (a 158 variation not higher than 3 mV over 30 min). The various parts of the pins were used 159 for different purposes, all of them schematized in Figure 1A. First of all, the stainlesssteel pins used as working electrodes (WE) were modified with carbon ink. Carbon 160 paste was diluted with N, N-dimethylformamide (50% w/w of carbon paste in DMF) to 161 generate the carbon ink for the modification. The mixture was vortexed for a few 162 163 seconds and then sonicated (37 kHz of frequency and 320 W of power) for 1 h. Stainless-steel pins were mass modified adhering them, by their head, to a piece of 164 double-sided tape. Then, the stems were painted thrice with the carbon ink using a 165 brush (Figure 1B). Between the two first coatings, the pins were introduced in the oven 166 167 at 70°C for 15 min. After the last one, they were left for 1 h at 70°C.

Once the WEs were ready, two bare stainless-steel pins (one acting as reference electrode, RE, and the other one as counter electrode, CE) were inserted in the micropipette tip at a distance of 28 and 30 mm from the bottom, respectively. To favor this, the micropipette tip was previously introduced in the oven at 70°C for 2 min. After this, a volume of sample was aspirated, and the micropipette tip was sealed at the bottom by introducing a pin covered with carbon ink (the WE). This process is schematized in Figure 1C.

175 As the diameter of the pin stem is approximately half that of the end of the tip (0.59 176 and 1 mm, respectively) and to ease the handling of the device, the micropipette was placed vertically, with the head of the pin acting as WE contacting a conductive copper 177 adhesive tape piece (3" Core, 1/2" (W) x 54 ft (L), Electron Microscopy Sciences) 178 manually cut (5 x 25 mm) and located over the bench. Crocodile clamps were 179 employed for connecting the copper tape (and then the WE) and the other two pins 180 acting as electrodes to the potentiostat (Figure 1C). The device was clamped to a 181 182 stand with a rod (as can be seen in Figure S1).

183

2.3. Electrochemical measurements

184 All measurements were done using a μ AUTOLAB TYPE III (Metrohm) 185 potentiostat. A volume of 360 μ L of the working solution was aspirated into the tip to 186 record cyclic (CV) or linear sweep (LSV) voltammograms, between +0.5 and -0.6 V. 187 For both techniques, a scan rate of 0.1 V·s⁻¹ and a step potential of 0.002 V were used.

188 2.4. Determination of SDS (MB active substance) after extraction of the ion pair 189 formed with MB

A modification of the standard method used for the determination of anionic 190 surfactants as Methylene Blue Active Substances (MBAS) already described [24] was 191 here employed. In this work, 1 mL of the sample (i.e. SDS solution in ultrapure water 192 193 for optimizations or in tap water for real sample analysis) was mixed with 1 mL of a MB stock solution (25 µg·mL⁻¹ in 0.5 M HCl) and 1 mL of chloroform (CHCl₃). After shaking 194 for 30 s, the phase separation took place. Usually is the absorbance of the organic 195 196 phase containing the ion pair that is measured at 652 nm. But in this case, electrochemical measurements were performed in the aqueous phase located over the 197 chloroform layer, by aspirating it into the tip and a LSV was recorded as commented in 198 the previous section. 199

200 2.5. Determination of SDS without extraction.

In this case the procedure does not involve any extraction with chloroform. SDS influences the electrochemical signal of MB, and this was used to determine its concentration. Here, $360 \ \mu L$ of a solution containing SDS (in different concentrations) and a fixed concentration of MB ($25 \ \mu g \cdot m L^{-1}$) were aspirated to record the LSV as commented in a previous section. The reduction current of MB changed according to the concentration of SDS in solution. This allowed to obtain a calibration plot for SDS concentration.

