

# Accepted Manuscript

High triglycerides and low HDL-c lipid profile in rheumatoid arthritis: a potential link among inflammation, oxidative status and dysfunctional HDL

Javier Rodríguez-Carrio, Mercedes Alperi-López, Patricia López, Raquel López-Mejías, Sara Alonso-Castro, Francisco Abal, Francisco J. Ballina-García, Miguel Á. González-Gay, Ana Suárez

PII: S1933-2874(17)30335-5

DOI: [10.1016/j.jacl.2017.05.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacl.2017.05.009)

Reference: JACL 1121

To appear in: *Journal of Clinical Lipidology*

Received Date: 13 March 2017

Revised Date: 1 May 2017

Accepted Date: 8 May 2017

Please cite this article as: Rodríguez-Carrio J, Alperi-López M, López P, López-Mejías R, Alonso-Castro S, Abal F, Ballina-García FJ, González-Gay MÁ, Suárez A, High triglycerides and low HDL-c lipid profile in rheumatoid arthritis: a potential link among inflammation, oxidative status and dysfunctional HDL, *Journal of Clinical Lipidology* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.jacl.2017.05.009.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1 **High triglycerides and low HDL-c lipid profile in rheumatoid arthritis:**  
2 **a potential link among inflammation, oxidative status and**  
3 **dysfunctional HDL**

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 Javier Rodríguez-Carrio<sup>1</sup>, Mercedes Alperi-López<sup>2</sup>, Patricia López<sup>1</sup>, Raquel López-Mejías<sup>3</sup>,  
10 Sara Alonso-Castro<sup>4,5</sup>, Francisco Abal<sup>6</sup>, Francisco J. Ballina-García<sup>2</sup>, Miguel Á. González-  
11 Gay<sup>3,7,8</sup> and Ana Suárez<sup>1#</sup>

12  
13  
14 <sup>1</sup> Area of Immunology, Department of Functional Biology, University of Oviedo, Asturias, Spain

15 <sup>2</sup> Department of Rheumatology, Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias, Asturias, Spain

16 <sup>3</sup> Epidemiology, Genetics and Atherosclerosis Research Group on Systemic Inflammatory Diseases,  
17 Rheumatology Division, Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla, IDIVAL, Santander, Spain

18 <sup>4</sup> Servicio de Reumatología, Hospital de Cabueñes

19 <sup>5</sup> University of León, Castilla y León, Spain

20 <sup>6</sup> Centro de Salud Sariego, Servicio de Salud del Principado de Asturias, Asturias, Spain

21 <sup>7</sup> Department of Medicine, University of Cantabria, Santander, Spain

22 <sup>8</sup> Cardiovascular Pathophysiology and Genomics Research Unit, School of Physiology, Faculty of Health  
23 Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33 **# Corresponding author:** Dr. Ana Suárez  
34 Area of Immunology, Department of Functional Biology,  
35 Faculty of Medicine, University of Oviedo  
36 Campus El Cristo  
37 C/ Julián Clavería s/n  
38 33006 – Oviedo  
39 Spain

40  
41 E-mail: anasua@uniovi.es

42 Phone number: +34 98510 2789

43  
44  
45  
46 **Short title:** high TG and low HDL-c lipid profile in RA

1 **ABSTRACT**

2

3 **Background:** the interactions between inflammation and lipid profile in rheumatoid arthritis  
4 (RA) are poorly understood. The lipid profile study in RA has been biased towards lipoprotein  
5 levels, whereas those of triglycerides (TG) and lipoprotein functionality have been  
6 underestimated.

7 **Objetives:** since recent findings suggest a role for TG and TG-rich lipoproteins (TRL) on  
8 inflammation, we aimed to evaluate a combined lipid profile characterized by high TG and low  
9 HDL-cholesterol levels (TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup>) in RA.

10 **Methods:** lipid profiles were analyzed in 113 RA patients, 113 healthy controls (HC) and 27  
11 dyslipemic (DL) subjects. Levels of inflammatory mediators, paraoxonase-1 (PON1) activity  
12 and Total Antioxidant Capacity (TAC) were quantified in serum. PON1-rs662 status was  
13 evaluated by RT-PCR.

14 **Results:** the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile was detected in 29/113 RA patients. Although no differences  
15 in prevalence compared to HC or DL subjects were observed, this profile was associated with  
16 increased TNF $\alpha$  (p=0.004), MCP-1 (p=0.004), IP-10 (p=0.018) and leptin (p<0.001) serum  
17 levels in RA, where decreased PON1 activity and TAC were found. TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> prevalence  
18 was lower among anti-TNF $\alpha$ -treated patients (p=0.004). When RA patients were stratified by  
19 PON1-rs662 status, these associations remained in the low-activity genotype (QQ). Finally, a  
20 poor clinical response upon TNF $\alpha$ -blockade was related to an increasing prevalence of the  
21 TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile over treatment (p=0.021) and higher TRL levels at baseline (p=0.042).

22 **Conclusions:** the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile is associated with systemic inflammation, decreased  
23 PON1 activity and poor clinical outcome upon TNF $\alpha$ -blockade in RA, suggesting a role of TRL  
24 and HDL dysfunction as the missing link between inflammation and lipid profile.

