

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <http://www.researchgate.net/publication/3960573>

Matching conducted EMI to international standards

CONFERENCE PAPER · FEBRUARY 2002

DOI: 10.1109/PSEC.2002.1023899 · Source: IEEE Xplore

CITATIONS

2

6 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



F.s. Dos Reis

Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Gra...

54 PUBLICATIONS 224 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



José Antenor Pomilio

University of Campinas

129 PUBLICATIONS 1,137 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Javier Sebastián

University of Oviedo

244 PUBLICATIONS 2,466 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Javier Uceda

Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

221 PUBLICATIONS 3,010 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Matching Conducted EMI to International Standards

F. S. Dos Reis, J. C. M. de Lima, V. M. Canalli,

J. A. Pomilio

J. Sebastián and

J. Uceda

Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul
 Faculdade de Engenharia
 Departamento de Engenharia Elétrica
 Avenida Ipiranga, 6681 - Porto Alegre – RS
 CEP 90619-900 - Brazil
 e-mail:f.dosreis@ieee.org

Universidade de
 Campinas
 Brazil

Universidad de
 Oviedo
 Spain

Universidad
 Politecnica de
 Madrid
 Spain

Abstract - In this digest, we will present a methodology that allow us to accommodate the conducted Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) generated by power factor correctors to international standards in the design step. EMI filter design considerations and EMI predictive procedures are presented. The predictive procedures are based in a family of curves that allows us to determine the conducted Electromagnetic Interference (EMI), generated for the basic PFC converters (Boost, Buck-Boost, Zeta, Sepic, Cuk, and Buck converters). Using these curves we can determine the amplitude of the first harmonic in dB/ μ V in accordance with the CISPR 16 standard. Therefore, with this information it can be possible to design the EMI filter.

I. INTRODUCTION

A crucial question in recent years has been the reduction of the product development time, because the product lifetime is becoming shorter and shorter. Keeping this in mind, it is important to minimise the EMI failures, because the legitimate cost of failing EMI compliance is not the charge made by the test facility for re-testing. Certainly this can be expensive with Test House fees of up to US\$ 1500 by day. But those fees fade into insignificance when compared with the impact of the resulting delay on product time to market [1].

To know the influence of the EMI injected into the AC line by the power converters working as Power Factor Correctors (PFC) in the electronic design is becoming more and more important. This is because today most of the loads have an input power converter. These converters needs to fulfil the international standards for EMI. Current and future regulations limit the generation of conducted and radiated noise. These regulations also limit the minimum power factor for the equipment connected to the AC line.

All these facts demonstrate the importance of the EMI evaluation and reduction in power converters working as PFC.

In this work will be presented some EMI filter design considerations associated with a method for determination of the conducted EMI generated by Power Factor Corrector (PFC), using the method presented by J. Uceda et al [2]. Using this two tools the reader will be able to adequate the EMI, generated for (Cuk, Buck, Boost, Buck-Boost, Sepic and Zeta converters working as PFC), in all operates modes Continuous Conduction Mode (CCM), Discontinuous Conduction Mode (DCM) and Frequency Modulation (FM), to international Standards.

The method employed to determination of conducted EMI

in PFC consist in a group of curves that allow us to determine the amplitude of the conducted EMI Differential Mode (DM) (first harmonic) in dB/ μ V in accordance with the International Special Committee on Radio Interference publication 16 (CISPR 16) [3] standard. We only present the first harmonic once that the filter design is determinated by the first harmonic [4]. The curves are made taking into account a simulation of the converter input current and the EMI measuring apparatus in accordance with CISPR 16. A very good method for this simulation was presented by Albach [4].

II. EMI DESIGN CURVES

In this section we present a set of curves that allow us to determine the amplitude of the conducted EMI DM (first harmonic) in dB/ μ V in accordance with the CISPR 16 (3) standard, for the more employed PFC converters. In this paper we present the EMI design curves for the Boost (in CCM, DCM and FM modes), Buck-Boost, Zeta (in CCM, DCM and FM modes), Sepic and Cuk (in CCM, DCM and FM modes) converters.

All curves are plotted in agreement with the characteristics shown in Table I. In the y-axis, the conducted noise differential mode in dB/ μ V for the **switching frequency (SF)** (F_s in MHz) is shown. In the x-axis we have the SF. In FM mode the x-axis shows the minimal SF ($F_{s\min}$ in MHz).