208 **3. Results and discussion**

6

209 3.1. Electrochemical design and characterization of the platform

The design of the electrochemical cell is based on the use of very cheap and 210 211 available elements: pins and tips. Micropipette tips are also very versatile in regard to 212 the size (volume) and materials. In this case we chose commercial polypropylene tips of 100-1000 uL volume, but a different polymer could be employed (even modified) and 213 pins could be inserted in tips of lower/higher volume to adjust the volume of the 214 electrochemical cell according to the requirements of the application. Pins are 215 introduced unmodified in the upper part of the tip (RE and CE) but, depending on the 216 217 volume, this height could be varied to ensure there is always ionic contact between the three electrodes. Even being very simple, the different parts of the pin can be used for 218 different purposes. Hence: i) the sharp end allows to drill the polymeric tip material to 219 220 insert horizontally the RE and CE. In this way, there is no need to make holes in the tip wall to introduce wires and, in turn, the addition of glue is not required. This simplifies 221 222 the procedure and saves time since glue curing is not needed. Moreover, as a positive pressure has to be applied, the holes made are fully closed after insertion of the pins 223 224 and the pressure required to aspirate solutions with the micropipette is maintained. ii) 225 The stem is used directly as electrode (as for thread microfluidics [5]; in other previous works the electrode was the pinhead [6-8]), either modified with carbon ink (WE) or 226 unmodified (RE and CE). In the last case, the part of the stem that is out of the tip can 227 228 be used to connect the pins to the potentiostat using crocodile clips. iii) The head of the 229 pin that is introduced vertically (WE) closes the tip at the bottom. With this design, the connection is easily made by contacting the pinhead with copper tape that is adhered 230 231 on a flat substrate. The area of the WE could be changed by varying the length of the pin. In our case, we used 26-mm long stainless-steel pins. With a diameter of 0.59 mm, 232 an approximated geometric area of 48.2 mm² was estimated (only the lateral area of 233 234 the cylinder).

235 After the three electrodes were ready inside the micropipette tip, the new electrochemical platform was characterized. For this purpose, the electrochemical 236 behavior of methylene blue (MB) was studied. To be oxidized, MB has to be first 237 238 reduced to the leuco form [29]. In this redox process protons are involved, with oxidation favoured at acid pH. Then, hydrochloric acid was chosen as electrolyte for 239 the study. A volume of 360 μ L of a 25 μ g mL⁻¹ MB solution in 0.5 M HCl was aspirated 240 and a CV was recorded. As can be seen in Figure 2A, a reversible redox process can 241 be observed with anodic and cathodic peak potentials at -0.02 and -0.08 V, 242 243 respectively.

244 The intensity of the cathodic peak current was chosen as analytical signal. The 245 precision between different pin-based WEs and different tip-based cells was studied recording LSVs in a 25 µg·mL⁻¹ MB solution in 0.5 M HCl. When LSVs were performed 246 using five different carbon ink pins-based WEs (washing with electrolyte between 247 measurements) and maintaining the same micropipette tip and the same RE and CE, a 248 relative standard deviation (RSD) of 5% for the cathodic peak current was obtained. 249 The RSD obtained when the whole tip-based cell was changed (n = 5) was 7% for the 250 cathodic peak current. These values demonstrated the adequate precision of the 251 252 developed electrochemical device.

253

3.2. Effect of SDS in the redox process of MB

As a proof-of-concept, we proved the suitability of this new low-cost 254 255 electrochemical cell to perform simple and sensitive determinations of molecules of interest. As it was reported in previous works, submicellar concentrations of surfactants 256 (cationic or anionic) produced an increase in the intensity of the oxidation and reduction 257 peak currents as well as in the reversibility of the electrochemical processes of 258 259 aromatic species [30-33]. In those cases, the surfactant is introduced as a modifier of the carbon paste electrode (previously immersed in a surfactant solution [30,31,33]), or 260 by further addition of the surfactant to the measurement solution [32] (approach 261 followed in this work). Thus, the effect of SDS on the behavior of MB was studied 262 recording LSVs with the micropipette tip-based cell in presence and absence of SDS 263 (Figure 2B). The concentration of SDS (20 µg·mL⁻¹, i.e. 7.0.10⁻⁵ M) was below the 264 critical micellar concentration (2.4 mg·mL⁻¹ in water [34]). MB was in slight excess 265 compared to SDS (25 µg·mL⁻¹, 7.8·10⁻⁵ M). As expected, a notorious increase in the 266 cathodic peak current (from -17.84 to -29.83 µA) was observed when SDS was present 267 in the measurement medium. Taking advantage of this, calibration curves performed by 268 varying the concentration of MB between 0.5 and 30 μ g mL⁻¹ in both cases (Figure 2C), 269 showed a sensitivity two-fold higher when this concentration of SDS was present in the 270 medium. Slopes of -1.71 ($R^2 = 0.996$) and -0.84 μA ($\mu q \cdot m L^{-1}$)⁻¹ ($R^2 = 0.996$) were 271 obtained with and without SDS respectively. In Figure 2C, it can also be observed that 272 a wider linear range was achieved when SDS was added to the solution. This 273 improvement is likely due to the formation of the ion pair between the MB and the 274 surfactant, that is stabilized at the electrode surface (as in the case of SDS and 275 276 catecholamines [30] or cationic surfactants with flufenamic acid [33] or nitrophenol 277 [32]).

