Abstract—Permanent magnet synchronous machines (PMSMs) operation above base speed is typically achieved by injecting negative d-axis current to produce flux weakening. However, this increases permanent magnet (PM) losses, penalizing the efficiency and increasing the risk of demagnetization. Variable Flux PMSMs (VF-PMSM) in which PMs are magnetized/demagnetized during normal operation of the drive have been proposed to avoid the use of flux weakening current. However, aging effects due to PM magnetization/demagnetization cycles have not thoroughly studied yet. This paper analyzes the variation of NdFeB PMs properties (i.e. magnetic, electric and thermal properties) resulting from magnetization/demagnetization cycles.1
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I. INTRODUCTION

Efficient and safe operation of electric drives can be especially challenging for applications in which the machine must operate at high speed. High speed operation of conventional PMSMs, i.e. interior PMSMs (IPMSMs) and surface PMSMs (SPMSMs), require the injection of negative current in the d-axis, also known as field weakening current [1]. Flux weakening current reduces the stator flux linkage matching the back-EMF with the available voltage from the inverter. However, flux weakening current also increases the airgap flux harmonic content [2], [3], and consequently eddy-currents in PMs and stator/rotor cores, reducing the efficiency and increasing temperature and consequently, the risk of PM demagnetization [3].

To avoid the injection of flux weakening current and the subsequent adverse effects, variable flux PMSMs (VF-PMSM, also called memory motors) have been developed. In this type of machines, the PMs magnetization state (MS) is changed during normal operation [4], [5].

VF-PMSMs can be equipped with different magnet types, including low coercivity magnets (low-Hc) like AlNiCo [6],[7] or SmCo [8], or a combination of low- and high-Hc magnets [3],[8]-[10]. VF-PMSMs equipping AlNiCo magnets require relatively small magnetic fields to change the MS [4], [6], [7]; this limits machine torque capability as the magnetic field produced by the q-axis current could demagnetize the PMs [8], [10]. VF-PMSMs combining low- and high-Hc magnets reduce the risk of undesired demagnetization due to q-axis current. In this configuration high-Hc magnets provide the base rotor magnetic field, which is unaffected by current, while d-axis current pulses are used to changes the MS of low-Hc magnets. In all cases, VF-PMSMs low-Hc magnets must be magnetized/demagnetized as required, depending on the working condition of the machine.

In-situ magnetization of PMs has been widely investigated [6]-[12]. In-situ magnetizers, typically capacitor-discharge impulse based, are devices independent of the machine used in industry mass production [12]: once the rotor lamination is assembled, demagnetized PMs are inserted in their corresponding slots; an in-situ magnetizer is then used to magnetize the PMs for the final machine assembling. Remagnetization of PMSMs after partial demagnetization resulting from a fault condition (e.g. over temperature or short-circuit current) can be accomplished if an in-situ magnetizer is used [12], but the machine needs to be disassembled. In VF-PMSM, in-situ magnetization is achieved by injecting a short current pulse from the stator terminals, i.e. de machine does not have to be disassembled [10]-[11]. VF-PMSMs MS can be manipulated thousands of times all along its life [6]; aging effects on PMs due to magnetization/ demagnetization cycling cycles has not been performed yet.

This paper analyzes aging effects on PM magnetic, electric and thermal properties, due to magnetization/demagnetization cycles. Long-term behavior (i.e. degradation) of magnetic properties of PMs used in VF-PMSMs has been studied using magnetized samples under different working conditions [13]-[15].

The paper is organized as follows: characterization of PMs is discussed in section II, the test setup designed to cycle and characterize PMs is analyzed in Section III, experimental results using magnet samples are provided in
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II. CHARACTERIZATION OF PERMANENT MAGNETS

This section describes the main magnetic, electric and thermal properties that characterize PM materials and that will be considered therefore for the study of aging.

- PM magnetic properties can be obtained from the hysteresis loop, i.e. a plot of magnetic induction, $B$, and magnetic polarization, $J$, as a function of the magnetic field strength, $H$ [16].
- PM thermal properties can be estimated from the analysis of the hysteresis loop at different temperatures [16].
- PM electrical properties can be estimated applying a time varying field to the PM [18].

Table I shows the main properties of four different PM types used in PMSMs: AlNiCo, ferrite, SmCo and NdFeB; where $B_r$ accounts the flux density of the magnet in a closed magnetic circuit without externally applied field, $H_{ci}$ is the intrinsic coercivity that measures the magnet’s resistance to demagnetization, $BH_{max}$ is the maximum energy product, i.e. an metric of the energy available for interaction within a magnetic circuit, $\rho$ is the electrical resistivity, $T_{max}$ is the maximum working temperature, $\alpha_B$ is the reversible thermal coefficient of $B_r$ and $\alpha_H$ is the reversible thermal coefficient of $H_{ci}$.