TABLE I
 CONVERTERS' PARAMETERS

Reference Power	$P_{Ref} = 1 \text{ W}$
Peak Reference Input Voltage	$V_{g\text{Ref}} = 311.13 \text{ V}$
Output Voltage	$V = 380 \text{ to } 880 \text{ V}_{DC}$
Switching Frequency	$F_s = 10 \text{ to } 1000 \text{ kHz}$
Maximum Reference Relative Ripple	$\delta_{Ref\max} = 1.0$
Line Frequency	$f = 50 \text{ Hz}$

Before starting this analysis, we will present a brief summary of the frequency modulation (FM) operation mode. In this operation mode the on time t_{on} of the switch transistor is constant [4]. The off time changes continuously. After the on time t_{on} , the switch is turned off and stays so, until the diode current $i_d(t)$ becomes zero, when another cycle starts. In other words when $i_d(t)$ becomes zero the switch is turned on.

The converter operates in the transition point between the continuous and discontinuous inductor current.

The use of the FM approach as described by [4] is justified because provides an effective reduction in the conducted EMI DM for the minimal SF values lower than 150 kHz as described by [2]. As an additional advantages the control circuit that implement the FM approach is quite simple as described by [4]. Unfortunately the use of the FM approach with t_{on} constant cannot provides unitary power factor (PF), except to the Boost converter. However quite ideal results are available.

When the converters are working in DCM it is common to use the K constant to design them, where K is defined as following:

$$K = \frac{2L}{RT} \quad (1)$$

being L the converter inductance or the converter equivalent inductance, R is the full load resistance and T is the period of the SF, if we also use T equal to the period of the minimal SF (T_{max}) we can also use the K constant to design the converter inductor in FM mode.

There is a critical value to the K constant (K_{cri}) which guarantee that the converter will work in DCM. Therefore, if one knows the K_{cri} it is possible to determine the L value, which allows an operating in DCM for all values of the input voltage $v_e(t)$. However, the converter could be designed to work with K values lower than K_{cri} in order to know the conducted EMI DM. For these cases, we also will present the design curves for K values lower than K_{cri} .

III. GAIN EQUATIONS

To determine the conducted EMI differential mode V_{noise} in dB/ μ V for a given converter according to the standard CISPR 16 [3], which peak input voltage and power are $V_{g\ nom}$ and P_{nom} respectively, we need to take into account the following equation:

$$V_{noise} = \rho i_{noise} Z_{LISN} \quad (2)$$

where Z_{LISN} is the impedance of the Line Impedance Stabilisation Network (LISN), i_{noise} is the EMI differential mode current and ρ is a function that represents the characteristics of the CISPR 16 measurement apparatus.

The conducted EMI DM noise in a converter with proportional waveforms that means, same topology and operation mode, and the characteristics shown in table 1 (reference converter), would be:

$$V_{noise\ Ref} = \rho i_{noise\ Ref} Z_{LISN} \quad (3)$$

From these equations we can obtain:

$$V_{noise} = \frac{i_{noise}}{i_{noise\ Ref}} V_{noise\ Ref} \quad (4)$$

But due to the fact that both converters have proportional waveforms, we can write:

$$\frac{i_{noise}}{P_{nom}/V_{g\ nom}} = \frac{i_{noise\ Ref}}{P_{Ref}/V_{g\ Ref}} \quad (5)$$

From equations (3) and (4) we obtain:

$$V_{noise} = \frac{P_{nom} V_{g\ Ref}}{P_{Ref} V_{g\ nom}} V_{noise\ Ref} \quad (6)$$

being $V_{g\ Ref}$ and P_{Ref} the peak input voltage and power shown in Table I. This equation can be re-written as follows:

$$V_{noise} = \gamma V_{noise\ Ref} \quad (7)$$

where $\gamma = P_{nom} V_{g\ Ref} / (P_{Ref} V_{g\ nom})$ is a scale constant. Expression (6) can be written in dB/ μ V as in equation (7).

$$V_{noise}(dB/\mu V) = 20 \log \gamma + V_{noise\ Ref}(dB/\mu V) \quad (8)$$

Note that $V_{noise\ Ref}$ (dB/ μ V) is the value obtained from the EMI design curves.

