IFNα Serum Levels Are Associated with Endothelial Progenitor Cells Imbalance and Disease Features in Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients

Javier Rodríguez-Carrio¹, Banesa de Paz¹, Patricia López¹, Catuxa Prado¹, Mercedes Alperi-López², Francisco Javier Ballina-García², Ana Suárez¹*

1 Area Of Immunology, Department Of Functional Biology, Faculty Of Medicine, University Of Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain, 2 Department Of Rheumatology, Hospital Universitario Central De Asturias, Oviedo, Spain

Abstract

Introduction: IFN α has been largely implicated in the ethiopathogenesis of autoimmune diseases but only recently it has been linked to endothelial damage and accelerated atherosclerosis in autoimmunity. In addition, proinflammatory conditions are supposed to be implicated in the cardiovascular status of these patients. Since a role for IFN α in endothelial damage and impaired Endothelial Progenitor Cell (EPC) number and function has been reported in other diseases, we aimed to evaluate the potential associations of IFN α serum levels on EPC populations and cytokine profiles in Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) patients.

Methods: pre-EPC, EPC and mature EPC (mEPC) populations were quantified by flow cytometry analyzing their differential CD34, CD133 and VEGFR2 expression in blood samples from 120 RA patients, 52 healthy controls (HC), and 83 systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) patients as disease control. Cytokine serum levels were measured by immunoassays and clinical and immunological data, including cardiovascular (CV) events and CV risk factors, were retrospectively obtained by reviewing clinical records.

Results: Long-standing, but not recent onset RA patients displayed a significant depletion of all endothelial progenitor populations, unless high IFN α levels were present. In fact, the IFN^{high} RA patient group (n = 40, 33%), showed increased EPC levels, comparable to SLE patients. In addition, high IFN α serum levels were associated with higher disease activity (DAS28), presence of autoantibodies, higher levels of IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-10 and MIP-1 α , lower amounts of TGF- β , and increased mEPC/EPC ratio, thus suggesting higher rates of endothelial damage and an endothelial repair failure. Finally, the relationship between high IFN α levels and occurrence of CV events observed in RA patients seems to support this hypothesis.

Conclusions: IFN α serum marker could be used to identify a group of RA patients with increased disease activity, EPC imbalance, enhanced proinflammatory profile and higher cardiovascular risk, probably due, at least in part, to an impaired endothelial repair.

Citation: Rodríguez-Carrio J, de Paz B, López P, Prado C, Alperi-López M, et al. (2014) IFNα Serum Levels Are Associated with Endothelial Progenitor Cells Imbalance and Disease Features in Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients. PLoS ONE 9(1): e86069. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086069

Editor: Peter Szodoray, Institute of Immunology, Rikshospitalet, Norway

Received September 16, 2013; Accepted December 7, 2013; Published January 21, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Rodríguez-Carrio et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by European Union FEDER funds and the Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria (FIS, PI08/0570 and PI12/0053). JRC is a recipient of a FPU grant from the Ministerio de Educación. CP was supported by a fellowship from FIS and BdP was supported by a fellowship from FICYT. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: Anasua@Uniovi.Es

Introduction

Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) is associated with increased cardiovascular (CV) disease morbidity and mortality rates that cannot be explained by traditional risk factors [1,2]. Moreover, endothelial dysfunction, the main cause of premature atherosclerosis, has been found even in young RA patients without traditional CV risk factors [3], thus suggesting the involvement of disease-related pathways.

Endothelial damage leads to denuded sites at the endothelial wall that must be repaired. In this sense, bone marrow-derived Endothelial Progenitor Cells (EPCs) carry out vasculogenesis and endothelial repair functions, contributing to vascular homeostasis [4]. Although there is no consensus on their precise phenotypic definition, functional EPC are characterized by the expression of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor-2 (VEGFR-2 or CD309), CD34 and CD133 [5,6]; whereas those lacking CD34 expression are considered a pre-EPC subpopulation [7]. During EPC differentiation, CD133 expression is lost and they begin to express mature endothelial-specific markers, becoming mature EPC (mEPC) with lower vasculogenic functionality [6]. As endothelial status depends on injury and repair, the balance between EPC populations could be a surrogate marker which may be used as a potential CV risk factor. In fact, some studies have shown that circulating EPC could serve as a predictor of CV

events in several conditions [8,9]. EPC studies in RA patients, however, are contradictory.

On the other hand, disease-related risk factors have been identified [10,11], suggesting that immune dysregulation could play a role in RA endothelial damage. Although the specific pathways remains unclear, a number of inflammatory and immune mediators seem to have a role, including C-reactive protein (CRP), cytokines, chemokines and growth factors [12,13], most of them dysregulated in RA patients and implicated in the pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases. Among these mediators, it is worth noting the case of IFN α , since type-I interferons play a role in the pathogenesis of SLE and probably other autoimmune diseases [14], and recent evidence suggests their involvement in endothelial damage and EPC dysfunction. It has been reported that IFNa impair EPC function in vitro as well as in vivo and, as a consequence, endothelial repair [15-18]. Moreover, type I IFNs have been linked to atherothrombosis by acting on platelets and foam cells [19]. In addition, IFNα-signature has been linked to vasculopathy in systemic sclerosis patients [20].

Since previous studies suggest that circulating EPC populations and type I IFNs could be involved in increasing cardiovascular risk in autoimmune diseases, the main aim of this study is to determine EPCs frequency in RA patients' peripheral blood and evaluate the potential associations with IFN α serum levels and clinical and immunological features.

Patients and Methods

Patients and Controls

Our study involved 120 RA patients fulfilling the 1987 revised criteria of the American College of Rheumatology, recruited from the Rheumatology outpatient clinic of the Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias, and 52 sex- and age-matched unrelated healthy controls (47 women, age (mean±SD): 44.74±11.04 years). Eighty-three SLE patients (79 women, age: 48.28±16.30 years, disease duration: 12.3±8.9 years, SLEDAI: 4.02±4.11) were included as disease controls. Routine clinical examination, information on clinical and immunological manifestations, therapies received in the previous three months and 28-joint disease activity score (DAS28) were obtained at the time of sampling. Clinical response to anti-TNFa therapy, in a six-month period, was analyzed using EULAR response criteria [21]. Patients were classified on having a "good" "moderate" or "no response" according to DAS28 change from baseline (6-month previous clinical visit). Patients' clinical records were exhaustively revised in order to register the history of CV events and traditional CV risk factors (diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolemia, hypertension and smoking habits). A CV event was considered if the patient suffered from heart failure, ischemic heart disease, cerebrovascular accident or peripheral arteriopathy from their RA diagnosis. Clinical definition of CV events and risk factors was performed as previously stated [22,23].