25

26

27 **Keywords:** high density lipoproteins, triglycerides, triglyceride-rich lipoproteins, paraoxonase,  
28 inflammation, oxidative status, rheumatoid arthritis.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

2 Blood lipid abnormalities are a frequent hallmark of active rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Many  
3 authors have reported an alteration of the lipid profile in RA patients, especially those of  
4 lipoproteins during high disease activity states<sup>1-3</sup>. Accordingly, disease activity control by  
5 different immunomodulatory treatment strategies is related to a restoration of the lipid profile to  
6 different degrees, although certain controversy exists<sup>1</sup>. Due to the fluctuation of some  
7 lipoproteins in the context of a chronic inflammation, and taking into account that a single lipid  
8 compound cannot provide enough information on its own, there is a need for more reliable  
9 biomarkers related to the lipid profile in RA.

10 The classical clinical management of blood lipids focused on lipoproteins is currently  
11 challenged by a compelling body of evidence highlighting an important contribution of other  
12 lipid compounds, such as triglycerides (TG), lipoprotein A or cholesterol remnants<sup>4-6</sup>. Different  
13 panels of experts have raised the point that individual lipoproteins alone may not be accurate for  
14 patient stratification and treatment recommendations in the clinical setting (reviewed in<sup>5</sup>).  
15 Actually, reducing LDL-cholesterol (LDL-c) levels as a therapeutic target is now put into  
16 question and there is a recommendation to consider other lipid fractions or surrogate markers as  
17 they can be more informative<sup>7</sup>. TG exhibit important differences compared to lipoproteins in  
18 their origin, metabolic pathways and downstream effects in different cell types. Therefore, it is  
19 tempting to speculate that their inclusion in the clinical management will bring valuable  
20 information which can complement that of provided by lipoproteins. In fact, several  
21 epidemiological studies have found an attenuation of the protective effect of HDL-cholesterol  
22 (HDL-c) levels on cardiovascular disease (CVD) outcomes when adjusted for TG<sup>8-10</sup>, thus  
23 suggesting the existence of interactive effects among lipid classes. Hence, there is a growing  
24 body of evidence supporting the study of combined indices, especially when TG are included<sup>11</sup>.  
25 Consequently, in recent years the relevance of a lipid profile characterized by decreased HDL-c  
26 levels and elevated TG has emerged<sup>5</sup>. This profile has been linked to inflammation and  
27 atherosclerosis development, although some knowledge gaps remain<sup>4</sup>. Recently, this profile has  
28 been shown to affect the leukocyte gene expression in dyslipidemia subjects<sup>12</sup>. However, the  
29 clinical and immunological relevance of this profile in RA is unknown.

30 On the other hand, current epidemiological and experimental studies have stated that the only  
31 analysis of lipid levels is a too simplistic approach that does not reflect lipoprotein functionality.  
32 Lipoproteins can develop a broad range of enzymatic activities, apart from cholesterol transport  
33<sup>13</sup>. Interestingly, lipoprotein functionality seems to have a better clinical relevance than levels  
34<sup>14,15</sup>. Among lipoprotein functions, anti-oxidant properties are emerging as a pivotal player to  
35 understand the interaction between lipid profiles and inflammation<sup>16</sup>, compromised anti-oxidant

1 activity being associated with a number of conditions. The most important determinant of the  
2 anti-oxidant function of lipoproteins is the enzyme paraoxonase 1 (PON1), whose activity is  
3 regulated at the genetic level by the PON1 rs662 polymorphism<sup>17,18</sup>. Again, although the role of  
4 genetic variants of different genes on lipid levels has been evaluated, their influence on  
5 lipoprotein functionality and its clinical relevance is merely started to be appreciated.

6 Taken together, these lines of evidence point to the fact that lipid profiles are much more  
7 complex than initially thought. Not only different blood lipid species should be considered  
8 together with lipoproteins, but also it may be important to consider the lipoprotein functionality  
9 in order to obtain a more realistic insight into the significance of the lipid profile. Therefore, in  
10 the present report, we aim to evaluate the relevance of the lipid profile characterized by a  
11 combination of deleterious levels of HDL-c and TG in RA patients, with a special focus on their  
12 interaction with the inflammatory burden and the role of oxidative status. Moreover, the role of  
13 PON1 rs662 as genetic determinant of the HDL functionality was also analyzed to provide a  
14 more in-depth insight into this complex scenario.

## 1 MATERIAL AND METHODS

### 2 Patients

3 This cross-sectional study involved 3 groups of individuals recruited (Table 1). RA patients,  
4 fulfilling 2010 ACR/EULAR classification criteria, were enrolled from the Department of  
5 Rheumatology at Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias. A complete clinical examination,  
6 including Disease Activity Score 28-joints (DAS28) calculation, was performed on all patients.  
7 Clinical records were revised so as to register traditional CV risk factors. An additional group of  
8 13 biological-naïve RA patients (12 women, median age 43 (range: 30 – 65), DAS28  
9 5.08(1.93), 38.5% RF+, 46.1% ACPA+), candidates for TNF $\alpha$ -blockers was prospectively  
10 followed for 3 months. RA patients must have experienced failure to methotrexate and/or  
11 conventional synthetic DMARDs and no previous exposure to any biological DMARD. A blood  
12 sample was obtained immediately before (baseline, pre-treatment) as well as 3-months after  
13 initiation of TNF $\alpha$ -blockade therapy (post-treatment). Clinical response was evaluated by  
14 EULAR criteria.