278 3.3. Determination of SDS using MB as indicator by both approaches: with and
279 without extraction

High concentrations of surfactants could have important environmental 280 consequences and their determination becomes relevant in water samples suspected 281 of their presence. Based on previous results and taking advantage of the effect of SDS 282 in the cathodic peak of MB (approach I in Figure 3A), a calibration curve for SDS was 283 performed adding different SDS concentrations to a solution containing the same MB 284 concentration (25 µg mL⁻¹). As Figure 3B shows, the intensity of the cathodic peak 285 current of MB (25 µg·mL⁻¹) increases with SDS concentration, following the equation 286 I_{MB} (µA) = -0.26 C_{SDS} (µg·mL⁻¹) - 9.56, with a R² of 0.994. The limit of detection (LOD) 287 288 and the limit of quantification (LOQ) were calculated as $3s_b/m$ and $10s_b/m$ respectively, 289 where *m* is the slope of the calibration plot, and s_b is the standard deviation of the intercept. LOD and LOQ values thus obtained were 1.3 µg·mL⁻¹ (4.5·10⁻⁶ M) and 4.3 290 $\mu g \cdot m L^{-1}$ (1.5 · 10⁻⁵ M) respectively. 291

292 A second approach for SDS determination (approach II in Figure 3A) was 293 developed modifying the standard method to detect anionic surfactants [23]. This is 294 based on the spectrophotometric measurement performed in the organic phase, which 295 contains the ion pair SDS-MB. In this work we have only considered SDS since is the anionic surfactant usually present at higher concentration [22], but all the anionic 296 297 surfactants that form ion pairs with MB (MBAS) could be determined jointly. After following the modified method explained in the experimental section, an aqueous and 298 299 an organic phase were obtained after extraction with chloroform and phase separation. The organic phase contains the ionic pair MB-SDS and in the aqueous phase remains 300 301 the excess of MB that has not reacted. In this case, the aqueous phase was aspirated 302 inside the micropipette tip-cell for performing the electrochemical measurement. As the 303 ion pair has been extracted, the analytical signal (intensity of the peak current of the 304 cathodic process of MB) will be indirectly proportional to the concentration of anionic 305 surfactants (SDS) in the sample.

For this study, different concentrations of SDS were tested using a fixed concentration of MB ($25 \ \mu g \cdot mL^{-1}$). Each SDS solution (in ultrapure water) was mixed for 30 s with 1 mL of MB solution and 1 mL of chloroform as explained in the Section 2.4. After phase separation, the layer at the top was aspirated into the micropipette-tipcell and the micropipette was clamped to the stand to proceed with the measurements.

