ACTAS

DE LAS

XXXVIII Jornadas de Automática

Gijón · Palacio de Congresos · 6, 7 y 8 de Septiembre de 2017

CEA 30 ANIVERSARIO

Universidad de Oviedo
Universidá d'Uviéu
University of Oviedo

Actas de

XXXVIII
Jornadas de Automática

© 2017 Universidad de Oviedo
© Los autores

Servicio de Publicaciones de la Universidad de Oviedo
Campus de Humanidades. Edificio de Servicios. 33011 Oviedo (Asturias)
Tel. 985 10 95 03 Fax 985 10 95 07
http: www.uniovi.es/publicaciones
servipub@uniovi.es

DL AS 2749-2017

ISBN: 978-84-16664-74-0

Todos los derechos reservados. De conformidad con lo dispuesto en la legislación vigente, podrán ser castigados con penas de multa y privación de libertad quienes reproduzcan o plagien, en todo o en parte, una obra literaria, artística o científica, fijada en cualquier tipo y soporte, sin la preceptiva autorización.

Prefacio

Las *Jornadas de Automática* se celebran desde hace **40 años** en una universidad nacional facilitando el encuentro entre expertos en esta área en un foro que permite la puesta en común de las nuevas ideas y proyectos en desarrollo. Al mismo tiempo, propician la siempre necesaria colaboración entre investigadores del ámbito de la Ingeniería de Control y Automática, así como de campos afines, a la hora de abordar complejos proyectos de investigación multidisciplinares.

En esta ocasión, las Jornadas estarán organizadas por la Universidad de Oviedo y se han celebrado del 6 al 8 de septiembre de 2017 en el Palacio de Congresos de Gijón, colaborando tanto la Escuela Politécnica de Ingeniería de Gijón (EPI) como el Departamento de Ingeniería Eléctrica, Electrónica de Computadores y de Sistemas del que depende el Área de Ingeniería de Sistemas y Automática.

Además de las habituales actividades científicas y culturales, esta edición es muy especial al celebrarse el **50 aniversario de la creación de CEA**, Comité Español de Automática. Igualmente este año se conmemora el 60 aniversario de la Federación Internacional del Control Automático de la que depende CEA. Así se ha llevado a cabo la presentación del libro que se ha realizado bajo la coordinación de D. Sebastián Dormido, sobre la historia de la Automática en España en una sesión en la que han participado todos los ex-presidentes de CEA conjuntamente con el actual, D. Joseba Quevedo.

Igualmente hemos contado con la presencia de conferenciantes de prestigio para las sesiones plenarias, comunicaciones y ponencias orales en las reuniones de los 9 grupos temáticos, contribuciones en formato póster. Se ha celebrado también el concurso de CEABOT, así como una nueva Competición de Drones, con el ánimo de involucrar a más estudiantes de últimos cursos de Grado/Máster.

En el marco de las actividades culturales programadas se ha podido efectuar un recorrido en el casco antiguo situado en torno al Cerro de Santa Catalina y visitar la Laboral.

Gijn, septiembre de 2017

Hilario López
Presidente del Comité Organizador

Program Committee

Antonio Agudo	Institut de Robòtica i Informàtica Industrial
Rosa M Aguilar	University of La Laguna.
Luciano Alonso	University of Cantabria
Ignacio Álvarez García	Universidad de Oviedo
Antonio Javier Artuñedo García	Centre for Automation and Robotics (CSIC-UPM)
José M. Azorín	Miguel Hernandez University of Elche
Pedro Balaguer	Universitat Jaume I
Antonio Javier Barragán Piña	Universidad de Huelva
Alfonso Baños	Universidad de Murcia
Guillermo Bejarano	University of Seville
Gerardo Beruvides	Centro de Automática y Robótica
Carlos Bordons	University of Seville
Jose Manuel Bravo	University of Huelva
Jose Luis Calvo-Rolle	University of A Coruña
Fernando Castaño Romero	Centro de Automática y Robótica (UPM -CSIC)
José Luis Casteleiro-Roca	University of Coruña
Alvaro Castro-Gonzalez	Universidad Carlos III de Madrid
Ramon Costa-Castelló	Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya
Abel A. Cuadrado	University of Oviedo
Arturo De La Escalera	Universidad Carlos III de Madrid
Emma Delgado	Universidad de Vigo
Jose-Luis Diez	Universitat Politecnica de Valencia
Manuel Domínguez	Universidad de León
Juan Manuel Escaño	Universidad de Sevilla
Mario Francisco	University of Salamanca
Maria Jesus Fuente	Universidad de Valladolid
Juan Garrido	Universtiy of Cordoba
Antonio Giménez	Universidad de Almeria
Evelio Gonzalez	Universidad de La Laguna
José-Luis Guzmán	Universidad de Almería
Rodolfo Haber	Center for Automation and Robotics (UPM-CSIC)
César Ernesto Hernández	Universidad de Almería
Eloy Irigoyen	UPV/EHU
Agustin Jimenez	Universidad PolitÃcnica de Madrid
Emilio Jiménez	University of La Rioja
Jesus Lozano	Universidad de Extremadura
Jorge Luis Madrid	Centro de Automática y Robótica
Luis Magdalena	Universidad Politécnica de Madrid
David Martin Gomez	Universidad Carlos III de Madrid
Fernando Matia	Universidad Politecnica de Madrid
Joaquim Melendez	Universitat de Girona
Juan Mendez	Universidad de La Laguna
Luis Moreno	Universidad Carlos III de Madrid
María Dolores Moreno Rabel	Universidad de Extremadura
David Muñoz	Universidad de Sevilla
Antonio José Muñoz-Ramirez	Universidad de Málaga
Jose Luis Navarro	Universidad Politecnica de Valencia
Manuel G. Ortega	University of Seville
Andrzej Pawlowski	UNED
Mercedes Perez de La Parte	University of La Rioja
Ignacio Peñarrocha	Universitat Jaume I de Castelló, Spain
José Luis Pitarch	Universidad de Valladolid

Daniel Pérez	University of Oviedo
Emilio Pérez	Universitat Jaume I
Juan Pérez Oria	Universidad de Cantabria
MiguelÁngel Ridao	Universidad de Sevilla
Gregorio Sainz-Palmero	Universidad de Valladolid
Antonio Sala	Universitat Politecnica de Valencia
Ester Sales-Setién	Universitat Jaume I
Jose Sanchez	UNED
Javier Sanchis Saez	Universitat Politecnica de Valencia (UPV)
José Pedro Santos	ITEFI-CSIC
Matilde Santos	Universidad Complutense de Madrid
Alvaro Serna	University of Valladolid
José Enrique Simó	Universidad Politécnica de Valencia
José A. Somolinos	ETS I Navales. Universidad Politecnica de Madrid
Fernando Tadeo	Univ. of Valladolid
Alejandro Tapia	Universidad de Loyola Andalucía
David Tena	Universitat Jaume I
Jesús Torres	Universidad de La Laguna
Pedro M. Vallejo	Universidad de Salamanca
Guilherme Vianna	Universidad de Sevilla
Alejandro Vignoni	AI2 - UPV
Ramón Vilanova	UAB
Francisco Vázquez	Universidad de Cordoba
Jesús M. Zamarreño	University of Valladolid

Revisores Adicionales

Al-Kaff, Abdulla

Balbastre, Patricia
Beltrán de La Cita, Jorge
Bermudez-Cameo, Jesus
Blanco-Claraco, Jose-Luis
Blanes, Francisco
Bonin-Font, Francisco

Cancela, Brais

Ferraz, Luis

Garita, Cesar
Gimenez, Antonio
Gruber, Patrick
Guindel, Carlos

Hernandez Ruiz, Alejandro
Hernandez, Daniel

Jardón Huete, Alberto

López, Amable

Marin, Raul
Marín Plaza, Pablo
Mañanas, Miguel Angel
Morales, Rafael
Moreno, Francisco-Angel

Nuñez, Luis Ramón

Ponz Vila, Aurelio
Posadas-Yague, Juan-Luis
Poza-Luján, Jose-Luis
Pumarola, Albert

Raya, Rafael
Revestido Herrero, Elías
Rocon, Eduardo
Ruiz Sarmiento, José Raúl
Ruiz, Adria

Torres, Jose Luis

Vaquero, Victor

Table of Contents

Ingeniería de Control

TÚNEL DE AGUA PARA PRUEBAS Y CARACTERIZACIÓN DE DISEÑOS EXPERIMENTALES DE TURBINAS HIDROCINÉTICAS 1
Eduardo Alvarez, Manuel Rico-Secades, Antonio Javier Calleja Rodríguez, Joaquín Fernández Francos, Aitor Fernández Jiménez, Mario Alvarez Fernández and Samuel Camba Fernández

Reduction of population variability in protein expression: A control engineering approach. 8
Yadira Boada, Alejandro Vignoni and Jesús Picó