15 Simultaneously, 113 gender- and age-matched healthy volunteers (HC) were recruited from the  
16 same population and a group of 27 individuals with dyslipidemia (DL) was recruited from their  
17 primary healthcare center. Dyslipidemia diagnosis was performed according to national  
18 guidelines<sup>19</sup>. Exclusion criteria was the previous diagnosis of any immune-mediated condition.  
19 Exclusion criteria for all study groups were: recent (<3 months) infections or surgeries, cancer  
20 diagnosis or pregnancy.

21 Automated serum lipids analysis was performed on all the participants in fresh blood samples  
22 after an overnight fast. TRL levels were calculated according to the equation provided by  
23 Hermans *et al.*<sup>20</sup>. Serum samples were stored at -80°C until laboratory measurements were  
24 carried out. Approval for the study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (Comité  
25 de Ética Regional de Investigación Clínica), in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All  
26 the participants gave written informed consent prior to their inclusion in the study.

### 27 Quantification of inflammatory mediators' serum levels

28 TNF $\alpha$ , MCP-1, sICAM-1, EGF, IP-10, leptin and resistin serum levels were measured by means  
29 of a Mini ELISA Development Kits (PeproTech), following the instructions provided by the  
30 manufacturer (detection limits were: 3.9 pg/ml, 8 pg/ml, 23.4 pg/ml, 3.9 pg/ml, 3.8 pg/ml, 63  
31 pg/ml and 24 pg/ml, respectively). IFN $\gamma$  serum levels were quantified using an OptEIA kit (BD)  
32 following the manufacturer's protocol (detection limit: 0.58 pg/ml).

1 Levels of IL-8 and GM-CSF were quantified using a Cytometric Bead Array Flex Set (BD) in a  
2 FACS Canto II flow cytometer using FCAP Array v.1.0.1, following the manufacturer's  
3 instructions. The detection limits were 1.2 pg/ml and 0.2 pg/ml, respectively.

#### 4 **Assessment of PON1 activity**

5 PON1 activity was measured in serum samples using paraoxon (Sigma Aldrich, Germany) as  
6 substrate, as previously described <sup>21</sup>. PON1 activity was expressed as units (U), where one U  
7 represent the micromoles of p-nitrophenol formed per minute and per ml of serum.

#### 8 **Analysis of Total Antioxidant Capacity**

9 A spectrophotometric method based on the cupric reducing antioxidant capacity (CUPRAC  
10 method) using a commercial kit (TAC Assay Kit, Sciencell Research Laboratories) was used to  
11 quantify the Total Antioxidant Capacity of serum samples. Serum TAC was expressed as mM  
12 Trolox equivalent units (mM T-Eq).

#### 13 **Analysis of Free Fatty Acids**

14 The levels of total Free Fatty Acids (FFA) were quantified in serum samples using an  
15 enzymatic, colorimetric assay using a commercial kit (NEFA kit, Roche) according to the  
16 protocol provided by the manufacturer. The detection limit was 0.02 mM.

#### 17 **PON1 rs662 genotyping**

18 DNA was isolated from peripheral blood using conventional methods. The PON1 rs662  
19 polymorphism was genotyped with TaqMan predesigned single-nucleotide polymorphism  
20 (SNP) genotyping assays (C\_\_2548962\_20) in a 7900 HT Real-Time polymerase chain  
21 reaction (PCR) system, as previously described <sup>21</sup>.

#### 22 **Statistical analyses**

23 Continuous variables were expressed as median (interquartile range) or mean  $\pm$  standard  
24 deviation, whereas n(%) was used for categorical ones. Differences among groups were  
25 analyzed by Mann Withney U, Kruskal-Wallis (with Dunn-Bonferroni correction for multiple  
26 comparisons),  $\chi^2$  or Fisher exact tests, as appropriate. Wilcoxon test was used for paired  
27 samples. Correlations were assessed by Spearman ranks test. The association of categorical  
28 variables adjusted for confounders was analyzed by multivariate logistic regression models, and  
29 odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were computed. When required, variables  
30 were log-transformed to achieve a normal distribution. With an  $\alpha=0.05$ , and assuming a  
31 prevalence of the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile in HC of 0.20, our case-control study was able to detect  
32 an exposure in the case population up to 0.482. A p-value>0.050 was considered as statistically

- 1 significant. Hedges'  $g$  statistic was used to estimate size effect,  $g > 0.8$  being considered as a large
- 2 effect. Statistical analyses were performed in SPSS 22.0 and GraphPad Prism 5.0 for Windows.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT



## 1 RESULTS

### 2 1. High triglyceride-low HDL-c profile (TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup>) in RA

3 Given the controversy on the blood lipid levels and their functionality in RA, and taking into  
4 account the heterogeneity among lipid classes, we decided to evaluate the impact of a combined  
5 altered lipid profile in RA. To this aim, we focused on the simultaneous presence of decreased  
6 HDL-c levels and elevated triglycerides (TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup>) by evaluating this combined profile in  
7 113 RA patients, 27 non-autoimmune dyslipidemic patients and 113 age- and gender-matched  
8 HC. No differences in the lipid profile between HC and RA patients were observed. The cut-off  
9 points for the combined lipid profile were obtained from the HC group<sup>12</sup>. Thus, by splitting the  
10 HC group into tertiles, 102 mg/dl (upper tertile) and 52 mg/dl (lower tertile) were established as  
11 high triglycerides and low HDL-c cut-offs, respectively. Of note, when these cut-offs were  
12 applied to patients and controls, no significant differences in the prevalence of the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup>  
13 profile were observed among groups (Table 1).