The magnetic measurements shown in this paper follow the methods described in IEC60404-05:2015 standard and refer to the measurements in a closed magnetic circuit.

III. TEST SETUP

This section describes the setup used to analyze PMs aging effects. A capacitor-discharge impulse magnetizer is used to magnetize magnet samples according to IEC60404-5. The PM material is saturated by the field induced by the discharging current of the capacitors. Hysteresis loop of hard magnetic material must be obtained from previously magnetized samples. Fig. 1 shows a schematic representation and a picture of the peak magnetizer, its main characteristics are given in Table II. The hysteresis loop is measured using a hysteresis graph as described in the standard, from which all magnetic properties listed in section II can be obtained; PM magnet resistance is estimated from its induced eddy currents. Fig. 2 shows the schematic of the setup used for BH characterization of PMs according to IEC 60404-5 standard. The iron core is made of laminated soft magnetic material; its central column being movable to match PM size. The test samples have a cylindrical shape (see Fig. 4) and regular end faces as recommended by the standard. Once the samples are prepared, the magnetizer is controlled to magnetize/demagnetize the magnet sample repeatedly, accelerating the aging process.

### TABLE I. MAGNETIC, ELECTRIC AND THERMAL PROPERTIES OF TYPICAL MAGNETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magnet Type</th>
<th>$B_r$ (T)</th>
<th>$\alpha_B$ (%/K)</th>
<th>$H_{ci}$ (kA/m)</th>
<th>$H_{ci}$ (%/K)</th>
<th>$BH_{max}$ kJ/m$^3$</th>
<th>$\rho$ $10^{-6}$ (Ωm)</th>
<th>$T_{max}$ (ºC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferrite 27/25SH</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlNiCo Cast 80/12</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>-0.01~0.035</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>-0.03~0.03</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.45-0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SmCo YXS24</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>0.75-0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NdFeB N42SH</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>-0.11~0.12</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>1595</td>
<td>-0.56~0.70</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>1.4-1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: [15]*

### TABLE II: PEAK MAGNETIZER CHARACTERISTICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum voltage</td>
<td>730 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum energy</td>
<td>3500 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum airgap (With poles)</td>
<td>60 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum airgap (without poles)</td>
<td>20 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum field</td>
<td>2200 kA/m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Two search coils, see Figs. 2 and 6, are used to measure the magnetic flux density (B), and the magnetic field strength (H); Fig. 5a and 5b shows the induced voltages in the two search coils during a remagnetization process; Fig. 5c and 4d showing the resulting magnetic flux density and magnetic field strength. Changes in the PM magnetic flux density, $\Delta B$ (1), are obtained by integrating the voltage induced in “B” coil, $U$ (see Fig. 5a and 4c), where $B_2$ and $B_1$ are the magnetic flux densities in the instant $t_2$ and $t_1$, $A$ is the cross-section area of the PM sample and $N$ is the number of turns of the search coil. The magnetic field strength, $H$ (2), is obtained from the voltage induced in “H” coil, see Figs. 4b and 4d, which must be placed within a homogeneous field in the airgap close to the PM sample, according to IEC60404-05. Sample and coil axes must be aligned. The demagnetization curve of the PM is usually represented as B-H or J-H, $J$ being the polarization, obtained from (3).

$$\Delta B = B_2 - B_1 = \frac{1}{AN} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} Udt$$

$$\Delta H = H_2 - H_1 = \frac{1}{\mu_0 AN} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} Udt$$

$$J = B - \mu_0 H$$

PM resistivity could be also a metric of the PM aging. PM resistance can be estimated by applying a time varying field to the PM [18]-[19], the frequency must be chosen to avoid sking effect and full penetration of the high frequency current in the PM material; the system shown in Fig. 7 has been used for this purpose [18]-[19]. It consists of a core made of iron powder blocks, to minimize Eddy current losses, a coil and an adjustable airgap to fit the PM size. The coil is feed from an H-bridge converter able to inject a high frequency signal of different frequencies and amplitudes into the coil, which will be used to induce a high frequency flux through the PM. The resulting high frequency Eddy currents in the PM will depend on its resistivity. The PM resistivity will be estimated from the coil voltages and currents [18]-[19].
IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Experimental results using NdFeB PMs samples (see Fig. 4) have been conducted. Fig. 8 shows the PM flux measured in an open magnetic circuit for a constant PM temperature vs. number of hysteresis cycles. It can be observed from Fig. 8 that PM surface flux density initially decreases as the number of cycles increases, remaining constant when the number of cycles is >2000. Fig. 9 shows the demagnetization curve of the PM at the beginning and end of the aging process shown in Fig. 8. It can be observed that both B-H and J-H curves have been negatively affected as the curves have shrunk. Table IV shows the PM magnetic properties before and after the aging process; It can be observed that all magnetic properties (Br, Hcj, Hc and BHmax) are worsened due to magnet cycling: Br, Hcj, Hc and BHmax have decreased by 9.8%, 3.4%, 10% and 20% respectively.