Ethics Statement

Approval for the study was obtained from the Regional Ethics Committee for Clinical Investigation (Servicio de Salud del Principado de Asturias, Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias), according to the Declaration of Helsinki. All procedures were performed with an informed written consent from all individuals.

Flow Cytometry EPCs Quantification

Blood samples were immediately transported to the laboratory and processed. EPC were analyzed by FACS as described previously [24], following EUSTAR recommendations [25] with few modifications. Briefly, 100 µl of peripheral blood were preincubated with 10 µl of FcR Blocking Reagent (Miltenyi Biotech) for 20 minutes, followed by 30-minutes triple-labelling with anti-VEGFR2-phycoerythrin (PE, R&D Systems), anti-CD34-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC, BD Pharmigen) and anti-CD133-allophycocyanin (APC, Miltenyi Biotech) or with identical isotype antibodies (BD Pharmigen). Labelled cells were lysed with 2 ml BD Lysing Solution (BD Biosciences) for 5 minutes and washed twice with PBS. Finally, samples were analyzed in a BD FACSCanto II flow cytometer. After gating the lymphocyte population, CD34-positive events were selected and analyzed in a CD133 vs. VEGFR2 dot plot, thus considering CD34/VEGFR2/ CD133 triple-positive cells as **EPCs** while CD34⁺VEGFR2⁺CD133⁻ cells were identified as mature EPCs (mEPCs) [24] (Figure 1A). On the other hand, VEGFR2-positive events within the lymphocyte gate were analyzed for CD34/ CD133 expression and CD34⁻VEGFR2⁺CD133⁺ cells were considered as pre-EPCs. At least 100,000 events in the lymphocyte gate and more than 100 CD34⁺ cells were acquired per sample. Cell counts were expressed as the number of positive cells per 100,000 events in the lymphocyte gate.

Cytokine Serum Levels Quantifications

Serum aliquots were stored at -80° C until cytokine immunoassay measurement. Levels of IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IFN α , MIP-1 α (CCL3) and VEGF-A₁₆₅were quantified using a Cytometric Bead Array Flex Set (BD) and analyzed in a BD FACS Canto II flow cytometer using FCAP Array v.1.0.1. For IL-1 β , IL-6 and IL-10, an Enhanced Sensitivity Flex Set was needed. Technical detection limits were 48.4 fg/ml for IL-1 β , 68.4 fg/ml for IL-6, 1.2 pg/ml for IL-8, 13.7 fg/ml for IL-10, 1.5 pg/ml for IFN α , 0.2 pg/ml for MIP-1 α and 4.0 pg/ml for VEGF-A₁₆₅. TGF- β 1 and TNF α serum levels were quantified using ELISA kits (OptEIA, BD Bioscience), in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Detection limits for these cytokines were 5 ng/ml and 0.48 pg/ml, respectively.

Statistical Analysis

All data are presented as median (Interquartile Range) unless otherwise stated. Comparisons were performed by non-parametric tests (Mann-Whitney U, Kruskal-Wallis tests and Spearman's rank) as data were not normally distributed. Categorical variables were compared with a chi-squared test. The association between categorical variables and the CV events was assessed and adjusted for other factors (sex, age, traditional CV risk factors and disease activity) using multiple logistic regression analysis. Adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated so as to evaluate the strength of the associations. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All data were analyzed with SPSS v.15.0 software.

Results

Circulating EPC Populations and $\text{IFN}\alpha$ Serum Levels in RA Patients

We aimed to investigate the possible relationship between EPC populations and IFN α levels in RA patients. To this end, IFN α serum levels and circulating pre-EPC, EPC and mEPC populations were quantified in 52 healthy controls (HC) and 120 RA patients with different disease duration (range 0–219 months) (Table 1). No significant differences in any endothelial progenitor population were found between patients and HC. However, disease duration was negatively correlated with EPC (r = -0.316, p<0.001) and mEPC (r = -0.342, p<0.001), suggesting an EPC



Figure 1. EPC analyses in RA patients by flow cytometry. (A) Gating strategy of EPC, mEPC and pre-EPC analysis by flow cytometry in peripheral blood samples. Representative dot plots of a HC are shown. (B) The size of endothelial precursor populations is influenced by disease duration. Pre-EPC, EPC and mEPC counts in early (ERA, disease duration <1 year, n = 36) and long-standing (LRA, n = 84) Rheumatoid Arthritis patients and healthy controls (HC, n = 52). Differences were measured by Mann-Withney U-test. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086069.g001

depletion associated with disease progression. In fact, patients at recent onset (less than one year, n = 36, early RA, ERA) showed similar levels of these populations than HC, whereas those with longer disease duration (n = 84, long-standing RA, LRA) exhibited a significant depletion of all EPC populations (Figure 1B). Neither associations with age at sampling, age at diagnosis, nor autoantibodies status were found.

On the other hand, IFN α serum levels were increased in RA patients compared with HC (20.25±47.61 vs. 1.76±3.08 pg/ml, p=0.001), and positively correlated with all endothelial progenitor populations in patients (EPC: r=0.294, p<0.001; mEPC r=0.265, p<0.001, pre-EPC r=0.367, p<0.001) but not in controls. Of note, this cytokine was associated with DAS-28 score (r=0.253, p=0.023) but unrelated to disease duration (r=0.055, p=0.547). In fact, ERA and LRA patients showed similar IFN α levels (14.43±31.16 vs. 22.74±53.10, p=0.718).