14 Then, we evaluated whether the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile could be associated with clinical or  
15 immunological parameters in RA patients. Overall, no differences in clinical features, disease  
16 duration or severity were observed (Table 2). Similarly, no differences in RF or ACPA  
17 positivity were found. However, the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> group was associated with higher CRP  
18 levels, suggesting a link with the inflammatory burden. When traditional CV risk factors were  
19 analyzed, the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile was increased in, but not restricted to, RA patients with a  
20 previous diagnosis of dyslipidemia. Similarly, this profile was more frequent among males and  
21 obese patients. Surprisingly, those patients under TNF $\alpha$ -blockade were less likely to exhibit the  
22 TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile, whereas the opposite effect was observed for tocilizumab. No effect was  
23 observed for other treatments, including statins and glucocorticoids.

### 24 2. Association between the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile, inflammatory mediators and oxidative 25 status in RA

26 As expected, RA patients exhibited increased levels of a number of inflammatory mediators  
27 compared to the other groups analyzed, as well as a reduced serum PON1 activity and TAC  
28 (Supplementary Table 1).

29 Interestingly, TNF $\alpha$ , MCP-1, IP-10 and leptin serum levels were increased in RA patients with  
30 the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile, compared to their normal lipid profile-counterparts (Table 3). The  
31 differences in inflammatory mediators remained after excluding those patients with a previous  
32 CV event (CRP: p= 0.022, TNF $\alpha$ : p=0.041, MCP-1: p<0.001, IP-10: p=0.096 and leptin:  
33 p=0.004) or those under statin treatment (p=0.028, p=0.049, p=0.004, p=0.014 and p=0.022,

1 respectively). Equivalent findings were observed for glucocorticoid usage. Importantly, these  
2 differences were not observed in the DL nor in the HC group.

3 Interestingly, the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile was associated with increased TRL levels in RA patients  
4 (41.33(19.45) vs 17.09(15.64) mg/dl,  $p<0.001$ ;  $g=1.60$ ), as well as in HC (28.91(7.69) vs  
5 16.56(12.39) mg/dl,  $p<0.001$ ;  $g=1.94$ ) and DL (40.93(25.90) vs 24.55(11.88) mg/dl,  $p<0.001$   
6  $g=1.15$ ) subjects. Moreover, TRL were found to be positively correlated with the levels of CRP  
7 ( $r=0.204$ ,  $p=0.042$ ), TNF $\alpha$  ( $r=0.351$ ,  $p<0.0001$ ), MCP-1 ( $r=0.409$ ,  $p<0.0001$ ), IP-10 ( $r=0.239$ ,  
8  $p=0.018$ ) and leptin ( $r=0.257$ ,  $p=0.008$ ), and negatively with PON1 activity ( $r=-0.203$ ,  $p=0.036$ )  
9 in RA patients. However, TRL were not related to these mediators in the HC or DL groups.  
10 TRL levels were not influenced by treatments. Finally, the serum levels of FFA in RA patients  
11 were similar between lipid profiles (TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup>:  $0.56\pm 0.32$  vs normal:  $0.53\pm 0.30$  mM,  
12  $p=0.646$ ). No differences in FFA between RA and HC were found ( $0.55\pm 0.31$  vs  $0.48\pm 0.24$   
13 mM,  $p=0.418$ ).

14 All these results revealed that the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile and TLR levels were associated with an  
15 enhanced pro-inflammatory milieu in RA patients, whereas no effect was observed in healthy  
16 individuals or patients with dyslipidemia alone. Furthermore, TNF $\alpha$  seem to have a prominent  
17 role.

### 18 **3. Effects of TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile are dependent on the PON1 rs662 genotype**

19 In addition to their levels, a growing body of evidence highlights the relevance of HDL  
20 functionality. Due to the significance of the PON1 rs662 genetic variants on the HDL-PON1  
21 antioxidant activity, we further examined whether the presence of the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile in  
22 RA patients could have a different effect depending on the rs662 status.