Consequences of this degradation in the machine performance include a reduction of torque capability due to Br and BHmax decrease, increased risk of demagnetization as a reduction of Hc and Hcj may lead to irreversible demagnetization for q-axis currents, and an efficiency decrease as larger currents levels will be needed to produce a given torque.

PM high frequency resistance has also been evaluated using the system shown in Fig. 7, the results are shown in Table IV. The increase of the resistance ≈6.5% in Table IV is consistent with the results shown in Fig. 10, as PM high frequency resistance is a reliable indicator of the PM MS [19], as PM Eddy current losses are proportional to the PM high frequency resistance [20].

Consequences of HF resistivity increase of a PM in the machine performance include a PM Eddy current losses increase and therefore, PM temperature increase [18]; resulting in a torque, power density and efficiency reduction, and in an increased risk of demagnetization.

Table IV: PM Properties before and after remagnetization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0 cycles</th>
<th>5250 cycles</th>
<th>Variation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Br (T)</td>
<td>1.344</td>
<td>1.223</td>
<td>-9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHmax (kJ/m³)</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>-20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hc (kA/m)</td>
<td>-961</td>
<td>-868</td>
<td>-10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hcj (kA/m)</td>
<td>-1885</td>
<td>-1823</td>
<td>-3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated HF resistance (Ω)</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>+6.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Temperature properties of cycled PMs have also been evaluated. Fig. 10a shows the PM flux measured in an open magnetic circuit for different PM temperatures. PM flux is seen to decrease with the number of cycles, which is consistent with the results shown in Fig. 10a. It is also observed from Fig. 10a that the knee point, which corresponds to the maximum working temperature, does not change with cycling. Fig. 10b shows the flux density difference between a non-cycled and a cycled PM vs. magnet temperature; it is observed that the slightly increases with temperature, i.e. PM flux temperature dependence increases due to repeated cycling. This represents a change in reversible thermal coefficient of remanence, αBr, that represents the change of PM remanence with temperature.

![Fig. 8. PM flux density measured at the center of the PM’s surface under open magnetic circuit conditions. Constant PM temperature, 20°C.](image)

![Fig. 9. BH curve before and after 5250 hysteresis cycles. Constant PM temperature, 20°C.](image)

![Fig. 10. PM flux variation with temperature (open circuit) and b) PM flux difference between non-cycled and cycled PM (curves in Fig. 10a).](image)

Finally, PM surface flux density distribution of a cycled PMs was evaluated in an open magnetic circuit using a Gauss meter. Fig 11 shows the magnetic flux density measured all along the diameter (D) of the PM, see Fig. 12, before and after cycling it. It is observed that the minimum magnetic flux density for both, cycled and non-cycled PM, occurs at the PM center; the variation of the magnetic flux density being higher for the cycled than for the non-cycled PM.

The consequences of a non-uniform magnetic flux density distribution in a VF-PMSM are additional harmonic
Aging effects in NdFeB PMs due to magnetization/demagnetization cycles have been analyzed in this paper. It has been shown that cycling has a direct impact on PM magnetic, electrical and thermal properties. B-H and J-H curves have been evaluated before and after a cycling process, showing that cycled magnets have lower magnetic energy, remanence and coercivity. Thermal behavior of PMs is also worsening in cycled magnets. Although the maximum working temperature of the magnet is not affected by aging, cycled magnets result in a poorer performance at higher temperatures due to the increase of the thermal coefficient of remanence. All these issues would have a negative impact on the torque capability of VF-PMSMs. The estimated PM high frequency resistance is seen to be also increased with cycling, which results in higher PM losses, higher demagnetization risk and in a reduction of the machine efficiency. Finally, it was shown that aging of PMs due to remagnetization results in a non-uniform magnetic field distribution, which in PMSMs may make the MMF waveform to change, inducing higher order harmonics in the machine airgap and consequently, in additional losses.

V. CONCLUSIONS
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Fig. 11. PM flux density distribution open circuit measurement on PM surface before and after 5250 hysteresis cycles.

Fig. 12. Displacement line of the Gauss-meter on the PM sample surface.