As can be seen in Figure 3C, as SDS concentration increases, aqueous and organic phases (top and bottom) become lighter and darker respectively, due to the interaction of MB with SDS and further extraction with chloroform. In Figure 3D, a calibration graph with the measurements performed in the aqueous phase after phase separation for six different concentrations of SDS is shown, where I_{MB} is the intensity of

316 the MB cathodic peak current obtained from a LSV recorded from +0.5 V to -0.6 V. As 317 can be observed, the higher the SDS concentration, the lower the concentration of the remaining MB in aqueous phase and, in turn, the analytical signal. Thus, this intensity 318 correlated linearly with the concentration of SDS following the equation I_{MB} (µA) = 0.37 319 $C_{SDS}~(\mu g \cdot m L^{\text{-1}})$ – 13.78 with a R^2 of 0.997. A LOD of 1.2 $\mu g \cdot m L^{\text{-1}}$ (4.2 $\cdot 10^{\text{-6}}$ M) and a 320 LOQ of 3.7 μ g·mL⁻¹ (1.3·10⁻⁵ M) were obtained using the above formula. Therefore, 321 similar LODs were obtained for both methodologies, although the measurement after 322 323 the extraction provides a slightly higher sensitivity (almost one and a half times).

324

3.4. Application to tap water samples analysis

In order to evaluate the accuracy of this new tip-based electrochemical device, 325 both methods (with and without previous extraction) were probed with spiked water 326 327 samples. Related to the possible interferences in real samples, two main cases could be considered. On the one hand, any compound that could be reduced at the potential 328 of MB or lower could be considered as interferent. However, reduction processes in 329 organic molecules are not as common as the oxidation ones, and the potential of 330 reduction is not extremely negative. Moreover, instead of a chronoamperogram, a 331 linear sweep or cyclic voltammogram is recorded, that could be more informative about 332 333 the processes present. On the other hand, any anionic compound that could interact with cationic methylene blue could decrease its content in solution and behave as 334 335 interferent when extraction is performed. This is the reason why the standard method 336 on which we are based for SDS determination is reported as method for MBAS 337 determination, in order to consider all the compounds. Similarly, when no extraction is carried out, other surfactants could increase the signal of MB. However, SDS is one of 338 the main MBAS and the most common anionic surfactant. In case important 339 interferences are suspected, a thorough study should be performed previously. 340

Tap water from a municipality (Lugones, Siero) located in the center of the 341 342 Principality of Asturias, Spain, was spiked with two different SDS concentrations (8 and 17 µg·mL⁻¹). Samples were analyzed by both methodologies and the results obtained 343 344 are reported in Table 1. Using the equation of the calibration plot obtained with SDS standard solutions prepared in ultrapure water, recoveries between 93% and 111% for 345 both concentration levels and both methods were obtained showing the feasibility of 346 the tip-based cell and not important matrix effects of the method. The mean values 347 obtained following both methodologies were statistically compared through a Student's 348 t-test: the t values calculated for the samples were lower than the tabulated t value for 349 two degrees of freedom and a 0.05 significance level. Hence, we concluded that there 350

351 were no significant differences between the values for SDS concentrations determined

352 following the two different procedures, demonstrating the accuracy of the methodology.

353

- 354
- 355

Table 1. Results of the recovery test in tap water samples for both methods for SDS determination (mean value ± standard deviation for three replicates).

		[SDS] added (µg·mL ^{·1})	[SDS] found (μg· mL ⁻¹)	Recovery
Sample 1	With extraction	8	7 ± 1	93 %
Sumple 1	Without extraction	8	8 ± 1	98 %
Sample 2	With extraction	17	19 ± 1	111 %
Sumple 2	Without extraction	17	17 ± 1	98 %

356

357 **4. Conclusions**

In-field analysis needs portable and simple devices and electroanalytical 358 359 platforms have demonstrated to be very appropriate. In this context, a new electrochemical cell was fabricated in a very simple way using a micropipette tip and 360 stainless-steel pins. Its good electroanalytical performance was demonstrated using 361 methylene blue as known redox probe. Moreover, this novel tip-based cell was applied 362 to real environmental analysis. It was applied to anionic surfactant determination, very 363 relevant from the environmental point of view. SDS is a methylene blue active 364 365 substance (MBAS) and can be determined indirectly measuring MB by voltammetry, which presents a reversible redox process at low potentials. Two approaches for SDS 366 367 determination demonstrate the versatility of the tip-based cell to obtain accurate and 368 precise results when both methods are compared.