In spite of the IFN α increase in RA, Figure 2 evidences that only a fraction of patients showed high levels of this molecule, whereas the other group presented low levels, similar to HC. Thus, we classified RA patients in IFN^{low} and IFN^{high} using the HC 90th percentile (P90th = 4.092 pg/ml) as cut off. As shown in Table 1, these RA groups did not differ in age, disease duration or treatment followed, but IFN^{high} patients (n = 40, 33%) exhibited higher disease activity (DAS28) and ESR as well as increased positivity for autoantibodies. Other clinical markers such as Tender Joint Count, Patient Global Assessment or CRP were slightly augmented.

EPC Populations Differ According to IFNa Levels

In view of these results, we analyzed EPC populations in RA patients according to IFN α levels and disease duration, using as

controls healthy donors (HC) and patients with SLE, a disease presenting altered levels of IFNa and EPCs [24]. Figure 3 shows that among ERA patients, those with normal IFN α levels (IFN^{low}) displayed similar pre-EPC, EPC and mEPC counts to HC, whereas IFN^{high} ERA patients exhibited higher levels of these populations compared with both HC and IFN^{low}, but similar to SLE patients. However, the most remarkable results were detected in LRA patients, since those with normal IFNa levels showed significantly lower pre-EPC and EPC counts than HC, thus highlighting a significant depletion that was missing in IFN^{high} patients. Therefore, EPC depletion seems to be a characteristic of RA patients unless the presence of high IFN α levels hides this effect. In fact, no significant differences in EPC populations were present between SLE and IFN^{high} RA patients, independently of disease duration. In any case, it is important to note that although IFN^{high} RA patients displayed enhanced EPC populations, the mEPC/EPC ratio, indicative of the endothelial repair capability [5,6], was increased in this group compared with their IFN^{low} counterparts (1.22(1.40) vs. 0.56(1.71), p = 0.013), thus suggesting an endothelial repair failure in these patients. Interestingly, no significant differences in mEPC/EPC ratio were found between ERA and LRA patients (p = 0.090), neither by treatments (all p>0.050), so there is no evidence that disease duration or longer exposure to treatment drugs could modify the mEPC/EPC ratio in RA patients.

$\ensuremath{\mathsf{IFN}\alpha}$ is Associated with a Higher Rate of Cardiovascular Events

Taking into account the reported role of IFN α in endothelial damage and vascular repair, we aimed to evaluate the relevance of IFN α serum levels as a CV risk factor for RA patients. To this end,

Table 1. Demographic, immunological and clinical parameters of the RA patients.

	RA patients	IFNα			
	(n = 120)	IFN ^{low} (n = 80)	IFN ^{high} (n = 40)		
Sex (female/male)	101/19	70/10	31/9		
Age at sampling, years	55.33±15.23	55.24±15.04	55.56±16.00		
Age at diagnosis, years	53.09±18.00	52.78±14.97	53.77±16.23		
Disease duration, months	21.02±20.50	23.33±20.83	16.00±19.26		
Clinical features					
Number of tender joints	5.24±5.25	4.29±5.59	6.86±7.00		
Number of swollen joints	2.50±3.31	1.83±3.15	3.64±3.38		
Patient global assessment (0–100)	32.05±23.63	28.13±25.20	38.79±19.69		
Pain of patient's assessment (0–10)	3.21±2.42	2.71±2.40	4.07±2.30		
Duration of morning stiffness, min	48.42±70.93	46.25±78.30	52.14±58.72		
DAS28	3.81±1.61	3.35±1.52	4.59±1.49*		
HAQ	0.77±0.70	0.68±0.70	0.95±0.67		
CRP, mg/dl	0.39±0.63	0.28±0.45	0.59±0.83		
ESR, mm/h	23.47±19.94	16.87±10.64	34.78±26.71*		
RF positivity, n (%)	68 (56.7)	36 (45.0)	32 (80.0)***		
Anti-CCP positivity, n (%)	69 (57.5)	36 (45.0)	33 (82.5)***		
ANA positivity, n (%)	46 (38.3)	25 (31.2)	21 (52.5)*		
Smoking habit, n (%)	49 (40.8)	32 (40.0)	17 (42.5)		
Hypertension, n (%)	30 (25.0)	19 (23.7)	11 (27.5)		
Hypercholesterolemia, n (%)	11 (9.1)	8 (6.6)	3 (2.5)		
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	15 (12.5)	8 (10.0)	7 (17.5)		
Treatments n (%)					
None or NSAIDs	19 (15.8)	10 (10.1)	9 (22.5)		
Glucocorticoids	58 (48.3)	40 (50.0)	18 (45.0)		
Methotrexate	79 (65.8)	57 (71.3)	22 (55.0)		
Leflunomide	16 (13.3)	11 (13.7)	5 (12.5)		
TNF-α blockers	26 (21.6)	14 (17.5)	12 (30.0)		
Cardiovascular events, n(%)					
Cardiovascular events	27 (22.5)	12 (15.0)	15 (37.5)**		
Ischemic heart disease	10 (8.33)	4 (5.0)	6 (15.0)		
Cerebrovascular accidents	4 (3.33)	2 (2.5)	2 (5.0)		
Heart failure	12 (10.0)	6 (7.5)	6 (15.0)		
Peripheral arteriopathy	1 (0.83)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.5)		

Data of the whole RA patients group and classified according to IFN α serum levels. Data are expressed as (mean \pm SD) unless otherwise was stated. Differences between categorical variables were evaluated by chi-square test, whereas Mann-Withney U test was used for continuous ones. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001. IFN^{low}: serum levels <90th percentile in HC (4.092 pg/ml); IFN^{high}: serum levels \geq 90th percentile in HC.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086069.t001

we analyzed the CV events suffered by RA patients in relation to both IFN α groups, demographic and clinical variables. The frequency of RA patients who had suffered CV events was higher in the IFN^{high} than in the IFN^{low} group (37.5 vs 15.0%, p = 0.005), although no significant differences between groups were detected in traditional CV risk factors (Table 1), thus supporting the role of IFN α as an independent CV risk factor. Univariate logistic regression analysis (Table 2) revealed that high IFN α levels, male sex, age at diagnosis, hypertension and diabetes were associated with the risk of CV events. After multivariate analysis by logistic regression adjusted by age at diagnosis, sex, disease activity (DAS28) and traditional CV risk factors, only the association with IFN α and age at diagnosis remained significant.