CONTROL ROBUSTO DEL PH EN FOTOBIORREACTORES MEDIANTE RECHAZO ACTIVO DE PERTURBACIONES 16
José Carreño, Jose Luis Guzman, José Carlos Moreno and Rodolfo Villamizar

Control reset para maniobra de cambio de carril y validación con CarSim 23
Miguel Cerdeira, Pablo Falcón, Antonio Barreiro, Emma Delgado and Miguel Díaz-Cacho

Maniobra de aterrizaje autom atica de una Cessna 172P modelada en FlightGear y controlada desde un programa en C 31
Mario de La Rosa, Antonio Javier Gallego and Eduardo Fernández

Alternativas para el control de la red eléctrica aislada en parques eólicos marinos 38
Carlos Díaz-Sanahuja, Ignacio Peñarrocha, Ricardo Vidal-Albalate and Ester Sales-Setién

CONTROL PREDICTIVO DISTRIBUIDO UTILIZANDO MODELOS DIFUSOS PARA LA NEGOCIACIÓN ENTRE AGENTES 46
Lucía Fargallo, Silvana Roxani Revollar Chavez, Mario Francisco, Pastora Vega and Antonio Cembellín

Control Predictivo en el espacio de estados de un captador solar tipo Fresnel 54
Antonio Javier Gallego, Mario de La Rosa and Eduardo Fernández

Control predictivo para la operación eficiente de una planta formada por un sistema de desalación solar y un invernadero 62
Juan Diego Gil Vergel, Lidia Roca, Manuel Berenguel, Alba Ruiz Aguirre, Guillermo Zaragoza and Antonio Giménez

Depuración de Aguas Residuales en la Industria 4.0 70
Jesus Manuel Gomez-De-Gabriel, Ana María Jiménez Arévalo, Laura Eiroa Mateo and Fco. Javier Fernández-De-Cañete-Rodríguez

Control robusto con QFT del pH en un fotobioreactor raceway 77
Ángeles Hoyo Sánchez, Jose Luis Guzman, Jose Carlos Moreno and Manuel Berenguel

Revisión sistemática de la literatura en ingeniería de sistemas. Caso práctico: técnicas de estimación distribuida de sistemas ciberfísicos 84
Carmelina Ierardi, Luis Orihuela Espina, Isabel Jurado Flores, Álvaro Rodriguéz Del Nozal and Alejandro Tapia Córdoba

Desarrollo de un Controlador Predictivo para Autómatas programables basado en la normativa IEC 61131-3 92
Pablo Krupa, Daniel Limon and Teodoro Alamo

Diseño de un emulador de aerogenerador de velocidad variable DFIG y control de pitch ... 100
Manuel Lara Ortiz, Juan Garrido Jurado and Francisco Vázquez Serrano

Observación de la fracción de agua líquida en pilas de combustible tipo PEM de cátodo abierto 108
Julio Luna and Ramon Costa-Castelló

Control Predictivo Basado en Datos 115
José María Manzano, Daniel Limón, Teodoro Álamo and Jan Peter Calliess

Control MPC basado en un modelo LTV para seguimiento de trayectoria con estabilidad garantizada 122
Sara Mata, Asier Zubizarreta, Ione Nieva, Itziar Cabanes and Charles Pinto

Implementación y evaluación de controladores basados en eventos en la norma IEC-61499 . 130
Oscar Miguel-Escrig, Julio-Ariel Romero-Pérez and Esteban Querol-Dolz

AUTOMATIZACIÓN Y MONITORIZACIÓN DE UNA INSTALACIÓN DE ENSAYO DE MOTORES 138
Alfonso Poncela Méndez, Miguel Ochoa Vega, Eduardo J. Moya de La Torre and F. Javier García Ruíz

OPTIMIZACIÓN Y CONTROL EN CASCADA DE TEMPERATURA DE RECINTO MEDIANTE SISTEMAS DE REFRIGERACIÓN 146
David Rodríguez, José Enrique Alonso Alfaya, Guillermo Bejarano Pellicer and Manuel G. Ortega

Diseño LQ e implementación distribuida para la estimación de estado 154
Álvaro Rodríguez Del Nozal, Luis Orihuela, Pablo Millán Gata, Carmelina Ierardi and Alejandro Tapia Córdoba

Estimación de fugas en un sistema industrial real mediante modelado por señales aditivas . 160
Ester Sales-Setién, Ignacio Peñarrocha and David Tena

Advanced control based on MPC ideas for offshore hydrogen production 167
Alvaro Serna, Fernando Tadeo and Julio. E Normey-Rico

Transfer function parameters estimation by symmetric send-on-delta sampling 174
José Sánchez, Maria Guinaldo, Sebastián Dormido and Antonio Visioli

An Estimation Approach for Process Control based on Asymmetric Oscillations 181
José Sánchez, María Guinaldo Losada, Sebastian Dormido, José Luis Fernández Marrón and Antonio Visioli

Robust PI controller for disturbance attenuation and its application for voltage regulation in islanded microgrid 189
Ramon Vilanova, Carles Pedret and Orlando Arrieta

Infraestructura para explotación de datos de un simulador azucarero 197
Jesús M. Zamarreño, Cristian Pablos, Alejandro Merino, L. Felipe Acebes and De Prada César

Automar

INFRAESTRUCTURA PARA ESTUDIAR ADAPTABILIDAD Y TRANSPARENCIA EN EL CENTRO DE CONTROL VERSÁTIL 203
Juan Antonio Bonache Seco, José Antonio Lopez Orozco, Eva Besada Portas and Jesús Manuel de La Cruz

ARQUITECTURA DE CONTROL HÍBRIDA PARA LA NAVEGACI ÓN DE VEHÏCULOS SUBMARINOS NO TRIPULADOS 211
Francisco J. Lastra, Jesús A. Trujillo, Francisco J. Velasco and Elías Revestido

Exploración y Reconstrucción 3D de Fondos Marinos Mediante AUVs y Sensores Acústicos 218
Oscar L. Manrique Garcia, Mario Andrei Garzon Oviedo and Antonio Barrientos

AUTOMATIZACIÓN DE MANIOBRAS PARA UN TEC DE 2GdL 226
Marina Pérez de La Portilla, José Andrés Somolinos Sánchez, Amable López Piñeiro, Rafael Morales Herrera and Eva Segura

MERBOTS PROJECT: OVERALL DESCRIPTION, MULTISENSORY AUTONOMOUS PERCEPTION AND GRASPING FOR UNDERWATER ROBOTICS INTERVENTIONS 232
Pedro J. Sanz, Raul Marin, Antonio Peñalver, David Fornas and Diego Centelles

Bioingeniería

MARCADORES CUADRADOS Y DEFORMACIÓN DE OBJETOS EN NAVEGACIÓN QUIRÚRGICA CON REALIDAD AUMENTADA 238
Eliana Aguilar, Oscar Andres Vivas and Jose Maria Sabater-Navarro

Entrenamiento robótico de la marcha en pacientes con Parálisis Cerebral: definición de objetivos, propuesta de tratamiento e implementación clínica preliminar 244
Cristina Bayón, Teresa Martín-Lorenzo, Beatriz Moral-Saiz, Óscar Ramírez, Álvaro Pérez-Somarriba, Sergio Lerma-Lara, Ignacio Martínez and Eduardo Rocon

PREDICCIÓN DE ACTIVIDADES DE LA VIDA DIARIA EN ENTORNOS INTELIGENTES PARA PERSONAS CON MOVILIDAD REDUCIDA 251
Arturo Bertomeu-Motos, Santiago Ezquerro, Juan Antonio Barios, Luis Daniel Lledó, Francisco Javier Badesa and Nicolas Garcia-Aracil

Sistema de Visión Estereoscópico para el guiado de un Robot Quirúrgico en Operaciones de Cirugía Laparoscópica HALS 256
Carlos Castedo Hernández, Rafael Estop Remacha, Eusebio de La Fuente López and Lidia Santos Del Blanco

Head movement assessment of cerebral palsy users with severe motor disorders when they control a computer thought eye movements 264
Alejandro Clemotte, Miguel A. Velasco and Eduardo Rocon

Diseño de un sensor óptico de fuerza para exoesqueletos de mano 270
Jorge Diez Pomares, Andrea Blanco Ivorra, José María Catalan Orts, Francisco Javier Badesa Clemente, José María Sabater and Nicolas Garcia Aracil

POSIBILIDADES DEL USO DE TRAMAS ARTIFICIALES DE IMAGEN MOTORA PARA UN BCI BASADO EN EEG 276
Josep Dinarès-Ferran, Christoph Guger and Jordi Solé-Casals

EFECTOS SOBRE LA ERD EN TAREAS DE CONTROL DE EXOESQUELETO DE MANO EMPLEANDO BCI 282
Santiago Ezquerro, Juan Antonio Barios, Arturo Bertomeu-Motos, Luisa Lorente, Nuria Requena, Irene Delegido, Francisco Javier Badesa and Nicolas Garcia-Aracil