23 As expected, a gene-dosage effect was observed on serum PON1 activity, patients harboring the  
24 QQ genotype exhibiting the lowest levels (Supplementary Figure 1A). However, the prevalence  
25 of the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile in RA was similar among rs662 variants ( $p=0.719$ ). No effect of this  
26 polymorphism on clinical parameters or serum levels of inflammatory mediators was registered.  
27 Frequency of the different treatments did not differ among genotypes (all  $p>0.050$ ). Similarly,  
28 FFA serum levels and TAC were not affected by the rs662 status in RA (Supplementary Figure  
29 1B-C). Interestingly, the association between the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile and the inflammatory  
30 mediators was restricted to patients harboring the QQ genotype, being absent in their QR- or  
31 RR-counterparts (Table 4). Moreover, TRL were correlated with TNF $\alpha$  ( $r=0.340$ ,  $p=0.071$ ) and  
32 MCP-1 ( $r=0.604$ ,  $p<0.001$ ) levels in QQ-patients but not in those QR or RR. The altered lipid  
33 profile did not influence the FFA levels in any of the rs662 variants (QQ:  $p=0.318$ , QR:  $p=0.538$   
34 and RR:  $p=0.864$ ). Equivalent results were obtained for PON1 activity and TAC (Table 4).

1 Overall, these findings revealed a link between the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> lipid profile and inflammation  
2 in RA, a rs662-driven effect regulating these associations. Moreover, a decreased antioxidant  
3 milieu as a consequence of the QQ rs662 status, but not an increased release of FFA, seem to  
4 underlie this effect.

#### 5 **4. TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile and inflammation upon TNF $\alpha$ -blockade**

6 TNF $\alpha$ -blockade has been reported to be able to down-regulate several inflammatory mediators  
7 as well as to impact the lipid profile in RA patients. The negative association observed between  
8 anti-TNF $\alpha$  treatment and the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile (Table 2) suggests that this lipid profile  
9 could be used as a feasible serum biomarker of clinical response. Further analyses allowed us to  
10 confirm that TNF $\alpha$ -blockers usage was related to a decreased prevalence of the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup>  
11 profile even after adjusting for age, gender, dyslipidemia, disease duration, disease activity and  
12 obesity (OR [95% CI], p: 0.164[0.037, 0.725], p=0.017). Thus, we decided to analyze the effect  
13 of the TNF $\alpha$ -blockade on inflammatory mediators, lipid profile and PON1 activity in a group of  
14 RA patients prospectively followed for three months.

15 None of the patients who achieved an EULAR good clinical response exhibited the  
16 TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile (Table 5), whereas it was present in 6 patients within the non-responder  
17 group (p=0.021). Similarly, TNF $\alpha$ -blockade was associated with decreasing serum levels of  
18 TNF $\alpha$  and MCP-1 in responders, but not in their non-responder counterparts. TNF $\alpha$ -blockade  
19 had no effect on serum FFA levels (p=0.221), whereas a slight increase in serum PON1 activity  
20 was detected in the whole group (333.49 $\pm$ 127.86 vs 269.46 $\pm$ 122.73, p=0.062), not depending on  
21 the clinical outcome. Finally, non-responders exhibited increased TRL levels at baseline  
22 (23.44 $\pm$ 12.34 mg/dl, p=0.042) and after treatment (25.44 $\pm$ 14.38 mg/dl, p=0.045) compared to  
23 responders (10.23 $\pm$ 3.72 and 11.48 $\pm$ 5.18 mg/dl, respectively).

24 Our findings showed increased TRL levels and an overrepresentation of the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup>  
25 profile in patients with a poor clinical response upon TNF $\alpha$ -blockade. These changes were  
26 paralleled to those of serum TNF $\alpha$ , hence suggesting a link between altered lipid profile and  
27 clinical outcome.

## 1 DISCUSSION

2 The links between the altered blood lipid profile and inflammation in RA are still poorly  
3 understood. Although several studies have focused on individual lipid classes, less attention has  
4 been paid to combined lipid approaches, lipoprotein functionality as well as their impact on  
5 surrounding mediators. In the present study, we show the presence of a combined lipid profile in  
6 RA, characterized by altered levels of TG and HDL-c and related to the TRL levels. Although  
7 no differences in prevalence compared to HC were detected, this profile was associated with  
8 systemic inflammation and a poor clinical response upon TNF $\alpha$ -blockade. A decreased  
9 antioxidant status seems to underlie these effects. Overall, these findings emphasize the  
10 relevance of the HDL dysfunction in RA.

11 Most of studies on lipid profiles in RA were focused on lipoprotein levels, whereas the role of  
12 TG, and its clinical relevance beyond lipid metabolism, in RA have been ill-defined. As in other  
13 conditions, the use of lipid ratios has started to become used in RA. The EULAR consensus for  
14 cardiovascular risk management in inflammatory arthritis encourages the use of the total- to  
15 HDL-c ratio<sup>22</sup>. However, several concerns need to be underlined. On the one hand, this lipid  
16 ratio still underestimates the use of TG levels, as they are not included. However, important  
17 divergences in the association between TG and inflammatory markers compared to lipoproteins  
18 or cholesterol composite indices arise in RA<sup>23</sup>. On the other hand, lipid ratios imply, at least in  
19 part, certain stoichiometry between the lipid classes considered. Although this may not be a  
20 problem when similar species (for instance, lipoproteins) are studied, this approach may yield  
21 inconclusive results when different compounds are analyzed. This may account for the lack of  
22 appropriate results when the TG/HDL ratio was studied in other conditions. Similarly, since  
23 non-linear associations between blood lipids and clinical outcomes have been reported in RA<sup>1</sup>,  
24 simple ratios could not be adequate. It is important to note that interesting findings arise even  
25 within normal ranges of individual lipid classes, thus strengthening the relevance of the study of  
26 the combined profile and the need for different cut-offs than those used for single lipid classes  
27 alone. Therefore, a different combined approach as the one herein reported may provide more  
28 reliable results.