On the other hand, patients who had suffered CV events showed an increased mEPC/EPC ratio compared to those who had not experienced such complications (1.27(3.21) vs. 1.00(2.04), p=0.010), as well as lower VEGF levels (55.84(90.69) vs. 122.34(150.39) pg/ml, p=0.044), thus supporting the relevance of angiogenic cytokines and EPC balance in the endothelial repair maintenance. All these results support that high IFN α serum levels in RA patients could be associated with a higher rate of CV events, maybe by increasing the mEPC/EPC ratio and impairing endothelial repair.



Figure 2. IFN α serum levels are increased in a subgroup of RA patients. IFN α was quantified in 52 HC and 120 RA patients by CBA immunoassay. Dotted line represents HC 90th Percentile (4.092 pg/ml), used to classify RA patients in IFN^{low} (n = 80, 66%) or IFN^{high} (n = 40, 33%). Differences were measured by Mann-Withney U-test. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086069.g002

IFN^{low/high} Groups Differ in their Cytokine Profiles

Finally, to analyze whether IFN α serum marker may influence cytokine profiles in RA patients, we studied IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, MIP-1 α , VEGF-A₁₆₅, TNF α and TGF- β levels in patients and controls. The whole RA population was characterized by increased levels of IL-6 (1.05(3.41) vs. 0.32(1.15) pg/ml, p = 0.004), IL-8 (17.12(18.08) vs. 10.18(14.06) pg/ml, p = 0.008), IL-10 (0.37(0.68) vs. 0.10(0.18) pg/ml), and TNFa (5.76(4.01) vs. 3.26(1.93) pg/ml, p = 0.015), whereas TGF- β was decreased (14.47(4.60) vs. 19.42(6.71) ng/ml, p<0.001). Regarding to disease duration, we observed that LRA patients showed lower amounts of IL-1 β (p = 0.002), IL-6 (p = 0.001) and IL-10 (p = 0.028) and slightly higher of TGF- β (p = 0.060) than ERA, whereas TNF α levels were strikingly higher in the LRA group (p < 0.001). Restoration of cytokine levels in LRA could probably be due to a successful response to the therapy, since almost all LRA patients were under treatment while half of the ERA patients were untreated. In fact, striking differences were observed between treated and untreated patients in IL-1 β (p<0.001), IL-6 (p = 0.002) and IL-10 (p = 0.004), but not in TNF α .

Interestingly, it is remarkable that IFN^{high} patients showed a cytokine profile more similar to SLE patients than those IFN^{low} except for IL-1B (Figure 4A). In fact, IFN^{high} RA patients displayed higher levels of IL-1 β (0.47(1.48) vs. 0.13(0.06) pg/ml, p<0.001), IL-6 (1.93(13.76) vs. 0.75(2.27) pg/ml, p = 0.004), IL-10 $(0.65(1.15) \text{ vs. } 0.26(0.46) \text{ pg/ml, p} < 0.001), \text{MIP-1}\alpha (3.29(4.47) \text{ vs.})$ 0.00(4.28) pg/ml, p = 0.001) and lower of TGF- β (12.86(4.01) vs. (14.98(5.45) ng/ml, p = 0.025) than IFN^{low} patients. In addition, although no association between IFN α and TNF α levels was detected in the whole RA group (r = -0.028, p = 0.766), a positive correlation was found in the IFN^{high} group (r = 0.407, p = 0.011). All these results indicate that both IFN α and treatment influence cytokine levels. In fact, Figure 4B shows that treatments seem to restore IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, IL-10 and TGF- β levels to a greater extent in IFN^{low} patients than in IFN^{high} ones. Moreover, several clinical markers suggest a better outcome of IFN^{low} -treated patients than in their IFN^{high} counterparts (Figure 4C), thus suggesting a potential IFN α role in therapy outcomes.

Finally, we analyzed the clinical response to anti-TNF therapy among a 6-month period, since it has been proposed a role of the IFN/TNF cross-regulation in the response to this treatment [26– 27]. Of note, within the IFN^{low} group (n = 14), 35% of the patients (n = 5) reached a good response, and a similar percentage was found for those with a moderate response. In contrast, any patient



Figure 3. Long-standing RA patients with low IFN α levels exhibited a depletion of all endothelial progenitor populations. Pre-EPC, EPC and mEPC counts in early (ERA) and long-standing (LRA) Rheumatoid Arthritis patients were analyzed according to their IFN α serum levels. Healthy donors (HC) and SLE patients were included as both healthy and disease controls. Differences were assessed by Kruskal-Wallis test and Dunn's multiple comparisons post hoc test. *p<0.05, **p<0.01.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086069.g003

of the IFN^{high} group (n = 12) reached a good response, whereas only 41.6% (n = 5) fulfilled the criteria for a moderate one and more than a half (n = 7) exhibited no response to anti-TNF treatment.

Discussion

Recent evidence suggests a role of type I IFNs in vascular damage and EPC disbalance, mainly in SLE patients [15–18,24], probably due to the central involvement of IFN α in the SLE pathogenesis [14,28–30]. However, whether IFN α levels could play a major role in the clinical outcome and/or vascular damage in RA patients remains unknown.

Although most of the previous works reported an EPC depletion in RA patients that could be associated with disease activity [31– 33], recent studies show contradictory results [34–36]. Our data Table 2. Association between presence of IFNa serum marker and CV events in RA patients.