Formulación Topológica Adaptada para la Simulación y Control de Exoesqueletos Accionados con Transmisiones Harmonic Drive 288
Andres Hidalgo Romero and Eduardo Rocon

Identificación de contracciones isométricas de la extremidad superior en pacientes con lesión medular incompleta mediante características espectrales de la electromiografía de alta densidad (HD-EMG) 296
Mislav Jordanic, Mónica Rojas-Martínez, Joan Francesc Alonso, Carolina Migliorelli and Miguel Ángel Mañanas

Diseño de una plataforma para analizar el efecto de la estimulación mecánica aferente en el temblor de pacientes con temblor esencial 302
Julio S. Lora, Roberto López, Jesús González de La Aleja and Eduardo Rocon

DEFINICIÓN DE UN PROTOCOLO PARA LA MEDIDA PRECISA DEL RANGO CERVICAL EMPLEANDO TECNOLOGÍA INERCIAL 308
Álvaro Martín, Rafael Raya, Cristina Sánchez, Rodrigo Garcia-Carmona, Oscar Ramirez and Abraham Otero

SISTEMA BRAIN-COMPUTER INTEFACE DE NAVEGACIÓN WEB ORIENTADO A PERSONAS CON GRAVE DISCAPACIDAD 313
Víctor Martínez-Cagigal, Javier Gómez-Pilar, Daniel Álvarez, Eduardo Santamaría-Vázquez and Roberto Hornero

ESTRATEGIAS DE NEUROESTIMULACIÓN TRANSCRANEAL POR CORRIENTE DIRECTA PARA MEJORA COGNITIVA 320
Silvia Moreno Serrano, Mario Ortiz and José María Azorín Poveda

COMPARATIVA DE ALGORITMOS PARA LA DETECCIÓN ONLINE DE IMAGINACIÓN MOTORA DE LA MARCHA BASADO EN SEÑALES DE EEG 328
Marisol Rodriguez-Ugarte, Irma Nayeli Angulo Sherman, Eduardo Iáñez and Jose M. Azorin

DETECCIÓN, MEDIANTE UN GUANTE SENSORIZADO, DE MOVIMIENTOS SELECCIONADOS EN UN SISTEMA ROBOTIZADO COLABORATIVO PARA HALS 334
Lidia Santos, José Luis González, Eusebio de La Fuente, Juan Carlos Fraile and Javier Pérez Turiel

BIOSENSORES PARA CONTROL Y SEGUIMIENTO PATOLOGÍAS REUMATOIDES 340
Amparo Tirado, Raúl Marín, José V Martí, Miguel Belmonte and Pedro Sanz

Assessment of tremor severity in patients with essential tremor using smartwatches 347
Miguel A. Velasco, Roberto López-Blanco, Juan P. Romero, M. Dolores Del Castillo, J. Ignacio Serrano, Julián Benito-León and Eduardo Rocon

INTERFAZ CEREBRO-ORDENADOR PARA EL CONTROL DE UNA SILLA DE RUEDAS A TRAVÉS DE DOS PARADIGMAS DE NAVEGACIÓN 353
Fernández-Rodríguez Álvaro, Velasco-Álvarez Francisco and Ricardo Ron-Angevin

Control Inteligente

Aprendizaje por Refuerzo para sistemas lineales discretos con dinámica desconocida: Simulación y Aplicación a un Sistema Electromecánico 360
Henry Diaz, Antonio Sala and Leopoldo Armesto

Diseño de sistemas de control en cascada clásico y borroso para el seguimiento de trayectorias 368
Javier G. Gonzalez, Rodolfo Haber, Fernando Matia and Marcelino Novo

ANÁLISIS FORMAL DE LA DINÁMICA DE SISTEMAS NO LINEALES MEDIANTE REDES NEURONALES 376
Eloy Irigoyen, Mikel Larrea, A. Javier Barragán, Miguel Ángel Martínez and José Manuel Andújar

Predicción de la energía renovable proveniente del oleaje en las islas de Fuerteventura y Lanzarote. 384
G.Nicolás Marichal, Deivis Avila, Ángela Hernández, Isidro Padrón and José Ángel Rodríguez

Aplicación de Redes Neuronales para la Estimación de la Resistencia al Avance en Buques 393
Daniel Marón Blanco and Matilde Santos

Novel Fuzzy Torque Vectoring Controller for Electric Vehicles with per-wheel Motors 401
Alberto Parra, Martín Dendaluce, Asier Zubizarreta and Joshué Pérez

REPOSTAJE EN TIERRA DE UN AVIÓN MEDIANTE ALGORITMOS GENÉTICOS . 408
Elías Plaza and Matilde Santos

VISUALIZACIÓN WEB INTERACTIVA PARA EL ANÁLISIS DEL CHATTER EN LAMINACIÓN EN FRÍO 416
Daniel Pérez López, Abel Alberto Cuadrado Vega and Ignacio Díaz Blanco

BANCADA PARA ANÁLISIS INTELIGENTE DE DATOS EN MONITORIZACIÓN DE SALUD ESTRUCTURAL 424
Daniel Pérez López, Diego García Pérez, Ignacio Díaz Blanco and Abel Alberto Cuadrado Vega

CONTROL DE UN VEHÍCULO CUATRIRROTOR BASADO EN REDES NEURONALES 431
Jesus Enrique Sierra and Matilde Santos

CONTROL PREDICTIVO FUZZY CON APLICACIÓN A LA DEPURACIÓN BIOLÓGICA DE FANGOS ACTIVADOS 437
Pedro M. Vallejo Llamas and Pastora Vega Cruz

Educación en Automática

REFLEXIONES SOBRE EL VALOR DOCENTE DE UNA COMPETICION DE DRONES EN LA EDUCACIÓN PARA EL CONTROL 445
Ignacio Díaz Blanco, Alvaro Escanciano Urigüen, Antonio Robles Alvarez and Hilario López García

Uso del Haptic Paddle con aprendizaje basado en proyectos 451
Juan M. Gandarias, Antonio José Muñoz-Ramírez and Jesus Manuel Gomez-De-Gabriel

REPRESENTACION INTEGRADA DE ACCIONAMIENTOS MECANICOS Y CONTROL DE EJES ORIENTADA A LA COMUNICACIÓN Y DOCENCIA EN MECATRONICA 457
Julio Garrido Campos, David Santos Esterán, Juan Sáez López and José Ignacio Armesto Quiroga

Construcción y modelado de un prototipo fan & plate para prácticas de control automático 465
Cristina Lampon, Javier Martin, Ramon Costa-Castelló and Muppaneni Lokesh Chowdary

EDUCACION EN AUTOMATICA E INDUSTRIA 4.0 MEDIANTE LA APLICACIÓN DE TECNOLOGÍAS 3D 471
Jose Ramon Llata, Esther Gonzalez-Sarabia, Carlos Torre-Ferrero and Ramon Sancibrian

Desarrollo e implementación de un sistema de control en una planta piloto hibrida 479
Maria P. Marcos, Cesar de Prada and Jose Luis Pitarch

LA INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL EN LAS INGENIERÍAS INDUSTRIALES 486
Rogelio Mazaeda, Eusebio de La Fuente López, José Luis González, Eduardo J. Moya de La Torre, Miguel Angel García Blanco, Javier García Ruiz, María Jesús de La Fuente Aparicio, Gregorio Sainz Palmero and Smaranda Cristea

Ventajas docentes de un flotador magnético para la experimentación de técnicas control .. 495
Eduardo Montijano, Carlos Bernal, Carlos Sagües, Antonio Bono and Jesús Sergio Artal

PROGRAMACIÓN ATRACTIVA DE PLC 502
Eduardo J. Moya de La Torre, F. Javier García Ruíz, Alfonso Poncela Méndez and Victor Barrio Lángara

MODERNIZACIÓN DE EQUIPO FEEDBACK MS-150 PARA EL APRENDIZAJE ACTIVO EN INGENIERÍA DE CONTROL 510
Perfecto Reguera Acevedo, Miguel Ángel Prada Medrano, Antonio Morán Álvarez, Juan José Fuertes Martínez, Manuel Domínguez González and Serafín Alonso Castro

INNOVACIÓN PEDAGÓGICA EN LA FORMACIÓN DEL PERFIL PROFESIONAL PARA EL DESARROLLLO DE PROYECTOS DE AUTOMATIZACIÓN INDUSTRIAL A TRAVÉS DE UNA APROXIMACIÓN HOLÍSTICA. 517
Juan Carlos Ríos, Zaneta Babel, Daniel Martínez, José María Paredes, Luis Alonso, Pablo Hernández, Alejandro García, David Álvarez, Jorge Miranda, Constantino Manuel Valdés and Jesús Alonso