The conducted EMI DM generated by Boost converter in CCM and Sepic and Cuk converters in CCM, DCM and FM is proportional to the relative ripple then the equation (7) can be re-written in order to reflect this fact. The maximum relative ripple of the input current (δ_{max}) is defined as follow:

$$\delta_{max} = \left[\frac{\Delta I_L}{I_{L\ average}} \right]_{maximum} \quad (9)$$

being, ΔI_L the input currents ripple $\Delta I_L = I_{L\ max} - I_{L\ min}$ and $I_{L\ average}$ the average input current. Therefore the conducted EMI DM for this case can be expressed as in equation (10).

$$V_{noise}(dB/\mu V) = 20 \log \frac{\delta_{max}}{\delta_{max\ Ref}} + 20 \log \gamma + V_{noise\ Ref}(dB/\mu V) \quad (10)$$

Note that $\delta_{max\ ref}$ was defined as being unitary.

The conducted EMI DM generated by the others converters in CCM is quite independent of the δ_{max} value. Therefore, the equation (10) is not effective for this converters.

IV. BOOST CONVERTER IN DCM

For the Boost converter in DCM with d constant, as proposed by Liu and Lin in [6], the EMI design curves are presented in Fig. 1. In this operation mode the converter also operates with constant SF. The K_{cri} value and the duty cycle are presented in the following equations:

$$K_{cri} \leq \frac{y(M)}{\pi} \frac{(M-1)^2}{M^4} \quad (11)$$

$$d = M \sqrt{\frac{k\pi}{y(M)}} \quad (12)$$

where M is the converter gain $M = V/V_g$ and $y(M)$ is as follow:

$$y(M) = \int_0^\pi \frac{M \sin \theta^2}{M - \sin \theta} d\theta \quad (13)$$




Fig. 1. EMI design curves for the Boost converter in DCM for K equal to Kcri.

V. BOOST CONVERTER IN CCM

When the Boost converter operates in Continuous Conduct Mode (CCM), the inductor value could be determined as follow:

$$L = \frac{V_g^2 T}{2 P \delta_{\max}} \quad (14)$$

In Fig. 2 we have the EMI design curves for this operation mode.




Fig. 2. EMI design curves for Boost converter in CCM for $\delta_{\text{ref}}=1$.

VI. BOOST CONVERTER IN FM

In this operation mode the K_{cri} , t_{on} and T values are as follow:

$$K_{\text{cri}} = \frac{(M - 1)}{2 M^3} \quad (15)$$

$$t_{\text{on}} = T_{\text{max}} \frac{(M - 1)}{M} \quad (16)$$

$$T = t_{\text{on}} \frac{M}{M - \sin \omega t} \quad (17)$$

The EMI design curves for the FM mode are presented in Fig. 3.




Fig. 3. EMI design curves for Boost converter in FM mode.

VII. BUCK-BOOST AND ZETA CONVERTERS IN CCM

The EMI design curves which we will present for Buck-Boost converters are also valid for the Zeta converter because it is easily demonstrated that both converters have the same input current if both have the same equivalent inductance L defined as:

$$L = L_1 // \frac{L_2}{n_T^2} \quad (18)$$

for the Zeta converter. The inductance L for both converters is presented in equation (19), being n_T the turns ratio between primary and secondary, and $M'=M/n_T$. Of course, the EMI design curves are also valid to the Flyback converter.

$$L = \frac{R T}{2} \frac{I}{n_T^2 M'^2 \delta_{\max}} \quad (19)$$

In Fig. 4 we have the EMI design curves for this situation.




Fig. 4. EMI design curves for Buck-Boost, Flyback and Zeta converters in CCM with $\delta_{\text{max}}=1.0$.

VIII. BUCK-BOOST AND ZETA CONVERTERS IN DCM

When the Buck-Boost converter works in DCM, the critical value of the K (K_{cri}) and the duty cycle d are given in equations (20) and (21) respectively.

$$K_{cri} \leq \frac{1}{2 n_T^2 (M' + 1)^2} \quad (20)$$

$$d = \sqrt{2 K n_T M'} \quad (21)$$

For this case the EMI design curves are represented in Fig.5.




Fig. 5. EMI design curves for Buck-Boost, Flyback and Zeta converters in DCM for $K=K_{cri}$.