	Cardiovascular events		Univariate Analysis		Multivariate Analysis*	
	Absent (n=93)	Present (n = 27)	OR [95% CI]	p	OR [95% CI]	p
IFNα						
IFN ^{low}	68 (73.1)	12 (44.4)	1		1	
IFN ^{high}	25 (26.9)	15 (55.6)	3.400 [1.401-8.253]	0.007	4.816 [1.254-18.488]	0.022
Sex						
Women	83 (89.2)	18 (66.6)	1			
Men	10 (10.8)	9 (33.6)	4.150 [1.475-11.680]	0.007		
Age at diagnosis	51.00 (17.00)	56.00 (23.00)	1.050 [1.008-1.093]	0.019	1.038 [1.015-1.084]	0.021
DAS28 score	3.94 (2.66)	3.26 (2.09)	0.814 [0.580-1.143]	0.235		
HTA						
Normotensive	72 (77.4)	15 (55.5)	1			
Hypertensive	21 (22.6)	12 (44.4)	3.032 [1.218-7.547]	0.017		
DM						
Non-diabetic	84 (91.3)	20 (74.1)	1			
Diabetic	9 (8.7)	7 (25.9)	3.675 [1.192–11.326]	0.023		
Smoking habit						
Non-smoker	50 (53.7)	19 (70.3)	1			
Smoker	43 (46.3)	8 (29.6)	1.947 [0.773-4.904]	0.157		
Hypercholesterolemia						
Normocholesterolemic	83 (89.2)	26 (96.2)	1			
Hypercholesterolemic	10 (10.7)	1 (3.70)	0.311 [0.038–2.559]	0.278		
RF						
Negative	34 (36.5)	8 (30.8)	1			
Positive	60 (64.5)	19 (69.2)	1.530 [0.598–3.916]	0.375		
Anti-CCP						
Negative	33 (35.4)	7 (25.9)	1			
Positive	50 (53.7)	20 (74.1)	1.791 [0.678–4.734]	0.415		
ANA						
Negative	56 (60.2)	18 (66.6)	1			
Positive	37 (47.3)	9 (33.3)	0.730 [0.296-1.800]	0.499		

Associations were evaluated by logistic regression analysis using the presence of CV events (ischemic heart disease, n = 10; cerebrovascular accidents, n = 4; heart failure, n = 14; peripheral arteriopathy, n = 1) as dependent variable. Associations that reached statistic significance in multivariate analyses are highlighted in bold. *Multivariate analysis adjusted by sex, age at diagnosis, disease activity, smoking habits and presence or absence of HTA, DM and hypercholesterolemia. Accuracy of

prediction of the final model was 76.7% doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086069.t002

may explain these conflicting data, since we demonstrated that only a group of RA patients exhibited a significant EPC depletion. We have previously confirmed that EPC population decreases with disease duration, whereas at disease onset it was similar to healthy subjects [24]. This finding is in line with previous studies where CV risk in RA patients has been reported to be associated with disease duration, probably due to disease-specific factors [37]. In addition, we reported for the first time, that EPC and pre-EPC populations were significantly reduced in patients with low IFN α serum levels, whereas higher levels of this cytokine were associated with higher counts of EPC populations, which leads to an increase in the mEPC/EPC ratio, in a similar way to the results observed in SLE [24]. Moreover, IFN^{high} patients displayed higher disease activity and an elevated prevalence of autoantibodies, as was reported in IFN^{high} SLE patients [38]. Thus, we think that IFN α serum levels could be an important bias in EPC studies in autoimmune diseases and it could be taken into account in future works.

Recent genomic studies have reported the presence of type I IFN signature in around 25–50% of RA patients [28–30,39], which is according to our IFN^{high} subset size (30%) and using similar criteria as cut off (90th percentile), but no correlations had been detected between IFN signature and clinical or immunological disease parameters. However, in this study, we showed that serum IFN α is correlated with clinical parameters, in the same way that has been previously reported in SLE patients [40], thus supporting the feasibility of IFN α serum marker in autoimmunity.

Although EPC depletion has been linked to higher rates of CV disease, our data show that $\mathrm{IFN}^{\mathrm{high}}$ patients, with increased EPC counts, exhibit a higher occurrence of CV events, thus highlighting the role of IFN α levels as an independent CV risk biomarker. In fact, these results are in accordance with the reported role of IFN α in vascular damage and EPC dysfunction [15,17,18], and with the





Figure 4. Cytokine profiles and disease features in RA patients are dependent on IFN α **serum levels.** (A) Proinflammatory cytokines are increased in IFN^{high} patients compared with both HC and IFN^{low} patients. (B) Serum cytokines levels are restored in IFN^{low}-treated patients but not in IFN^{high} ones. (C) Improvement in clinical parameters in IFN^{low}-treated patients compared with IFN^{high} group. Differences between groups were assessed by Mann-Withney U-test. n. t.: non-treated; t.: treated. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086069.g004

increased mEPC/EPC ratio found in these patients, suggestive of an impaired EPC function. Actually, recent studies have linked endothelial repair failure in autoimmunity with the IFN α pathway, probably by altering the balance between endothelial cell apoptosis and vascular repair mediated by EPC [15]. This effect has been proposed to be mediated, at least in part, through VEGF repression in EPC [16]. Accordingly, IFN signature in EPCtreated cultures has been associated with impaired functionality and endothelial dysfunction [18]. Moreover, type I IFNs have been linked to atherosclerosis progression and vascular damage in both murine models [17] and human patients [41-43], thus proposing a type I IFN-mediated pathogenic role in CV disease in autoimmune patients. In addition, IFNa pharmacological treatment in non-RA subjects has been associated with CV disease [44,45]. The increased rate of CV events in IFN^{high} patients reported in our study support these findings. Accordingly, Somers et al. [42] reported that type I IFNs were independently associated with atherosclerotic development after adjusting for Framingham (traditional) risk factors. Similarly, in SLE, high disease activity is considered a better CV disease predictor than traditional risk factors [46].

In view of our results, we hypothesize that a potential mechanism by which IFN α could increase CV risk may be by promoting a premature EPC differentiation, generating mEPC (CD133⁻) with little or no vasculogenic and/or repair capability [6], probably similar to the "non-angiogenic phenotype" reported in murine SLE models [16], consequently resulting in a defective

EPC-mediated endothelial repair. That is, although counterintuitive, higher EPC counts are not associated with cardioprotection, but endothelial repair failure, because of the high IFN α levels, which are causing a shift towards the mEPC phenotype. In addition, we showed that patients who had experienced CV events exhibited a higher mEPC/EPC ratio, thus linking IFN α , EPC maturation and impaired EPC functionality.