Aprendiendo Simulación de Eventos Discretos con JaamSim 522
Enrique Teruel and Rosario Aragüés

RED NEURONAL AUTORREGRESIVA NO LINEAL CON ENTRADAS EXÓGENAS PARA LA PREDICCIÓN DEL ELECTROENCEFALOGRAMA FETAL ... 528
Rosa M Aguilar, Jesús Torres and Carlos Martín

ANÁLISIS DEL COEFICIENTE DE TRANSFERENCIA DE MATERIA EN REACTORES RACEWAYS 534
Marta Barceló, Jose Luis Guzman, Francisco Gabriel Acién, Ismael Martín and Jorge Antonio Sánchez

MODELADO DINÁMICO DE UN SISTEMA DE ALMACENAMIENTO DE FRÍO VINCULADO A UN CICLO DE REFRIGERACIÓN 539
Guillermo Bejarano Pellicer, José Joaquín Suffo, Manuel Vargas and Manuel G. Ortega

Predictor Intervalar basado en hiperplano soporte 547
José Manuel Bravo Caro, Manuel Vasallo Vázquez, Emilian Cojocaru and Teodoro Alamo Cantarero

Dynamic simulation applied to refinery hydrogen networks 555
Anibal Galan Prado, Cesar De Prada, Gloria Gutierrez, Rafael Gonzalez and Daniel Sarabia

APROXIMACIÓN DE MODELOS ALGEBRAICOS MEDIANTE ALAMO Y ECOSIMPRO 563
Carlos Gómez Palacín, José Luis Pitarch, Gloria Gutiérrez and Cesar De Prada

A Causal Model to Analyze Aircraft Collision Avoidance Deadlock Scenarios 569
Miquel Ángel Piera Eroles, Julia de Homdedeu, Maria Del Mar Tous, Thimjo Koca and Marko Radanovic

ONLINE DECISION SUPPORT FOR AN EVAPORATION NETWORK 575
José Luis Pitarch, Marc Kalliski, Carlos Gómez Palacín, Christian Jasch and Cesar De Prada

Predicción de la irradiancia a partir de datos de satélite mediante deep learning 582
Javier Pérez, Jorge Segarra-Tamarit, Hector Beltran, Carlos Ariño, José Carlos Alfonso Gil, Aleks Attanasio and Emilio Pérez

MODELO DINÁMICO ORIENTADO AL TRATAMIENTO Y SEGUIMIENTO DE LA LEUCEMIA MIELOIDE CRÓNICA 589
Gabriel Pérez Rodríguez and Fernando Morilla

Modelado y optimización de la operación de un sistema de bombeo de múltiples depósitos 596
Roberto Sanchis Llopis and Ignacio Peñarrocha

DEVELOPMENT OF A GREY MODEL FOR A MEDIUM DENSITY FIBREBOARD DRYER IN ECOSIMPRO 604
Pedro Santos, Jose Luis Pitarch and César de Prada

DETECCIÓN AUTOMÁTICA DE FALLOS MEDIANTE MONITORIZACIÓN Y OPTIMIZACIÓN DE LAS FECHAS DE LIMPIEZA PARA INSTALACIONES FOTOVOLTAICAS 611
Jorge Segarra-Tamarit, Emilio Pérez, Hector Beltran, Enrique Belenguer and José Luis Gandía

Modelado de micro-central hidráulica para el diseño de controladores con aplicación en regiones aisladas de Honduras 618
Alejandro Tapia Córdoba, Pablo Millán Gata, Fabio Gómez-Estern Aguilar, Carmelina Ierardi and Álvaro Rodríguez Del Nozal

FRAMEWORK PARA EL MODELADO DE UN LAGO DE DATOS 626
J.M Torres, R.M. Aguilar, C.A. Martin and S. Diaz

SIMULADOR CARDIOVASCULAR PARA ENSAYO DE ROBOTS DE NAVEGACION AUTONOMA 633
José Emilio Traver, Juan Francisco Ortega Morán, Ines Tejado, J. Blas Pagador, Fei Sun, Raquel Pérez-Aloe, Blas M. Vinagre and F. Miguel Sánchez Margallo

PLANIFICACION DE LA PRODUCION BASADA EN CONTROL PREDICTIVO PARA PLANTAS TERMOSOLARES 641
Manuel Jesús Vasallo Vázquez, José Manuel Bravo Caro, Emilian Cojocaru and Manuel Emilio Gegundez Arias

Evaluación multicriterio para la optimización de redes de energía 649
Ascensión Zafra Cabeza, Rafael Espinosa, Miguel Ángel Ridao Carlini and Carlos Bordóns Alba

Percibiendo el entorno en los robots sociales del RoboticsLab 657
Fernando Alonso Martín, Jose Carlos Castillo Montoya, Álvaro Castro-Gonzalez, Juan José Gamboa, Marcos Maroto Gómez, Sara Marqués Villaroya, Antonio J. Pérez Vidal and Miguel Ángel Salichs

DISEÑO DE UNA PRÓTESIS DE MANO ADAPTABLE AL CRECIMIENTO 664
Marta Ayats and Raul Suarez

COOPERATIVISMO BIOINSPIRADO BASADO EN EL COMPORTAMIENTO DE LAS HORMIGAS 672
Brayan Bermudez, Kristel Novoa and Miguel Valbuena

PROCEDIMIENTO DE DISEÑO DE UN EXOESQUELETO DE MIEMBRO SUPERIOR PARA SOPORTE DE CARGAS 680
Andrea Blanco Ivorra, Jorge Diez Pomares, David Lopez Perez, Francisco Javier Badesa Clemente, Miguel Ignacio Sanchez and Nicolas Garcia Aracil

Estructura de control en ROS y modos de marcha basados en máquinas de estados de un robot hexápodo 686
Raúl Cebolla Arroyo, Jorge De Leon Rivas and Antonio Barrientos

USING AN UAV TO GUIDE THE TELEOPERATION OF A MOBILE MANIPULATOR 694
Josep Arnau Claret and Luis Basañez

Estudio de los patrones de marcha para un robot hexápodo en tareas de búsqueda y rescate 701
Jorge De León Rivas and Antonio Barrientos

SISTEMA DE INTERACCIÓN VISUAL PARA UN ROBOT SOCIAL 709
Mario Domínguez López, Eduardo Zalama Casanova, Jaime Gómez GarcÍa-Bermejo and Samuel Marcos Pablos

Mejora del Comportamiento Proxémico de un Robot Autónomo mediante Motores de Inteligencia Artificial Desarrollados para Plataformas de Videojuegos 717
David Fernández Chaves, Javier Monroy and Javier Gonzalez-Jimenez

Micrófonos de contacto: una alternativa para sensado tactil en robots sociales 724
Juan José Gamboa, Fernando Alonso Martín, Jose Carlos Castillo, Marcos Maroto Gómez and Miguel A. Salichs

Clasificación de información táctil para la detección de personas 732
Juan M. Gandarias, Jesús M. Gómez-De-Gabriel and Alfonso García-Cerezo

Planificación para interceptación de objetivos: Integración del Método Fast Marching y Risk-RRT 738
David Alfredo Garzon Ramos, Mario Andrei Garzon Oviedo and Antonio Barrientos

ESTABILIZACIÓN DE UNA BOLA SOBRE UN PLANO UTILIZANDO UN ROBOT PARALELO 6-RSS 746
Daniel González, Lluís Ros and Federico Thomas

TELEOPERACIÓN DE INSTRUMENTOS QUIRÚRGICOS ARTICULADOS 754
Ana Gómez Delgado, Carlos Perez-Del-Pulgar, Antonio Reina Terol and Victor Muñoz Martinez

CONTROL OF A ROBOTIC ARM FOR TRANSPORTING OBJECTS BASED ON NEURO-FUZZY LEARNING VISUAL INFORMATION 760
Juan Hernández Vicén, Santiago Martínez de La Casa Díaz and Carlos Balaguer

PLATAFORMA BASADA EN LA INTEGRACIÓN DE MATLAB Y ROS PARA LA DOCENCIA DE ROBÓTICA DE SERVICIO 766
Carlos G. Juan, Jose Maria Vicente, Alvaro Garcia and Jose Maria Sabater-Navarro

Estimadores de fuerza y movimiento para el control de un robot de rehabilitación de extremidad superior 772
Aitziber Mancisidor, Asier Zubizarreta, Itziar Cabanes, Pablo Bengoa and Asier Brull

Definiendo los elementos que constituyen un robot social portable de bajo coste 780
Marcos Maroto Gómez, José Carlos Castillo, Fernando Alonso-Martín, Juan José Gamboa, Sara Marqués Villarroya and Miguel Ángel Salichs

Interfaces táctiles para Interacción Humano-Robot 787
Sara Marqués Villarroya, Jose Carlos Castillo Montoya, Fernando Alonso Martín, Marcos Maroto Gómez, Juan José Gamboa and Miguel A. Salichs