The materials used are commonly found in most laboratories. Therefore, this doit-yourself device becomes easily available worldwide. Tips and pins, that are mass produced, could be easily mass modified adding e.g., nanomaterials to the carbon ink of the working electrode, improving the final signal and obtaining better sensitivity. The availability of different types of micropipette tips and stainless-steel pins increases the possible applications of these versatile platforms.

- 375 Author Contributions
- The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors.
- 377 Acknowledgment

Andrea González-López thanks the Consejería de Educación y Cultura del Gobierno del Principado de Asturias for the award of her "Severo Ochoa" grant (BP17-36).

380 References

- A.A. Kumar, J.W. Hennek, B.S. Smith, S. Kumar, P. Beattie, S. Jain, J.P.
 Rolland, T.P. Stossel, C. Chunda-Liyoka, G.M. Whitesides, From the bench to
 the field in low-cost diagnostics: Two case studies, Angew. Chemie Int. Ed. 54
 (2015) 5836–5853. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201411741.
- 385 [2] D. Quesada-González, A. Merkoçi, Mobile phone-based biosensing: An
 amerging "diagnostic and communication" technology, Biosens. Bioelectron. 92
 (2017) 549–562. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2016.10.062.
- J. Yang, J. Zhou, Z. Lv, W. Wei, H. Song, A real-time monitoring system of
 industry carbon monoxide based on wireless sensor networks, Sensors. 15
 (2015) 29535–29546. https://doi.org/10.3390/s151129535.
- 391 [4] J. Adkins, K. Boehle, C. Henry, Electrochemical paper-based microfluidic
 392 devices, Electrophoresis. 36 (2015) 1811–1824.
 393 https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.201500084.
- A.C. Glavan, A. Ainla, M.M. Hamedi, M.T. Fernández-Abedul, G.M. Whitesides,
 Electroanalytical devices with pins and thread, Lab Chip. 16 (2016) 112–119.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/C5LC00867K.
- 397 [6] E.C. Rama, A. Costa-García, M.T. Fernández-Abedul, Pin-based
 398 electrochemical glucose sensor with multiplexing possibilities, Biosens.
 399 Bioelectron. 88 (2017) 34–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2016.06.068.
- 400 [7] E.C. Rama, A. Costa-García, M.T. Fernández-Abedul, Pin-based flow injection
 401 electroanalysis, Anal. Chem. 88 (2016) 9958–9963.
 402 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.6b01609.
- 403 [8] A. García-Miranda Ferrari, O. Amor-Gutiérrez, E. Costa-Rama, M.T. Fernández404 Abedul, Batch injection electroanalysis with stainless-steel pins as electrodes in
 405 single and multiplexed configurations, Sensors Actuators, B Chem. 253 (2017)
 406 1207–1213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2017.07.148.
- 407 [9] P.I. Nanni, A. González-López, E. Nunez-Bajo, R.E. Madrid, M.T. Fernández408 Abedul, Staple-Based Paper Electrochemical Platform for Celiac Disease
 409 Diagnosis, ChemElectroChem. 5 (2018) 4036–4045.
 410 https://doi.org/10.1002/celc.201800743.