Another interesting finding was the differences in the cytokine patterns of RA patients, which seem to be related to treatment and IFNa levels. In fact, IFN^{high} RA patients showed cytokine disturbances closer to SLE patients, characterized by a proinflammatory profile and higher IL-10 levels, which are associated with disease activity and poor prognosis markers, suggesting that this cytokine could be acting as a proinflammatory mediator in these conditions, as some authors have reported [47,48]. Moreover, the IFN^{high} group exhibited a positive correlation between IFN α and TNF α serum levels, similar to previously reported in SLE patients [49,50]. Although Palucka et al. [26] have been proposed a negative cross-regulation between these cytokines, many other associations have been published thereafter, highlighting the relevance of the disease, the experimental model, the sample origin and the characteristics of the patients. It seems that, in some autoimmune disorders, the negative TNF/IFN crossregulation loop is missed, leading to high serum levels of both cytokines in patients in which they may exert a pathological effect [39,49]. Moreover, different associations of these two mediators have been reported even in a single disease [51], as seen in our study. Actually, we think that IFN^{high} RA patients might display an impaired endothelial repair partly due to their proinflammatory cytokine network, mainly represented by higher serum levels of IL-1 β and IL-6 (a Th17 inducer cytokines) and low TGF- β , compared to their IFN^{low} counterparts. In fact, reported *in vitro* experiments showed that proinflammatory conditions are enough to impair EPC functionality [33,52,53]. Moreover, Mälarstig *et al.* [13] have showed that raised IL-10 levels are associated with poor outcomes and enhanced systemic inflammation in acute coronary syndrome, supporting, at least in part, our findings.

Finally, differences in cytokine levels between treated and untreated patients among IFN^{low} and IFN^{high} groups suggest that IFN α could be a predictive factor for treatment outcomes, being IFN^{high} patients associated with a poor response. This was especially clear for anti-TNF therapy, since clinical response among the previous 6 months was higher in those patients within the IFN^{low} group. Similar conclusions were published by other authors [29,54]. Therefore, this result makes us hypothesize that the IFN^{high} group could benefit from an anti-IFN α therapy [55] rather than traditional DMARDs. However, relatively short

References

- Avina-Zubieta JA, Thomas J, Sadatsafavi M, Lehman AJ, Lacaille D (2012) Risk of incident cardiovascular events in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a metaanalysis of observational studies. Ann Rheum Dis. annrheumdis-2011-200726 [pii];10.1136/annrheumdis-2011-200726 [doi].
- Wolfe F, Mitchell DM, Sibley JT, Fries JF, Bloch DA, et al. (1994) The mortality of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 37: 481–494.
- Hansel S, Lassig G, Pistrosch F, Passauer J (2003) Endothelial dysfunction in young patients with long-term rheumatoid arthritis and low disease activity. Atherosclerosis 170: 177–180. S0021915003002818 [pii].
- Pompilio G, Capogrossi MC, Pesce M, Alamanni F, DiCampli C, et al. (2009) Endothelial progenitor cells and cardiovascular homeostasis: clinical implications. Int J Cardiol 131: 156–167. S0167-5273(08)00949-2 [pii];10.1016/ j.ijcard.2008.08.033 [doi].
- Gehling UM, Ergun S, Schumacher U, Wagener C, Pantel K, et al. (2000) In vitro differentiation of endothelial cells from AC133-positive progenitor cells. Blood 95: 3106–3112.
- Peichev M, Naiyer AJ, Pereira D, Zhu Z, Lane WJ, et al. (2000) Expression of VEGFR-2 and AC133 by circulating human CD34(+) cells identifies a population of functional endothelial precursors. Blood 95: 952–958.
- Friedrich EB, Walenta K, Scharlau J, Nickenig G, Werner N (2006) CD34-/ CD133+/VEGFR-2+ endothelial progenitor cell subpopulation with potent vasoregenerative capacities. Circ Res 98: e20-e25. 01.RES.0000205765.28940.93 [pii];10.1161/01.RES.0000205765.28940.93 [doi].
- Hill JM, Zalos G, Halcox JP, Schenke WH, Waclawiw MA, et al. (2003) Circulating endothelial progenitor cells, vascular function, and cardiovascular risk. N Engl J Med 348: 593–600. 10.1056/NEJMoa022287 [doi];348/7/593 [pii].
- Werner N, Kosiol S, Schiegl T, Ahlers P, Walenta K, et al. (2005) Circulating endothelial progenitor cells and cardiovascular outcomes. N Engl J Med 353: 999–1007. 353/10/999 [pii];10.1056/NEJMoa043814 [doi].
- Full LE, Ruisanchez C, Monaco C (2009) The inextricable link between atherosclerosis and prototypical inflammatory diseases rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Res Ther 11: 217. ar2631 [pii];10.1186/ ar2631 [doi].
- Sattar N, McCarey DW, Capell H, McInnes IB (2003) Explaining how "highgrade" systemic inflammation accelerates vascular risk in rheumatoid arthritis. Circulation 108: 2957–2963. 10.1161/01.CIR.0000099844.31524.05 [doi];108/24/2957 [pii].
- Dessein PH, Joffe BI, Singh S (2005) Biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction, cardiovascular risk factors and atherosclerosis in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther 7: R634-R643. ar1717 [pii];10.1186/ar1717 [doi].
- Malarstig A, Eriksson P, Hamsten A, Lindahl B, Wallentin L, et al. (2008) Raised interleukin-10 is an indicator of poor outcome and enhanced systemic inflammation in patients with acute coronary syndrome. Heart 94: 724–729. hrt.2007.119271 [pii];10.1136/hrt.2007.119271 [doi].
- Ronnblom L (2011) The type I interferon system in the etiopathogenesis of autoimmune diseases. Ups J Med Sci 116: 227-237. 10.3109/ 03009734.2011.624649 [doi].
- Denny MF, Thacker S, Mehta H, Somers EC, Dodick T, et al. (2007) Interferon-alpha promotes abnormal vasculogenesis in lupus: a potential pathway for premature atherosclerosis. Blood 110: 2907–2915. blood-2007-05-089086 [pii];10.1182/blood-2007-05-089086 [doi].

follow-up period, differences in treatment duration and the low numbers of patients included do not lead us to achieve consistent conclusions in this issue.