HERRAMIENTAS DE ENTRENAMIENTO Y MONITORIZACIÓN PARA EL DESMINADO HUMANITARIO 793
Hector Montes, Roemi Fernandez, Pablo Gonzalez de Santos and Manuel Armada

Control a Baja Velocidad de una Rueda con Motor de Accionamiento Directo mediante Ingeniería Basada en Modelos 799
Antonio José Muñoz-Ramirez, Jesús Manuel Luque-Bedmar, Jesus Manuel Gomez-De-Gabriel, Anthony Mandow, Javier Serón and Alfonso Garcia-Cerezo

SIMULACIÓN DE VEHÍCULOS AUTÓNOMOS USANDO V-REP BAJO ROS 806
Cándido Otero Moreira, Enrique Paz Domonte, Rafael Sanz Dominguez, Joaquín López Fernández, Rafael Barea, Eduardo Romera, Eduardo Molinos, Roberto Arroyo, Luís Miguel Bergasa and Elena López

Cinemática y prototipado de un manipulador paralelo con centro de rotación remoto para robótica quirúrgica 814
Francisco Pastor, Juan M. Gandarias and Jesús M. Gómez-De-Gabriel

ANÁLISIS DE ESTABILIDAD DE SINGULARIDADES AISLADAS EN ROBOTS PARALELOS MEDIANTE DESARROLLOS DE TAYLOR DE SEGUNDO ORDEN 821
Adrián Peidró Vidal, Óscar Reinoso, Arturo Gil, José María Marín and Luis Payá

INTERFAZ DE CONTROL PARA UN ROBOT MANIPULADOR MEDIANTE REALIDAD VIRTUAL 829
Elena Peña-Tapia, Juan Jesús Roldán, Mario Garzón, Andrés Martín-Barrio and Antonio Barrientos

Evolución de la robótica social y nuevas tendencias 836
Antonio J. Pérez Vidal, Alvaro Castro-Gonzalez, Fernando Alonso Martín, Jose Carlos Castillo Montoya and Miguel A. Salichs

DISEÑO MECÁNICO DE UN ASISTENTE ROBÓTICO CAMARÓGRAFO CON APRENDIZAJE COGNITIVO 844
Irene Rivas-Blanco, M Carmen López-Casado, Carlos Pérez-Del-Pulgar, Francisco García-Vacas, Víctor Fernando Muñoz, Enrique Bauzano and Juan Carlos Fraile

CÁLCULO DE FUERZAS DE CONTACTO PARA PRENSIONES BIMANUALES 852
Francisco Abiud Rojas-De-Silva and Raul Suarez

Modelado del Contexto Geométrico para el Reconocimiento de Objetos 860
José Raúl Ruiz Sarmiento, Cipriano Galindo and Javier Gonzalez-Jimenez

Estimación Probabilística de Áreas de Emisión de Gases con un Robot Móvil Mediante la Integración Temporal de Observaciones de Gas y Viento 868
Carlos Sanchez-Garrido, Javier Monroy and Javier Gonzalez-Jimenez

MANIPULADOR AÉREO CON BRAZOS ANTROPOMÓRFICOS DE ARTICULACIONES FLEXIBLES 876
Alejandro Suarez, Guillermo Heredia and Anibal Ollero

EVALUACIÓN DE UN ENTORNO DE TELEOPERACIÓN CON ROS 864
David Vargas Frutos, Juan Carlos Ramos Martínez, José Luis Samper Escudero, Miguel Ángel Sánchez-Urán González and Manuel Ferre Pérez

Sistemas de Tiempo Real

GENERACIÓN DE CÓDIGO IEC 61131-3 A PARTIR DE DISEÑOS EN GRAFCET 892
Maria Luz Alvarez Gutierrez, Isabel Sarachaga Gonzalez, Arantzazu Burgos Fernandez, Nagore Iriondo Urbistazu and Marga Marcos Muñoz

CONTROL EN TIEMPO REAL Y SUPERVISIÓN DE PROCESOS MEDIANTE SERVIDORES OPC-UA 900
Francico Blanes Noguera and Andrés Benlloch Faus

Control de la Ejecución en Sistemas de Criticidad Mixta 906
Alfons Crespo, Patricia Balbastre, Jose Simo and Javier Coronel

GENERACIÓN AUTOMÁTICA DEL PROYECTO DE AUTOMATIZACIÓN TIA PORTAL PARA MÁQUINAS MODULARES 913
Darío Orive, Aintzane Armentia, Eneko Fernandez and Marga Marcos

DDS en el desarrollo de sistemas distribuidos heterogéneos con soporte para criticidad mixta 921
Hector Perez and J. Javier Gutiérrez

ARQUITECTURA DISTRIBUIDA PARA EL CONTROL AUTÓNOMO DE DRONES EN INTERIOR 929
Jose-Luis Poza-Luján, Juan-Luis Posadas-Yagüe, Giovanny-Javier Tipantuña-Topanta, Francisco Abad and Ramón Mollá

Ingeniería Conducida por Modelos en Sistemas de Automatización Flexibles 935
Rafael Priego, Elisabet Estévez, Dario Orive, Isabel Sarachaga and Marga Marcos

Estudio e implementación de Middleware para aplicaciones de control distribuido 942
Jose Simo, Jose-Luis Poza-Lujan, Juan-Luis Posadas-Yaguë and Francisco Blanes

Visión por Computador

Real-Time Image Mosaicking for Mapping and Exploration Purposes 948
Abdulla Al-Kaff, Juan Camilo Soto Triviño, Raúl Sosa San Frutos, Arturo de La Escalera and José María Armingol Moreno

ALGORITMO DE SLAM UTILIZANDO APARIENCIA GLOBAL DE IMÁGENES OMNIDIRECCIONALES 956
Yerai Berenguer, Luis Payá, Mónica Ballesta, Luis Miguel Jiménez, Sergio Cebollada and Oscar Reinoso

Medición de Oximetría de Pulso mediante Imagen fotopletismográfica 964
Juan-Carlos Cobos-Torres, Jordan Ortega Rodríguez, Pablo J. Alhama Blanco and Mohamed Abderrahim

Algoritmo de captura de movimiento basado en visión por computador para la teleoperacóon de robots humanoides 970
Juan Miguel Garcia Haro and Santiago Martinez de La Casa

COMPARACIÓN DE MÉTODOS DE DETECCIÓN DE ROSTROS EN IMÁGENES DIGITALES 976
Natalia García Del Prado, Victor Gonzalez Castro, Enrique Alegre and Eduardo Fidalgo Fernández

LOCALIZACIÓN DEL PUNTO DE FUGA PARA SISTEMA DE DETECCIÓN DE LÍNEAS DE CARRIL 983
Manuel Ibarra-Arenado, Tardi Tjahjadi, Sandra Robla-Gómez and Juan Pérez-Oria

Oculus-Crawl, a Software Tool for Building Datasets for Computer Vision Tasks 991
Iván De Paz Centeno, Eduardo Fidalgo Fernández, Enrique Alegre Gutiérrez and Wesam Al Nabki

Clasificación automática de obstáculos empleando escáner láser y visión por computador .. 999
Aurelio Ponz, Fernando Garcia, David Martin, Arturo de La Escalera and Jose Maria Armingol

T-SCAN: OBTENCIÓN DE NUBES DE PUNTOS CON COLOR Y TEMPERATURA EN INTERIOR DE EDIFICIOS 1007
Tomás Prado, Blanca Quintana, Samuel A. Prieto and Antonio Adan

EVALUACIÓN DE MÉTODOS PARA REALIZAR RESÚMENES AUTOMÁTICOS DE VÍDEOS 1015
Pablo Rubio, Eduardo Fidalgo, Enrique Alegre and Víctor González

SIMULADOR PARA LA CREACIÓN DE MUNDOS VIRTUALES PARA LA ASISTENCIA A PERSONAS CON MOVILIDAD REDUCIDA EN SILLA DE RUEDAS . 1023
Carlos Sánchez Sánchez, María Cidoncha Jiménez, Emiliano Pérez, Ines Tejado and Blas M. Vinagre

Calibración Extrínseca de un Conjunto de Cámaras RGB-D sobre un Robot Móvil 1031
David Zúñiga-Nöel, Rubén Gómez Ojeda, Francisco-Ángel Moreno and Javier González Jiménez

ONLINE DECISION SUPPORT FOR AN EVAPORATION NETWORK

José Luis Pitarch, Carlos Gómez Palacín, César de Prada
Systems Engineering and Automatic Control Department, EII, Universidad de Valladolid.
C/ Real de Burgos s/n, 47011, Valladolid. {jose.pitarch | carlos.gomez | prada}@autom.uva.es

Marc Kalliski
Department of Biochemical and Chemical Engineering, Technische Universität Dortmund.
Emil-Figge-Str. 70, 44227, Dortmund Germany. Marc.Kalliski@bci.tu-dortmund.de

Christian Jasch
Lenzing Aktiengesellschaft, Werkstraße 2, 4860 Lenzing, Austria. c.jasch@lenzing.com

Abstract

This work presents a decision-support tool to address the model-based optimization approach for online load allocation and scheduling of cleaning operations in an evaporation network. The aim is improving the resource efficiency by supplying the optimal solution for a given production goal. The approach includes the semi-automatic update of evaporator models, which is based on historical data for minimal modelling effort. The structure of the problem is formulated via mixed-integer programming and integrated into the plant supervision systems. Production constraints, concerns about the practical implementation and visualization preferences are also taken into account in the design of the prototypical tool.