IX. BUCK-BOOST AND ZETA CONVERTERS IN FM

For Buck-Boost and Zeta converters in FM, the K_{cri} , t_{on} and T values are shown in the equations (22) to (24).

$$K_{cri} = \frac{\Gamma(M')}{\pi n_T^2 (M' + 1)} \quad (22)$$

$$t_{on} = T_m \times \frac{M'}{M' + 1} \quad (23)$$

$$T = t_{on} \frac{M' + |\sin \omega t|}{M'} \quad (24)$$

being $\Gamma(M')$:

$$\Gamma(M') = \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{M' + \sin \theta} d\theta \quad (25)$$

The EMI design curves to the Buck-Boost, Flyback and Zeta converters in FM mode are presented in Fig. 6.




Fig. 6. EMI design curves for the Buck-Boost, Flyback and Zeta converters in FM mode.

X. SEPIC AND CUK CONVERTERS IN CCM

As in the case of the Boost converter in CCM the Sepic and Cuk converters in all operation modes are dependent of the δ_{max} in accordance with equation (19). The inductors L_1 and the equivalent inductor L are obtained in accordance with the following equations:

$$L_1 = \frac{RT}{2} \frac{1}{n_T^2 M'^2 \delta_{max}} \quad (26)$$

$$L = \frac{RT}{4 n_T^2 M'^2} \quad (27)$$

being the equivalent inductor $L=L_1//L_2$ for the Sepic converter and $L=L_1/(L_2/n_T^2)$ for the Cuk converter. In Fig. 7 is shown the EMI design curves for these converters.




Fig. 7. EMI design curves for Sepic and Cuk converters in CCM with $\delta_{max}=1.0$.

XI. SEPIC AND CUK CONVERTERS IN DCM

The K_{cri} for these converters is given by equation (20) and the duty cycle d for equation (21). Inductor L_1 value is computed as follows:

$$L_1 = \frac{2L}{d \delta_{max}} \quad (28)$$

The EMI design curves for these converters in DCM are represented, in Fig. 8.




Fig. 8. EMI design curves for Sepic and Cuk converters in DCM for $K=K_{cri}$ and $\delta_{max}=1.0$.

XII. SEPIC AND CUK CONVERTERS IN FM

For this operation mode, the K_{cri} , the duty cycle d and the on time t_{on} , can be obtained from the equations (22) to (24). Inductor L_1 value is obtained as follows:

$$L_1 = \frac{2L}{\delta_{max}} \frac{M' + 1}{M'} \quad (29)$$

The EMI design curves for these converters in FM are represented, in Fig. 9.




Fig. 9. EMI design curve for Sepic and Cuk converters in FM mode for $\delta_{max}=1.0$

XIII. EMI DESIGN CURVES COMMENTS

It is important to observe that at $F_s = 150$ kHz the curves have a discontinuity. This discontinuity at 150 kHz has its origin in the CISPR 16, which establishes changes in the measurement apparatus at this frequency. The most important change occurs in the bandwidth of the receiver that changes from 200 Hz to 9 kHz.

For the FM operation mode analysed here it is very important to observe that at $F_{s \min}$ lower than 150 kHz this approach presents an important reduction in the conducted

EMI DM in relation to DCM mode. On the other hand, when $F_{s \min}$ is greater than 150 kHz this effect is not significant.

XIV. EMI FILTER DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

The design of a suitable EMI filter can be done according different approaches [7-9]. Usually a high-order filter is used to reduce inductance and capacitance values.

Ideally it could be considered that no harmonic components exist in the frequency range between the line and the switching frequencies. This fact would allow to center the filter resonance at a suitable frequency in order to guarantee the required attenuation. In this ideal situation the filter could be undamped.

In the Continuous Conduction Mode the input rectified voltage is usually used to create the current reference waveform. In this case the presence of instabilities in the filter output (converter side) can lead to severe converter malfunction [10].

As the input voltage waveform is not so important for the proper operation of the PFC in the Discontinuous Conduction Mode, some damping effect is necessary to avoid oscillations in the average input current produced by transient situations, like load or line changes.

According to the paper proposition, the filter will be designed considering only the differential mode EMI noise produced by the converter. For this filter type, not only the required attenuation but also other restrictions must be taken into account. For example, VDE standard specifies a maximum x-type capacitance of 2.2 μF [8] in order to limit the line current (50/60 Hz component) even at no load situation. The maximum capacitance should be used to minimise the inductance value.