Conclusions

In summary, we show that high IFN α serum levels could identify a group of RA patients with increased disease activity, EPC imbalance, enhanced proinflammatory profile and higher cardiovascular risk, probably due, at least in part, to an impaired endothelial repair. In addition, IFN α could be not only a marker of poor prognosis, but also of poor response to therapy, thus highlighting the relevance of this cytokine as a potential therapeutic target in RA.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: AS. Performed the experiments: JRC BdP PL CP. Analyzed the data: JRC AS. Contributed reagents/ materials/analysis tools: MAL FJBG AS. Wrote the paper: JRC AS.

- Thacker SG, Berthier CC, Mattinzoli D, Rastaldi MP, Kretzler M, et al. (2010) The detrimental effects of IFN-alpha on vasculogenesis in lupus are mediated by repression of IL-1 pathways: potential role in atherogenesis and renal vascular rarefaction. J Immunol 185: 4457–4469. jimmunol.1001782 [pii];10.4049/ jimmunol.1001782 [doi].
- Thacker SG, Zhao W, Smith CK, Luo W, Wang H, et al. (2012) Type I interferons modulate vascular function, repair, thrombosis, and plaque progression in murine models of lupus and atherosclerosis. Arthritis Rheum 64: 2975–2985. 10.1002/art.34504 [doi].
- Lee PY, Li Y, Richards HB, Chan FS, Zhuang H, et al. (2007) Type I interferon as a novel risk factor for endothelial progenitor cell depletion and endothelial dysfunction in systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 56: 3759–3769. 10.1002/art.23035 [doi].
- Kaplan MJ, Salmon JE (2011) How does interferon-alpha insult the vasculature? Let me count the ways. Arthritis Rheum 63: 334–336. 10.1002/art.30161 [doi].
- Tan FK, Zhou X, Mayes MD, Gourh P, Guo X, et al. (2006) Signatures of differentially regulated interferon gene expression and vasculotrophism in the peripheral blood cells of systemic sclerosis patients. Rheumatology (Oxford) 45: 694–702. kei244 [pii];10.1093/rheumatology/kei244 [doi].
- van Gestel AM, Anderson JJ, van Riel PL, Boers M, Haagsma CJ, et al. (1999) ACR and EULAR improvement criteria have comparable validity in rheumatoid arthritis trials. American College of Rheumatology European League of Associations for Rheumatology. J Rheumatol 26: 705–711.
- Ho KK, Pinsky JL, Kannel WB, Levy D (1993) The epidemiology of heart failure: the Framingham Study. J Am Coll Cardiol 22: 6A–13A.
- Rodriguez-Rodriguez L, Gonzalez-Juanatey C, Palomino-Morales R, Vazquez-Rodriguez TR, Miranda-Filloy JA, et al. (2011) TNFA -308 (rsl800629) polymorphism is associated with a higher risk of cardiovascular disease in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Atherosclerosis 216: 125–130. S0021-9150(11)00192-4 [pii];10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2010.10.052 [doi].
- Rodriguez-Carrio J, Prado C, de PB, Lopez P, Gomez J, et al. (2012) Circulating endothelial cells and their progenitors in systemic lupus erythematosus and early rheumatoid arthritis patients. Rheumatology (Oxford) 51: 1775–1784. kes152 [pii];10.1093/rheumatology/kes152 [doi].
- Distler JH, Allanore Y, Avouac J, Giacomelli R, Guiducci S, et al. (2009) EULAR Scleroderma Trials and Research group statement and recommendations on endothelial precursor cells. Ann Rheum Dis 68: 163–168. ard.2008.091918 [pii];10.1136/ard.2008.091918 [doi].
- Palucka AK, Blanck JP, Bennett L, Pascual V, Banchereau J (2005) Crossregulation of TNF and IFN-alpha in autoimmune diseases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 3372–3377. 0408506102 [pii];10.1073/pnas.0408506102 [doi].
- Cantaert T, Baeten D, Tak PP, van Baarsen LG (2010) Type I IFN and TNFalpha cross-regulation in immune-mediated inflammatory disease: basic concepts and clinical relevance. Arthritis Res Ther 12: 219. ar3150 [pii];10.1186/ar3150 [doi].
- 28. Higgs BW, Liu Z, White B, Zhu W, White WI, et al. (2011) Patients with systemic lupus erythematosus, myositis, rheumatoid arthritis and scleroderma share activation of a common type I interferon pathway. Ann Rheum Dis 70: 2029–2036. ard.2011.150326 [pii];10.1136/ard.2011.150326 [doi].
- Reynier F, Petit F, Paye M, Turrel-Davin F, Imbert PE, et al. (2011) Importance of correlation between gene expression levels: application to the type I interferon signature in rheumatoid arthritis. PLoS One 6: e24828. 10.1371/journal.pone.0024828 [doi];PONE-D-11-05657 [pii].