29 Our findings revealed an association between the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile with elevated TRL, thus  
30 supporting the deleterious effect of this combined profile. High TG and TRL levels can impact  
31 the HDL-c levels, composition and thus, functional status. Elevated TG and TRL may lead to a  
32 greater cholesteryl ester exchange via Cholesteryl Ester Transfer Protein (CETP) between TRL  
33 and nascent HDL, resulting in TG-rich small, dense HDL particles with reduced anti-oxidant  
34 and anti-inflammatory activities and decreased cholesterol-accepting properties<sup>24,25</sup>.  
35 Importantly, increased CETP has been reported in RA<sup>26</sup>. Under these circumstances, LDL

1 hepatic metabolism turns these lipoproteins into smaller and denser particles, with reduced  
2 avidity for their liver receptors. Then, these particles exhibit a longer half-life and are more  
3 susceptible to oxidization and to subsequent monocyte/macrophages uptake <sup>4,27</sup>. Interestingly, it  
4 has been demonstrated that HDL particles from individuals with low HDL-c and high TG levels  
5 exhibit a reduced capacity to promote cholesterol efflux (CE) <sup>14</sup>. Decreased CE has been also  
6 found in RA patients linked to disease activity <sup>28</sup>, and being partially restored upon TNF $\alpha$ -  
7 blockade <sup>29-31</sup>, although certain controversy exists <sup>32</sup>. These lines of evidence align with the  
8 decreased prevalence of the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile in RA patients undergoing anti-TNF $\alpha$   
9 treatment found in our study. Moreover, these results may suggest certain degree of causality of  
10 the TNF $\alpha$  pathway in the altered lipid profile in RA. The fact that an effective TNF $\alpha$  blockade  
11 was associated with a normal lipid profile, whereas the lack of a beneficial effect related to the  
12 presence of this altered profile, is also in accordance with this hypothesis. Although our findings  
13 may suggest the use of the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile as a biomarker of therapy response, the low  
14 sample size of our study is an important limitation. Larger and long-term clinical studies are  
15 needed.

16 The altered CE may account, at least in part, for the associations between the altered lipid  
17 profile and the inflammatory burden. CE by HDL can reduce the raft-like regions in the  
18 membrane <sup>4</sup>. Higher levels of cholesterol in plasma membranes of leukocytes are linked to  
19 inflammatory responses <sup>33-35</sup>. Hence, decreased CE and reduced HDL-c levels cannot counteract  
20 the pro-inflammatory activities of TRL, including upregulation of adhesion molecules and the  
21 promotion of monocyte recruitment and activation <sup>36,37</sup>. Interestingly, we have found strong  
22 associations between TRL levels and those of inflammatory mediators, most of them related to  
23 monocyte activation. Therefore, our findings provide new insight into the lipid profile–  
24 monocytes–systemic inflammation axis in RA, which can be of outstanding relevance for the  
25 clinical outcome of this condition.

26 A key result from our study is the interaction between the lipid profile and the oxidative status.  
27 The clinical relevance of the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile was not uniform among individuals, but it  
28 seemed to be dependent on the oxidative status. Thus, a profound effect on the inflammatory  
29 burden was observed in RA, where PON1 activity and TAC were strongly diminished, but not  
30 in HC or DL groups. This notion is strengthened by the association with the PON1 rs662  
31 genetic variants. Among RA patients, only in those with the lowest PON1-mediated antioxidant  
32 activity (that is, those harboring the QQ status) showed that association. Therefore, these results  
33 disclose a link between the altered lipid profile and the oxidant status, which are reinforced by  
34 the negative association between TRL levels and PON1 activity. In this sense, it is important to  
35 note that the impaired CE in RA has been related to decreased PON1 functionality and  
36 increased MPO activity <sup>28</sup>. A causative role of MPO in the HDL dysfunction has been also

1 proposed in other scenarios<sup>38</sup>. Overall, these results expand the current knowledge on the  
2 clinical relevance of the PON1 rs662 polymorphism, strongly determined by gene-environment  
3 interactions. Environmental factors can critically impair the antioxidant activity of individuals  
4 the low activity genotype<sup>21,39,40</sup>, hence explaining their increased susceptibility to different  
5 clinical outcomes. Interestingly, loss of PON-1 activity in knockout mice models was associated  
6 with increased lipid oxidation and inflammation<sup>41</sup>, oxidized lipid species playing a crucial role.  
7 Surprisingly, Wang and colleagues have revealed that TRL can release oxidized lipid species  
8 which in turn can elicit pro-inflammatory responses, including TNF $\alpha$  secretion and upregulation  
9 of adhesion molecules, in a more potent fashion than native FFA<sup>42</sup>. These results may explain  
10 the strong association between TRL and inflammatory mediators, but not FFA, in RA patients  
11 harboring the QQ genotype. Moreover, TRL can also produce reactive oxidative species on their  
12 own<sup>43</sup>. Additionally, TRL have been also reported to promote NF $\kappa$ B expression<sup>44</sup>, which can  
13 control MCP-1 production.