Key Words: integration, decision support, visualization, surrogate model, evaporation network, resource efficiency.

1 INTRODUCTION

The fast changes in global market conditions and increasing environmental constraints force the process industry to continuously adapt their operation to keep competitiveness. In this way, an agile plant-wise optimization of continuous and discrete decisions is required to operate as efficient as possible while fitting the new conditions [1].

To face these emerging challenges, plant managers and operators need to be provided with computer-based tools which guide them to balance production and resource consumption [2]. Special attention to the efficient development of plant models needs to be paid, as it is the basis for advanced control and coordination tasks. Moreover, there exist many coding languages and alternatives to implement optimization algorithms into software modules but, in the end, these tools must be integrated into the information technology (IT) infrastructure of the plants, e.g., via a neutral deployment platform that connects to different IT systems [3].

This paper deals with these issues in the evaporation network of Lenzing AG, a viscose fiber production factory located in Austria. A description of the approach and a prototypical tool for the optimization of the allocation of evaporators to products is presented, with the goal of minimizing the overall specific steam consumption (SSC).

In addition, evaporation plants suffer from performance degradation due to fouling inside the heat exchangers. Hence, maintenance tasks become necessary to recover efficiency, but they involve a cost. Therefore, a suitable scheduling of such cleaning tasks over time for each evaporator arises as an additional problem to the load allocation optimization. This requires the resolution of an economic optimization involving discrete and continuous values.

Our approach considers data driven plant models, able to be updated in a semi-automatic way, and efficient mixed-integer nonlinear programming (MINLP) software to solve the proposed optimizations online. The different optimizations were programmed as modules using MATLAB® and MS Excel®, and then linked to the PI System in the plant.

The paper organizes as follows. Next, a description of the application, system limitations and assumptions are given. The modelling routine is summarized in Section 3. Then, the optimization of the load allocation and the cleaning schedule is formally stated in Section 4. The interfaces design together with the system integration is presented in Section 5. Finally, a summary of the work together with indications for the next steps is given in the last section.

2 APPLICATION CASE

The production of viscose fibers is based on the renewable resource wood. The cellulose contained in the wood is chemically treated and converted to a viscose solution. The key production step is the regeneration of this solution into fibers, introduced in an acid bath. Apart from the solid fibers, this chemi-

cal treatment produces sodium sulfate (Na_2SO_4) and water as side products. This degrades the acid bath and, in consequence, the product quality. Therefore, it is necessary to constantly remove water and sodium sulfate from the bath. A network of multiple-effect evaporation plants linked to a crystallization section is used for such a task.

Figure 1 depicts a simplified schema of an evaporation plant, where the main manipulated variables are the recirculation flow F and the product temperature T after the heat exchangers. For a more detailed view of the plant the reader is referred to [4].



Figure 1. Simplified schema of an evaporation plant with the important control variables F and T.

2.1 NETWORK DESCRIPTION

The evaporation network comprises a total of 23 plants of different nominal capacities. This network needs to process 5 different products, so some plants can serve in more than one product, but only a single product at a time. The changeover from one product to another gets a cost and requires time.

There are several factors which affect the efficiency:

- **Plant type**: [a] Compact with small capacity, [b] 3-stage evaporators and [c] large evaporators.
- **External influences**: Ambient temperature and air humidity affect the cooling towers.
- **Fouling**: Bath impurities settle within the heat exchangers, reducing the heat transfer.
- **Operating point**: For a desired evaporation set point, the control values are not uniquely defined

The resource efficiency indicator (REI) [2] chosen for this process is the SSC, defined for each plant as the ratio of fresh steam consumed per amount of water removed from the product. The task for the plant personnel is to find an optimal allocation of plants to products that ensures the required evaporation rate per product with the lowest SSC. This optimal operation can only be achieved by considering all these significant influences on the resource efficiency in the optimization of the evaporation network. However, the size of the combinatorial problem and the amount of influence factors make the problem very challenging. Indeed, model-based optimization approaches have already improved the efficiency in the operation of an evaporation plant [4], and we still foresee more potential savings in a better coordination of the whole network.

Therefore, computer-aided decision support (DS) tools need to be provided to help operators in this task, so suitable models need to be developed. Furthermore, a suitable computational time is required to provide results in acceptable time, to avoid production delays, and to ensure operator acceptance.

2.2 PLANT MODELS

The evaporator set-up is similar for all plants, but varies in the number of stages and production capacities. The amount of evaporated water depends on the circulating flow F, the product temperature T, and cooling water temperature T_{MK} which, in turn, is limited by the outdoor temperature. A mapping of the evaporation flow achieved for different values in the manipulated variables can be recorded (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Specific steam consumption VS evaporation set point, obtained for different control values.

Extensive experimental tests shown that the effects of T and F on the SSC, as well as on the evaporation flow, can be described by linear relationships. Additionally, it was observed that the mapping in Figure 2 is shifted in a linear fashion with T_{MK} and the fouling state. Hence, two linear models were proposed to describe the plant behavior, one for the evaporation flow (EF) and another for the SSC, as linear functions of the inputs T, F, T_{MK} and the fouling state K_f (to be estimated):

$$EF = [a_1 \quad a_2 \quad a_3 \quad b_0] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} T \\ F \\ T_{MK} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + K_{f1} \qquad (1)$$

$$SSC = [c_1 \quad c_2 \quad c_3 \quad d_0] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} T \\ F \\ T_{MK} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + K_{f2} \qquad (2)$$

Where $\theta = \{a_i, b_0, c_i, d_0\}$ are constant parameters for offline regression and K_{fi} are time dependent ones to be identified online. The absolute steam consumption (ASC) for a plant is computed by multiplication of (1) and (2):

$$ASC = EF \cdot SCC \tag{3}$$

In this way, given a fouling state K_{f1} and a cooling water temperature T_{MK}, the maximum and minimum evaporation capacities, denoted by $\overline{EC}$ and $\underline{EC}$ respectively, for each plant can be computed by (1) with the acceptable operating ranges for F and T:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{EC} &= EF\left(\overline{T}, \overline{F}, T_{MK}, K_{f1}\right) \\ \underline{EC} &= EF\left(\underline{T}, \underline{F}, T_{MK}, K_{f1}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The goal for the selection of the operating point is minimal specific steam consumption fulfilling the evaporation demand (red front in Figure 2). A self-optimizing controller (SOC) was implemented to ensure that operation always lies in this region. The controller maximizes the product temperature T to its upper limit and adjusts the circulating flow F to achieve the required evaporation flow [4]. Thanks to this optimal operation pattern, we are able to compute the control values corresponding to the red boundary in Figure 2 given a desired EF and an estimated state of fouling K_f: indeed note that the T is set to its upper bound and T_{MK} is set to the lower one achievable by the cooling tower, so F can be computed directly from (1) and, thus, the SSC from (2).

3 MODELLING ROUTINE

The model identification task is implemented in MATLAB and comprises a data treatment to remove inconsistent measurements, identifies step changes and performs an iterative fitting of the model parameters. The required data form the evaporators are obtained from the PI system via an OPC-connection and additional information such as the time window for identification, tag labels to the measurements in the historian, minimal number of changes in the EF, acceptable noise band in stationary operation, largest transition period during step change, or the time window for validation is provided by the operator with standardized Excel sheets. Finally, the quality of the model is assessed by a comparison of the model predictions with the measured EF and SSC.

3.1 DETECTION OF STEP CHANGES

For the modeling of the stationary part, the contribution of fouling must be removed from the training set. This is achieved during the model fitting process but requires data from operational points that are subject to the same degree of fouling. Thus, the tool identifies changes in the EF, because operation point varies enough to identify the parameters and we can assume that the fouling state does not vary significantly in one day.

In that way, the data is scanned for step changes as shown in Figure 3, providing intervals ***a*** and ***b***. Step changes are identified in the case that: data in ***a*** is at steady state (within a threshold); step change is larger than the threshold ***c*** and; the transition between the two steady states is completed within interval ***b***. Each of the identified step is recorded and translated into a data pair by averaging the measured values before and after the change. Of course, enough changes provoked by T, F and T_{MK} are required for a reliable identification of the parameters in (1) and (2).