Let us consider the damped second order filter topology shown in Fig. 10. This filter attenuates 40 dB/dec. For a given necessary attenuation, the cut-off frequency is given by:

$$f_c = \frac{f_x}{10^{A_1/A_2}} \quad (30)$$

where f_c is the cut-off frequency, f_x is the frequency in which the required attenuation (A_1) is determined. A_2 is the filter characteristic attenuation.

The inductance value is given by:

$$L_f = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 C_1 f_c^2} \quad (31)$$

The maximum C_1+C_2 value is 2.2 μF [8], and for a proper damping effect, $C_2=10C_1$. The damping resistance can be calculated as:

$$R_d = \sqrt{\frac{L_f}{C_2}} \quad (32)$$




Fig. 10. EMI differential mode filter.

XV. DESIGN EXAMPLE

The standard IEC CISPR 11 [11] determines limits for conducted noise for industrial, scientific and medical equipment (ISM). The limit for mains terminal disturbance voltage in the frequency band 150 kHz to 500 kHz is 66 dB/ μ V (average level), measured according to CISPR 16.

Let us consider a Boost PFC, in DCM, and the 102 dB/ μ V predicted EMI level shown in Fig. 1. The required filter attenuation is 36 dB/ μ V. To have this value at 150 kHz, a second-order filter must have a cut-off frequency at 18.9 kHz (equation (30)). Adopting $C_1=220$ nF and $C_2=2$ μ F, the inductance (equation (31)) and damping resistance (equation (32)) are, respectively, 322 μ H and 38 Ω . Fig. 11 shows the filter frequency response, given the 36 dB attenuation at 150 kHz.




Fig. 11. EMI filter attenuation.

XVI. CONCLUSIONS

The proposed method for determination and reduction of PFC conducted EMI DM presented here can be an useful tool to help SMPS designers. This tool allows us to easily predict the amplitude of the first harmonic in dB/ μ V in accordance with the CISPR 16 and to design the EMI filter. In this way we can design the filters without needing to make a prototype or make complex simulations. This method can be a contribution to the reduction of the product development time.

The analysis that we have developed in this paper is not a full description of the harmonics. But this simplification does

not represent a big problem, because the design of the filter is generally made for the first harmonic. In the majority of cases the filter that eliminates the harmonics of low order (F_s) also eliminates the harmonics of high order.

We must avoid design the converters in FM mode at $F_{s \min}$ around 150 kHz. Minimal SF around 100 kHz are preferred.

The presented curves are also useful at $f=60$ Hz.

From the analysis we can conclude that the FM operation mode is an interesting solution in order to reduce the conducted EMI with simple control circuits. Unfortunately this solution is not effective for switching frequencies in the proximity and higher than 150 kHz.

The curves presented here are similar to those presented by Albach [4], but in this paper we present the curves as a function of normalised parameters M and δ . These curves associated with the gain equations permit us to obtain the conducted EMI DM (first harmonic) for a large range of converter specifications.

XVII. REFERENCES

1. Hewlett Packard. EMC In The European Environment - Seminar. 1992.
2. F. S. Dos Reis, J. Sebastián, J. Uceda, 1994, PESC'94, 1117 - 1126.
3. CISPR 16 - Specification for Radio Interference Measuring Apparatus and Measurement Methods, second edition 1987.
4. Albach, M. 1986. PESC'86, 203 - 212.
5. D.S.L. Simonetti, J. Sebastián, F.S. Dos Reis and J. Uceda. 1992. IECON 92, 283 - 288.
6. K. H. Liu and Y. L. Lin, 1989, PESC'89, 825 - 829.
7. V. Vlatkovic, D. Borojevic and F. C. Lee: "Input Filter Design for Power Factor Correction Circuits", 1993, IECON'93, 954-958
8. Y. F. Zhang, L. Yang and C. Q. Lee: "Optimal Design of Integrated EMI Filter", 1995, APEC'95, 274-280
9. M. V. Ataide and J. A. Pomilio: "Single-Phase Shunt Active Filter: a Design Procedure Considering harmonics and EMI Standards", 1997 ISIE'97, pp. 422-427.
10. G. Spiazzi and J. A. Pomilio: "Interaction between EMI Filter and Power Factor Preregulators with Average Current Control: Analysis and Design Considerations", 1999, APEC'99.
11. CISPR 11 - Limits and methods of measurement of electromagnetic disturbance characteristics of industrial, scientific and medical (ISM) radio-frequency equipment., 2nd edition, 1990.