- 411 [10] T. Yuhi, N. Nagatani, T. Endo, K. Kerman, M. Takata, H. Konaka, M. Namiki, Y.
 412 Takamura, E. Tamiya, Resin-based micropipette tip for immunochromatographic
 413 assays in urine samples, J. Immunol. Methods. 312 (2006) 54–60.
 414 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2006.02.011.
- [11] W. Zhang, Z. Chen, Preparation of micropipette tip-based molecularly imprinted
 monolith for selective micro-solid phase extraction of berberine in plasma and
 urine samples, Talanta. 103 (2013) 103–109.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2012.10.014.
- [12] T. Du, J. Cheng, M. Wu, X. Wang, H. Zhou, M. Cheng, Pipette tip-based
 molecularly imprinted monolith for selective micro-solid-phase extraction of
 methomyl in environmental water, Anal. Methods. 6 (2014) 6375–6380.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/c4ay00766b.
- 423 A. González-López, M.C. Blanco-López, M.T. Fernández-Abedul, Micropipette [13] Tip-Based Immunoassay with Electrochemical Detection of Antitissue 424 425 Transglutaminase to Diagnose Celiac Disease Using Staples and a Paper-Based Platform, ACS Sensors. 4 (2019) 2679–2687. 426 427 https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.9b01096.
- 428 [14] A.L.S. Fernandez, J.A.G. Calzón, A.C. Garcia, P.T. Blanco, Simple and reliable
 429 fabrication of carbon fiber ultramicroelectrodes, Electroanalysis. 3 (1991) 413–
 430 417. https://doi.org/10.1002/elan.1140030428.
- 431 [15] R.A.B. da Silva, A.C. Rabelo, R.A.A. Munoz, E.M. Richter, Three-electrode432 integrated sensor into a micropipette tip, Electroanalysis. 22 (2010) 2167–2171.
 433 https://doi.org/10.1002/elan.201000244.
- 434 [16] D.W. Zhang, J.X. Liu, J. Nie, Y.L. Zhou, X.X. Zhang, Micropipet tip-based
 435 miniaturized electrochemical device combined with ultramicroelectrode and its
 436 application in immobilization-free enzyme biosensor, Anal. Chem. 85 (2013)
 437 2032–2036. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac303223u.
- 438 [17] X. Guo, Q. Wang, J. Li, J. Cui, S. Zhou, S. Hao, D. Wu, A mini-electrochemical
 439 system integrated micropipet tip and pencil graphite electrode for detection of
 440 anticancer drug sensitivity in vitro, Biosens. Bioelectron. 64 (2015) 594–596.
 441 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2014.09.086.
- 442 [18] S. Cinti, V. Mazzaracchio, G. Öztürk, D. Moscone, F. Arduini, A lab-on-a-tip
 443 approach to make electroanalysis user-friendly and de-centralized: Detection of
 444 copper ions in river water, Anal. Chim. Acta. 1029 (2018) 1–7.

		Journal Pre-proof		
445		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2018.04.065.		
446 447 448	[19]	R.L. McCreery, Advanced carbon electrode materials for molecular electrochemistry, Chem. Rev. 108 (2008) 2646–2687. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr068076m.		
449 450 451 452	[20]	A. Sanati, M. Jalali, K. Raeissi, F. Karimzadeh, M. Kharaziha, S.S. Mahshid, S. Mahshid, A review on recent advancements in electrochemical biosensing using carbonaceous nanomaterials, Microchim. Acta. 186 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00604-019-3854-2.		
453 454 455	[21]	A.A. Siyal, M.R. Shamsuddin, A. Low, N.E. Rabat, A review on recent developments in the adsorption of surfactants from wastewater, J. Environ. Manage. 254 (2020) 109797. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109797.		
456 457 458	[22]	W.E.F. American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, Public Health, 1999.		
459 460 461	[23]	X. Jin, M. qin Jiang, X. quan Shan, Z. guo Pei, Z. Chen, Adsorption of methylene blue and orange II onto unmodified and surfactant-modified zeolite, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 328 (2008) 243–247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2008.08.066.		
462 463 464	[24]	S. Chitikela, S.K. Dentel, H.E. Allen, Modified method for the analysis of anionic surfactants as methylene blue active substances, Analyst. 120 (1995) 2001–2004. https://doi.org/10.1039/AN9952002001.		
465 466 467 468	[25]	 A. Erdem, K. Kerman, B. Meric, M. Ozsoz, Methylene blue as a novel electrochemical hybridization indicator, Electroanalysis. 13 (2001) 219–223. https://doi.org/10.1002/1521-4109(200103)13:3<219::AID-ELAN219>3.0.CO;2-7. 		
469 470 471 472	[26]	G. Tigari, J.G. Manjunatha, A surfactant enhanced novel pencil graphite and carbon nanotube composite paste material as an effective electrochemical sensor for determination of riboflavin, J. Sci. Adv. Mater. Devices. 5 (2020) 56–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsamd.2019.11.001.		
473 474	[27]	G. Tigari, J.G. Manjunatha, C. Raril, N. Hareesha, Determination of Riboflavin at Carbon Nanotube Paste Electrodes Modified with an Anionic Surfactant,		

475 ChemistrySelect. 4 (2019) 2168–2173. https://doi.org/10.1002/slct.201803191.

476 [28] C. Raril, J.G. Manjunatha, A simple approach for the electrochemical
477 determination of vanillin at ionic surfactant modified graphene paste electrode,
478 Microchem. J. 154 (2020) 104575. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2019.104575.