Figure 3. Stationary operation before and after the step (blue bounds), minimal step height (red arrow), maximal transition interval (dotted lines).

3.2 PARAMETER ESTIMATION

Based on the assumption that the fouling is different from step to step but remains constant during the step itself, parameters K_{f1}, K_{f2} can be estimated by comparison of the model predictions ($\widehat{SSC}, \widehat{EC}$) with the actual values (*SSC, EF*). The resulting fouling factor is subsequently used for both operating points that are considered (before and after step changes).

Hence, an iterative LS optimization over the overall data set arises, which fist yields an intermediate set of steady-state parameters θ, used afterwards to calculate new values for K_{fi}, before solving an updated LS optimization to find the next model generation. These iterations continue until the residue J (objective function) does not improve any more.

$$J(\theta, u) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(EF_i - \widehat{EF_i}\right)^2}{\sigma_{1i}} + \frac{\left(SSC_i - \widehat{SSC_i}\right)^2}{\sigma_{2i}} \tag{5}$$

Here u is the set of values of the manipulated variables T, F and T_{MK}, n is the number of identified step changes and σ_1, σ_2 are normalizing factors. The identification procedure is summarized in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1. Parameter estimation for evaporation plants.

1. Provide an initial guess for θ and set $k = 0$.
2. Average the measured steady-state values for the SSC and EF before and after the step change.
3. Adjust fouling factors K_{fi} by comparing the SSC and EF from Step 2 with the model prediction.
4. Minimize (5) with θ as decision variables to find the best fit for all step changes.
5. If $J_k < J_{k-1}$ set $k = k + 1$ and go to Step 3, else the algorithm stops.

In practice, for a reasonable initial guess, the model parameters θ converge after a few iteration steps.

3.3 VALIDATION

The validation step in the modelling routine is performed to assess the quality of the model on the basis of an independent set of step changes that has also been obtained according to Section 3.1. For each identified step change, the fouling factor is also adjusted in the models to match the average values of recorded data before the load change. The model with the updated fouling factors is then used to simulate the plant for the same inputs applied during change. The resulting absolute error is then normalized with the height of the step change in the ASC, to yield a relative measure of the model error.

The observed relative errors are typically below 10%. These values were acceptable, since the network optimization is performed periodically and mismodelling is reduced from run to run by an online estimation of the fouling state. In some cases relative errors of up to 30% have been observed due to a poor choice of load changes (e.g. non-stationary operation falsely identified as steady state). A manual selection of step changes, choosing an alternative modeling horizon, or an adjustment of the modeling settings was sufficient to improve the model fit.

4 NETWORK OPTIMIZATION

The objective is the minimization of the ASC for the entire network, given a desired evaporation demand. The overall ASC is calculated as the sum of (3) for all evaporators. Two main factors which affect the ASC are object of optimization: the load allocation and the cleaning policy.

4.1 OPTIMAL ALLOCATION

First, given a set of $p \in \mathcal{P}$ products to be processed in $e \in \mathcal{E}$ evaporation plants, the problem is to allocate plants to products and then distribute the required total demand per product SP_p in a way that the overall ASC in the network is minimized. Two sets of decision variables are defined for this aim:

- X_{ep}: Binary variables which link the product p to the plant e.
- EF_{ep}: Real variables defining the evaporation flow to be achieved in a plant e processing the product p.

Now, recalling (4), assuming that the fouling state K_f for each plant will be estimated, T_{MK} is measured and controlled, a set of maximum and minimum capacities for each plant $e \in \mathcal{E}$ is provided. Moreover, following the optimal control pattern explained in Section 2.2 of setting T for each plant to its upper limit $\overline{T}$, from (1)-(3) we get:

$$F_{ep} = \frac{EF_{ep} - a_1\overline{T} - a_3 T_{MKe} - b_0 - K_{f1e}}{a_2} \tag{6}$$

$$ASC_{ep} = \frac{c_2}{a_2} EF_{ep}^2 + \left[\left(c_1 - \frac{a_1}{a_2}\right)\overline{T} + \left(c_3 - \frac{a_3}{a_2}\right) T_{MK} - \frac{b_0}{a_2} + d_0 - \frac{K_{f1e}}{a_2} + K_{f2e}\right] EF_{ep} \tag{7}$$

Thus, feeding this information, the optimal allocation of products to plants is found by solving the mixed integer quadratic programming problem below:

$$\min_{X_{ep}, EF_{ep}} \quad J := \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} \sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}} ASC_{ep} \quad \text{s.t.:} \tag{8}$$

$$\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}} X_{ep} \leq 1 \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{E} \tag{9}$$

$$\sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} EF_{ep} \geq SP_p \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{P} \tag{10}$$

$$EF_{ep} \leq \overline{EC}_e \cdot X_{ep} \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{E}, \forall p \in \mathcal{P} \tag{11}$$

$$EF_{ep} \geq \underline{EC}_e \cdot X_{ep} \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{E}, \forall p \in \mathcal{P} \tag{12}$$

$$X_{ep} = 0 \quad (e,p) \notin \mathcal{N} \tag{13}$$

Where X_{ep} is constrained in (12) to find feasible solutions within the set $\mathcal{N}$ of allowed connections between plants and products.

4.2 CLEANING SCHEDULE

A complementary optimization is proposed to deal with the issue of fouling, which takes advantage of the already developed decision support: once optimal evaporation set points are computed for each plant, the idea is to suggest the next cleaning cycle by balancing the costs of operation over time with the cleaning costs in an optimal fashion.

This task requires models for the evolution of the fouling over time. Extensive experimental tests have been performed measuring the SSC in the evaporators running at reference operation points between consecutive cleaning cycles. This allows isolating the effect of fouling on the SSC increase, hence measurements are comparable. In this way, approximate linear evolutions of the fouling behavior could be identified by regression, see Figure 4.

Thus, the fouling contribution K_f in (1)-(2) becomes:

$$K_f(t) = K_{f0} + \alpha \cdot t \tag{14}$$

Where t stands for the time (in days) that a plant is in operation, K_{f0} is the initial or current estimation of

the fouling state, and α is the slope of the linear model. In this way, predictions of the future SSC (hence costs) can be computed given a desired EF.



Figure 4. Measured evolution of the SSC (red) and output of the regression model (blue).

In order to lump resources of different nature (steam, manpower, cleaning products, etc.) in a single efficiency indicator, an aggregation based on currency is used. Hence, using prices and costs for utilities, the *Normalized Average Cost per Time* (NAC) is defined as an REI, and indicates the unitary cost (€/d) incurred to operate a plant between two consecutive cleaning tasks (operation cycle):

$$NAC \coloneqq \left(\sum_{t=0}^{t_f} ASC(t) \cdot P_{Th} + \Delta t_{cl} \cdot P_{Manh} + \text{WstWat} \cdot P_{m^3} + \Delta t_{cl} \cdot ASC(t_f) \cdot 1.1 P_{Th}\right)/t_f \quad (15)$$

Here t_f is the suggested future day to perform the cleaning operation, Δt_{cl} is the time required to complete a cleaning operation, and P_{Th}, P_{Manh} and P_{m^3} are the costs of the fresh steam, manpower and waste water. Note that, once the EF to each plant is set from (8)-(13), the $ASC(t)$ is computed via (7) and (14).

Note also that when an evaporator is stopped for cleaning, its load must be assumed by others, so an approximate cost factor of a 10% increase over the nominal operation cost is added in (15).

The NAC is to be minimized with respect to t_f for each evaporator to compute a periodic "individually optimal" cleaning policy, which attempts to be a "nearly optimal" one for the whole network. However an issue appears in using (15) as objective function: the cost of operation is a discrete sum which gets t_f terms, being t_f unknown a priori, as it is decision variable. To express this cost in a suitable way, we make use of the formula found by Gauss in the late 1700's for this type of arithmetic series [2]:

$$\kappa \cdot \left(1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + T_f\right) = \kappa \cdot \frac{\left(1 + T_f\right) \cdot T_f}{2} \quad (16)$$

Moreover, there are two types of cleaning tasks, denoted by B (big) and S (small), reaching different recoveries K_{f0}, booking different times Δt_{cl} and using more or less waste water. Thus, each task will get different *fixed* costs in the NAC (15) so the optimizer must choose which option minimizes the costs.

Thus, the proposed economic optimization to predict the optimal cleaning policy for one plant reads:

$$\min_{t_f, c} \quad J \coloneqq c \cdot NAC|_B + (1 - c) \cdot NAC|_S \quad (17)$$

$$\text{s.t.:} \quad 0 \le c \le 1; \; t_f > 0 \quad (18)$$

Here notation $NAC|_B$ stands for (15) evaluated with values Δt_{cl}, K_{f0} and WstWat corresponding to a big cleaning operation ($NAC|_S$ is analogous for a small cleaning). Note that this optimization to choose between discrete alternatives can be handled via NLP because (17) is monotonous w.r.t. c, so its minimum is located in an extreme, either $c = 0$ or $c = 1$. In this way, the best cleaning (big or small) is chosen.