14 Taken together, these lines of evidence may delineate a bidirectional interaction between lipid  
15 profile and inflammation. Classically, inflammation was known to influence the lipid profile.  
16 However, it has been recently reported that inflammation can marginally explain lipid  
17 disturbances in RA<sup>45</sup>, and the idea that lipids indeed influence inflammation is emerging.  
18 Actually, the altered lipid profile can appear in the preclinical stage of RA<sup>45,46</sup>. Furthermore,  
19 this double interaction aligns with the existence of a cross-talk between traditional and non-  
20 traditional risk factors in RA<sup>47,48</sup>. In fact, the anti-atherogenic functionality of HDL is known to  
21 be diminished in RA and other chronic inflammatory conditions<sup>32,49</sup>. Inflammation-driven  
22 protein composition shifts towards a decreased antioxidant and pro-inflammatory profile may  
23 cause these findings<sup>50</sup>.

24 It is tempting to speculate that the findings herein reported may unravel new perspectives for the  
25 CV risk in RA. However, this should be interpreted with caution. On the one hand, some  
26 controversy exists regarding the altered CE in RA<sup>32</sup>. On the other hand, whether impaired HDL  
27 function is associated with increased CV risk in RA has to be elucidated in prospective studies  
28<sup>32</sup>. However, our results may shed some light into these connections, as a role for specific  
29 mediators of inflammation (TNF $\alpha$ , MCP-1, EGF and leptin) and those of lipid metabolism  
30 (TRL) is reported. Additionally, inflammation and increased oxidative status are known to  
31 impact vascular repair by directly impairing circulating progenitors<sup>51</sup>, hence bridging abnormal  
32 lipid profile, vascular repair and CV risk. Taken together, these lines of evidence warrants  
33 further studies addressing the interaction among HDL dysfunction, endothelial homeostasis and  
34 CV risk in RA.



1 Our findings provide valuable insight for the clinical setting and personalized medicine.  
2 Although lipid ratios are recommended in the clinical management of RA, its current use may  
3 be revised and additional biomarkers are needed. Moreover, whether lipoprotein ratios can  
4 provide information on the functional status of HDL is unknown. Taking into account the  
5 relevance of a disturbed lipoprotein functionality<sup>4,14,15</sup>, it may be advisable to include this  
6 pathological finding in the clinical setting. However, routine analyses for HDL functional status  
7 are lacking, due to its time and methodological limitations. Taking into account our findings, the  
8 TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile can be considered as a surrogate biomarker of an altered HDL functional  
9 status. Thus, our results provide a new rationale for patient stratification and treatment decision  
10 in this sense, as the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile can be used to identify RA patients with an enhanced  
11 systemic response and increased pro-oxidative status, linked to HDL dysfunction. These  
12 patients may be considered for anti-TNF $\alpha$  treatment and/or some therapeutic drugs able to  
13 counteract oxidative stress linked to inflammation<sup>52</sup>.

14 In conclusion, our results revealed that the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> lipid profile in RA patients is  
15 associated with a number of inflammatory mediators and negatively related to anti-TNF $\alpha$   
16 therapy usage. More importantly, the effect of this profile seems to be related to a decreased  
17 antioxidant activity, a negative link between TRL and PON1 activity being observed. Finally, a  
18 good clinical outcome upon TNF $\alpha$ -blockade was associated with prevention of this altered  
19 profile. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study emphasizing the relevance of this  
20 lipid profile in RA patients and addressing a comprehensive analysis of the altered lipid profile,  
21 oxidative status, inflammation and genetic determinants of HDL-PON1 functionality.

22

**1 CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

2 The authors declared no conflicts of interest. Funders have no role in study conception and  
3 design, data analysis and interpretation or decision to publish.

4

**5 FUNDING**

6 This work was supported by European Union FEDER funds, “Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria  
7 (FIS, PI12/00523 and PI16/00113; ISCIII, Spain) and SER/FER funds (Sociedad Española de  
8 Reumatología, FER043/2016). J.R.-C. is supported by a postdoctoral contract from the “Juan de  
9 la Cierva” program (FJCI-2015-23849; MICINN, Spain).

10

**11 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

12 JR-C performed most of the experimental procedures, carried out the statistical analyses and  
13 drafted and edited the manuscript. PL and RL-M performed some experimental procedures.  
14 MA-L, SA-C, FJB-G and FA were in charge of patients’ recruitment and clinical data collection  
15 and management. MAG-G made important contributions to the interpretation and discussion of  
16 the results. AS conceived the study, designed the protocols and drafted and edited the  
17 manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.