- 479 [29] R. García-González, A. Costa-García, M.T. Fernández-Abedul, Methylene blue 480 covalently attached to single stranded DNA as electroactive label for potential 481 bioassays, Sensors Actuators, В Chem. 191 (2014) 784-790. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2013.10.037. 482
- [30] M.C. Blanco-López, M.J. Lobo-Castañón, A.J. Miranda Ordieres, P. TuñónBlanco, Electrochemical behavior of catecholamines and related compounds at
 in situ surfactant modified carbon paste electrodes, Electroanalysis. 19 (2007)
 207–213. https://doi.org/10.1002/elan.200603712.
- 487 [31] S. Gutiérrez-Fernández, M.C. Blanco-López, M.J. Lobo-Castañón, A.J. Miranda488 Ordieres, P. Tuñón-Blanco, Adsorptive stripping voltammetry of rifamycins at
 489 unmodified and surfactant-modified carbon paste electrodes, Electroanalysis. 16
 490 (2004) 1660–1666. https://doi.org/10.1002/elan.200403032.
- 491 [32] T.B. Capelari, A.C. Pereira, L.L. Gonçalves de Oliveira, C.R. Teixeira Tarley,
 492 Sensitive Simultaneous Determination of o-Nitrophenol and p-Nitrophenol in
 493 Water by Surfactant-Mediated Differential Pulse Voltammetry, Anal. Lett. 52
 494 (2019) 1462–1476. https://doi.org/10.1080/00032719.2018.1547311.
- [33] I. Amor-García, M.C. Blanco-López, M.J. Lobo-Castañón, A.J. MirandaOrdieres, P. Tuñón-Blanco, Flufenamic acid determination in human serum by
 adsorptive voltammetry with in situ surfactant modified carbon paste electrodes,
 Electroanalysis. 17 (2005) 1555–1562. https://doi.org/10.1002/elan.200403262.
- 499 [34] H. Jakubowski, Biochemistry online: An approach based on chemical logic.500 Lipids in water, 2020.

Figures captions

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the: A) different parts of the pin with their functions, B) modification of the stainless-steel pins used as working electrodes, C) electrochemical cell fabricated using a 100-1000 μ L micropipette tip.

Figure 2. A) CV recorded in a 25 μ g.mL⁻¹ of MB in 0.5 M HCl (scan rate 0.1 V.s⁻¹, step potential 0.002 V) using the micropipette tip-cell. B) Cathodic processes recorded by LSV in a 25 μ g.mL⁻¹ MB solution without SDS and with 20 μ g.mL⁻¹ of SDS (scan rate 0.1 V.s⁻¹, step potential 0.002 V) using the micropipette tip-cell. B) Calibration curve of MB without SDS and with 20 μ g.mL⁻¹ of SDS. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of three replicates.

Figure 3. A) Scheme of both approaches (with and without extraction) for SDS determination using MB as indicator. B) Calibration graph employing the electrochemical measurements of MB obtained without extraction. C) Picture of microcentrifuge tubes with different SDS concentrations (0, 2.5, 5, 10, 20 and 30 µg. mL⁻¹) after phase separation using the modified standard method for the analysis of MBAS. D) Calibration graph employing the electrochemical measurements of MB obtained in the aqueous phase after performing the modified standard method for the analysis of MBAS. Each measurement was done in triplicate. Error bars correspond to the standard deviation of the measurements.

Figures

Figure 1







Figure 3



ournal

- Simple pin-based design for an electrochemical cell employing a micropipette-tip
- Low-cost electroanalysis using stainless-steel pins and micropipette tips
- Fast analysis of surfactants using micropipette-tip based electrochemical cells
- Electrochemical determination of SDS using a low-cost approach using pins and tips.
- Water analysis for electrochemical determination of surfactants

building

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Journal Pre-proof