5 SYSTEM INTEGRATION

The modelling as well as the load allocation modules were implemented using MATLAB®. The cleaning schedule optimization was coded in directly in MS Excel. These choices are justified since the required licenses and experience of the engineering department at Lenzing AG are available for the sustainable maintenance of the decision-support solution.

Figure 5 depicts a schema of the real-time optimization (RTO) implemented to cope with the load allocation task, which is executed each 30 min. The user dashboard is included as a Process Book in the PI system. It shows the results and allows to manually trigger the optimization in case of significant changes in the evaporation SP_p. After the activation, the static information (network information, model parameters, etc) is read from an Excel interface. Production constraints change dynamically, so they are either directly supplied by the data historian or inferred from measurements. Then, an update of the fouling parameters K_{f1} and K_{f2} is performed and saved to a file. The fouling parameters of the inactive equipment are not updated in the file.



Figure 5. RTO concept for the evaporation network.

Execution of the optimization results in the allocations of evaporators to products, the load distribution,

the SSC and ASC for each plant. This information is written back to the system using special PI-Tags which are displayed to the operators via dashboard application in the PI Process Book. Hence, the operators should adjust the evaporator loads and allocations accordingly.

However, the optimization of the load distribution may sometimes result in infeasibility, since approximate models (1) might slightly underestimate the evaporation capacity for some plants. This situation might lead to optimization problems that are overall infeasible based on the model prediction, even though the real plant is capable to fulfil the desired evaporation flow.

5.1 HANDLING INFEASIBILITIES

It is impossible to provide reliable decision support to the operators without a feasible solution from the optimizer, because hard constraints might be violated. To avoid these situations, a feasibility check is performed first, that evaluates whether the currently measured evaporation flow for each evaporator can be achieved with the models under the same external constraints (weather, cooling water temperatures and network availability). Then, for the identified infeasible plants, the MIQP constraints (11) are soften with slack variables $S_e \in \mathbb{R}^+$ as follows:

$$EF_{ep} - S_e \leq \overline{EC}_e \cdot X_{ep} \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{S}, \forall p \in \mathcal{P} \qquad (19)$$

Where $\mathcal{S}$ is the set of plants which are identified infeasible after the feasibility check. Then, the sum over all slack variables is included as a penalty term into the objective function (8) as:

$$\min_{X_{ep}, EF_{ep}, S_e} \quad J \coloneqq \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} ASC_{ep} + M \cdot \sum_{e \in \mathcal{S}} S_e \qquad (20)$$

The weight M is roughly chosen to be greater than the largest possible value of (8), i.e., without the contribution of the slack variables. Thus, the solver will only provide the absolutely necessary amount of constraint violation. Note that the network operation will not result in constraint violations on the control inputs, since the SOC is in place for each plant, and infeasibility is only a result of a plant-model mismatch. Moreover, a warning can be passed to the supervisor (plant engineer). Thus, depending on the severity of the plant-model mismatch, corrective actions can be taken, e.g., a model update according to the procedure in Section 3.

The final optimization problem can be coded in MATLAB and solved with an MILP solver via successive linear approximations [7], or directly with a MINLP solver like BONMIN [8] via the open source OPTI-Toolbox, although this option might be less computationally efficient.

5.2 DECISION-SUPPORT INTERFACES

The visualization interface is adapted to the already existing concept that was designed to give an overview of the evaporation process during production. On the one hand, the operators are supplied with the dashboard depicted in Figure 6 that shows the computed optimal solution for the current time. The vertical columns represent the 23 plants in the network and the rows represent 5 products. Light grey boxes are the allocation possibilities of plants to products. If a plant is assigned to one of these possible combinations, the box becomes green. Plants that are currently assigned to a product but are not in operation (under maintenance or cleaning) are shown by red tiles.



Figure 6. Interface of the prototypical tool for online optimization of the evaporation network.

The allocation plan according to the optimization results is indicated with yellow (partial load) or green circles (full load) at the corresponding position in the matrix representation. The optimal load distribution to plants is directly given next to the current value at the top of the matrix. Small pictograms show the necessary direction of the change in evaporation set points. On the right hand side, the current and optimal values for a total product evaporation flow and the ACS are listed. Finally, the predicted network-wide savings potential is shown in € saved per hour, in order to create an incentive for the operators to apply the predicted evaporation set points to plants.

On the other hand, the cleaning prediction module of Section 4.2 has been implemented by an Excel-based tool, partially coded in Visual Basic and using the OpenSolver [9] add-on, whose current version includes BONMIN as optimization engine. This tool complements the one above, by receiving the load allocation for each evaporator as input data.

The interface is formed by several sheets: one for each plant and a general overview of the network. In each plant sheet there is a set of values to be set: duration of cleaning tasks, costs of resources, energy prices, model parameters and control set points T, T_{MK} (see Figure 7). The tool provides a button in each sheet to trigger the optimization (17)-(18), displaying then when the evaporator should be cleaned and which type of operation is best, as well as the cost components and current value of the NAC for the suggested policy. Moreover, the tool serves also

as a simulator for what-if analysis, because the user is allowed to manually set the next cleaning day and the type of cleaning. In this way, the tool informs the operator about the potential losses in €/d incurred with respect to the optimally computed NAC, encouraging him/her to apply the suggestions.



Figure 7. Interface of the prototypical tool for the improved scheduling of cleaning operations.

6 CONCLUSIONS & OUTLOOK

The modelling, optimization and visualization concepts presented in this paper support the operators to take better decisions in real time to improve the network operation. The modelling tool executes an automatized model update based on historical data and user inputs. The resulting models are incorporated in the RTO scheme that solves a MIQP problem according to the current production constraints and the plants fouling states. The results are visualized in the daily production environment, including predictions of the potential monetary savings, incentivizing thus the operators to apply the recommendations.

Models for long-term fouling effects were identified by extensive experimentation, to be then used in an economic optimization. The incorporation of such functionality allows finding the best cleaning policy for each plant. This provides additional benefits in terms of energy and costs associated to the cleaning.

The developed DS tools are currently under evaluation at Lenzing AG: the implementation into the existing systems and operational policies is performed step by step to get experience in live testing and to ensure acceptability from the plant personnel About a year of normal operation is required to assess the impact, but preliminary tests with historical data revealed around 10% ASC potential savings.

Further improvements in the modelling approach are expected if different plant models are used for the summer and winter periods. If the impact assessment shows sufficient improvement, other heuristics and decompositions of the optimization problems will be evaluated to take into account uncertainty in model parameters and/or external factors.

Acknowledgement

This research is funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 program, under grant nº 723575, and by the MINECO/FEDER (DPI2015-70975-P).

References

[1] S. Engell and I. Harjunkoski, "Optimal operation: Scheduling, advanced control and their integration," *Computers & Chemical Engineering,* pp. 121-133, 2012.

[2] S. Krämer and S. Engell, Resource Efficiency of Processing Plants: Monitoring and Improvement, (In press): Wiley, 2017.

[3] LeiKon, "D4.1 Requirement specification for the integrated deployment platform," Outcomes of the MORE Project, 2014.

[4] J.L. Pitarch, C.G. Palacín, C. de Prada, B. Voglauer and G. Seyfriedsberger, «Optimisation of the Resource Efficiency in an Industrial Evaporation System,» *Journal of Process Control,* vol. 56, pp. 1-12, 2017.

[5] M. Kalliski, B. Beisheim, D. Krahè, U. Enste, S. Krämer and S. Engell, "Real-time resource efficiency indicators," *atp edition - Autom. Praxis,* vol. 58, pp. 64-71, 2016.

[6] D.M. Burton, Elementary Number Theory, Boston: MA: Allyn and Bacon, 1989, pp. 80-81.

[7] C. Bliek, P. Bonami and A. Lodi, "Solving Mixed Integer Quadratic Programming problems with IBM-CPLEX: a progress report," in *Proc. of the 26th RAMP Symposium*, Tokyo, 2014.

[8] P. Bonami, L. T. Biegler, A. R. Conn, G. Cornuejols, I. E. Grossmann, C. D. Laird, J. Lee, A. Lodi, F. Margot and A. Waechter, «An Algorithmic Framework for Convex Mixed Integer Nonlinear Programs,» *Discrete Optimization,* vol. 5, nº 2, pp. 186-204, 2008.

[9] A. Mason, "OpenSolver – An Open Source Add-in to Solve Linear and Integer Progammes," in *Operations Research Proceedings 2011*, D. Klatte, H. Lathi and K. Schmedders, Eds., Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012, pp. 401-406, http://opensolver.org.