- 30. van der Pouw Kraan TC, Wijbrandts CA, van Baarsen LG, Voskuyl AE, Rustenburg F, et al. (2007) Rheumatoid arthritis subtypes identified by genomic profiling of peripheral blood cells: assignment of a type I interferon signature in a subpopulation of patients. Ann Rheum Dis 66: 1008–1014. ard.2006.063412 [pii];10.1136/ard.2006.063412 [doi].
- Egan CG, Caporali F, Garcia-Gonzalez E, Galeazzi M, Sorrentino V (2008) Endothelial progenitor cells and colony-forming units in rheumatoid arthritis: association with clinical characteristics. Rheumatology (Oxford) 47: 1484–1488. ken299 [pii];10.1093/rheumatology/ken299 [doi].
- Grisar J, Aletaha D, Steiner CW, Kapral T, Steiner S, et al. (2005) Depletion of endothelial progenitor cells in the peripheral blood of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Circulation 111: 204–211. 01.CIR.0000151875.21836.AE [pii];10.1161/01.CIR.0000151875.21836.AE [doi].
- Herbrig K, Haensel S, Oelschlaegel U, Pistrosch F, Foerster S, et al. (2006) Endothelial dysfunction in patients with rheumatoid arthritis is associated with a reduced number and impaired function of endothelial progenitor cells. Ann Rheum Dis 65: 157–163. ard.2005.035378 [pii];10.1136/ard.2005.035378 [doi].
- Allanore Y, Batteux F, Avouac J, Assous N, Weill B, et al. (2007) Levels of circulating endothelial progenitor cells in systemic sclerosis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 25: 60–66. 1982 [pii].
- Jodon dV, V, Avouac J, Ponceau A, Ruiz B, Kahan A, et al. (2010) Enhanced late-outgrowth circulating endothelial progenitor cell levels in rheumatoid arthritis and correlation with disease activity. Arthritis Res Ther 12: R27. ar2934 [pii];10.1186/ar2934 [doi].
- Yiu KH, Wang S, Mok MY, Ooi GC, Khong PL, et al. (2010) Role of circulating endothelial progenitor cells in patients with rheumatoid arthritis with coronary calcification. J Rheumatol 37: 529–535. jrheum.090782 [pii];10.3899/ jrheum.090782 [doi].
- Kerola AM, Kauppi MJ, Kerola T, Nieminen TV (2012) How early in the course of rheumatoid arthritis does the excess cardiovascular risk appear? Ann Rheum Dis 71: 1606–1615. annrheumdis-2012-201334 [pii];10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-201334 [doi].
- Kirou KA, Lee C, George S, Louca K, Peterson MG, et al. (2005) Activation of the interferon-alpha pathway identifies a subgroup of systemic lupus erythematosus patients with distinct serologic features and active disease. Arthritis Rheum 52: 1491–1503. 10.1002/art.21031 [doi].
- Cantaert T, van Baarsen LG, Wijbrandts CA, Thurlings RM, van de Sande MG, et al. (2010) Type I interferons have no major influence on humoral autoimmunity in rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 49: 156–166. kep345 [pii];10.1093/rheumatology/kep345 [doi].
- Bauer JW, Baechler EC, Petri M, Batliwalla FM, Crawford D, et al. (2006) Elevated serum levels of interferon-regulated chemokines are biomarkers for active human systemic lupus erythematosus. PLoS Med 3: e491. 05-PLME-RA-0506R3 [pii];10.1371/journal.pmed.0030491 [doi].
- Kirou KÄ, Čole P, Salmon JE, Roman MJ, Črow MK (2013) Identification of molecular pathways associated with progression of carotid atherosclerosis in systemic lupus erythematosus [abstract]. Arthritis Rheum 54: suppl:S807.
- 42. Somers EC, Zhao W, Lewis EE, Wang L, Wing JJ, et al. (2012) Type I interferons are associated with subclinical markers of cardiovascular disease in a

cohort of systemic lupus erythematosus patients. PLoS One 7: e37000. 10.1371/ journal.pone.0037000 [doi];PONE-D-12-02237 [pii].

- 43. Zhao W, Somers EC, McCune WJ, Kaplan MJ (2009) Type I Interferon gene signatures are associated with vascular risk and atherosclerosis in systemic lupus erythematosus [abstract]. Arthritis Rheum 60: suppl 10: 582.
- Kobayashi T, Sato Y, Hasegawa Y, Nagasawa T, Yoda Y, et al. (1992) Multiple myeloma complicated by congestive heart failure following first administration of recombinant alpha-interferon. Intern Med 31: 936–940.
- Kuwata A, Ohashi M, Sugiyama M, Ueda R, Dohi Y (2002) A case of reversible dilated cardiomyopathy after alpha-interferon therapy in a patient with renal cell carcinoma. Am J Med Sci 324: 331–334.
- Esdaile JM, Abrahamowicz M, Grodzicky T, Li Y, Panaritis C, et al. (2001) Traditional Framingham risk factors fail to fully account for accelerated atherosclerosis in systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 44: 2331– 2337.
- Cush JJ, Splawski JB, Thomas R, McFarlin JE, Schulze-Koops H, et al. (1995) Elevated interleukin-10 levels in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 38: 96–104.
- Lacki JK, Samborski W, Mackiewicz SH (1997) Interleukin-10 and interleukin-6 in lupus erythematosus and rheumatoid arthritis, correlations with acute phase proteins. Clin Rheumatol 16: 275–278.
- Lopez P, Gomez J, Prado C, Gutierrez C, Suarez A (2008) Influence of functional interleukin 10/tumor necrosis factor-alpha polymorphisms on interferon-alpha, IL-10, and regulatory T cell population in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus receiving antimalarial treatment. J Rheumatol 35: 1559–1566. 08/13/0621 [pii].
- Weckerle CE, Mangale D, Franck BS, Kelly JA, Kumabe M, et al. (2012) Largescale analysis of tumor necrosis factor alpha levels in systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 64: 2947–2952. 10.1002/art.34483 [doi].
- 51. Kariuki SN, Crow MK, Niewold TB (2008) The PTPN22 C1858T polymorphism is associated with skewing of cytokine profiles toward high interferon-alpha activity and low tumor necrosis factor alpha levels in patients with lupus. Arthritis Rheum 58: 2818–2823. 10.1002/art.23728 [doi].
- Deng XL, Li XX, Liu XY, Sun L, Liu R (2010) Comparative study on circulating endothelial progenitor cells in systemic lupus erythematosus patients at active stage. Rheumatol Int 30: 1429–1436. 10.1007/s00296-009-1156-4 [doi].
- Grisar J, Steiner CW, Bonelli M, Karonitsch T, Schwarzinger I, et al. (2008) Systemic lupus erythematosus patients exhibit functional deficiencies of endothelial progenitor cells. Rheumatology (Oxford) 47: 1476–1483. ken286 [pii];10.1093/rheumatology/ken286 [doi].
- 54. van Baarsen LG, Wijbrandts CA, Rustenburg F, Cantaert T, van der Pouw Kraan TC, et al. (2010) Regulation of IFN response gene activity during infliximab treatment in rheumatoid arthritis is associated with clinical response to treatment. Arthritis Res Ther 12: R11. ar2912 [pii];10.1186/ar2912 [doi].
- 55. Yao Y, Richman L, Higgs BW, Morehouse CA, de los RM, et al. (2009) Neutralization of interferon-alpha/beta-inducible genes and downstream effect in a phase I trial of an anti-interferon-alpha monoclonal antibody in systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 60: 1785–1796. 10.1002/art.24557 [doi].