## 1 REFERENCES

2

- 3 1. Robertson J, Peters MJ, McInnes IB, Sattar N. Changes in lipid levels with inflammation  
4 and therapy in RA: a maturing paradigm. *Nat Rev Rheumatol*. 2013;9(9):513-523.  
5 doi:10.1038/nrrheum.2013.91.
- 6 2. Choy E, Sattar N. Interpreting lipid levels in the context of high-grade inflammatory  
7 states with a focus on rheumatoid arthritis: a challenge to conventional cardiovascular  
8 risk actions. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2009;68(4):460-469. doi:10.1136/ard.2008.101964.
- 9 3. Steiner G, Urowitz MB. Lipid profiles in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: mechanisms  
10 and the impact of treatment. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. 2009;38(5):372-381.  
11 doi:10.1016/j.semarthrit.2008.01.015.
- 12 4. Welty FK. How do elevated triglycerides and low HDL-cholesterol affect inflammation  
13 and atherothrombosis? *Curr Cardiol Rep*. 2013;15(9):400. doi:10.1007/s11886-013-  
14 0400-4.
- 15 5. Chapman MJ, Ginsberg HN, Amarenco P, et al. Triglyceride-rich lipoproteins and high-  
16 density lipoprotein cholesterol in patients at high risk of cardiovascular disease: evidence  
17 and guidance for management. *Eur Heart J*. 2011;32(11):1345-1361.  
18 doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehr112.
- 19 6. Sharma RK, Singh VN, Reddy HK. Thinking beyond low-density lipoprotein  
20 cholesterol: strategies to further reduce cardiovascular risk. *Vasc Health Risk Manag*.  
21 2009;5:793-799. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19812691>.
- 22 7. Ray KK, Kastelein JJP, Boekholdt SM, et al. The ACC/AHA 2013 guideline on the  
23 treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk in  
24 adults: the good the bad and the uncertain: a comparison with ESC/EAS guidelines for  
25 the management of dyslipidaemias 2011. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35(15):960-968.  
26 doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehu107.
- 27 8. Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration, Di Angelantonio E, Sarwar N, et al. Major lipids,  
28 apolipoproteins, and risk of vascular disease. *JAMA*. 2009;302(18):1993-2000.  
29 doi:10.1001/jama.2009.1619.
- 30 9. Faergeman O, Holme I, Fayyad R, et al. Plasma triglycerides and cardiovascular events  
31 in the Treating to New Targets and Incremental Decrease in End-Points through  
32 Aggressive Lipid Lowering trials of statins in patients with coronary artery disease. *Am J*  
33 *Cardiol*. 2009;104(4):459-463. doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2009.04.008.
- 34 10. Kastelein JJP, van der Steeg WA, Holme I, et al. Lipids, apolipoproteins, and their ratios  
35 in relation to cardiovascular events with statin treatment. *Circulation*.  
36 2008;117(23):3002-3009. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.713438.
- 37 11. Miller M, Stone NJ, Ballantyne C, et al. Triglycerides and cardiovascular disease: a  
38 scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*.  
39 2011;123(20):2292-2333. doi:10.1161/CIR.0b013e3182160726.
- 40 12. de Gonzalo-Calvo D, Llorente-Cortés V, Orbe J, Páramo JA, Badimon L. High  
41 triglyceride-low HDL cholesterol lipid profile is associated with a dysregulated gene  
42 expression in mononuclear leukocyte from hypercholesterolemic patients. *Int J Cardiol*.  
43 2015;178:102-104. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2014.10.126.
- 44 13. Annema W, von Eckardstein A. Dysfunctional high-density lipoproteins in coronary  
45 heart disease: implications for diagnostics and therapy. *Transl Res*. 2016;173:30-57.  
46 doi:10.1016/j.trsl.2016.02.008.

- 1 14. Posadas-Sánchez R, Posadas-Romero C, Mendoza-Pérez E, et al. Cholesterol efflux and  
2 metabolic abnormalities associated with low high-density-lipoprotein-cholesterol and  
3 high triglycerides in statin-treated coronary men with low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol  
4 <70 mg/dl. *Am J Cardiol.* 2012;109(5):636-641. doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2011.10.017.
- 5 15. Khera A V, Cuchel M, de la Llera-Moya M, et al. Cholesterol efflux capacity, high-  
6 density lipoprotein function, and atherosclerosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;364(2):127-135.  
7 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1001689.
- 8 16. Connelly MA, Shalaurova I, Otvos JD. High-density lipoprotein and inflammation in  
9 cardiovascular disease. *Transl Res.* 2016;173:7-18. doi:10.1016/j.trsl.2016.01.006.
- 10 17. Costa LG, Vitalone A, Cole TB, Furlong CE. Modulation of paraoxonase (PON1)  
11 activity. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2005;69(4):541-550. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2004.08.027.
- 12 18. Costa LG, Cole TB, Jarvik GP, Furlong CE. Functional genomic of the paraoxonase  
13 (PON1) polymorphisms: effects on pesticide sensitivity, cardiovascular disease, and drug  
14 metabolism. *Annu Rev Med.* 2003;54:371-392.  
15 doi:10.1146/annurev.med.54.101601.152421.
- 16 19. Baena Díez JM, del Val García JL, Tomàs Pelegrina J, et al. [Cardiovascular disease  
17 epidemiology and risk factors in primary care]. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2005;58(4):367-373.  
18 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15847733>.
- 19 20. Hermans MP, Ahn SA, Rousseau MF. Novel unbiased equations to calculate  
20 triglyceride-rich lipoprotein cholesterol from routine non-fasting lipids. *Cardiovasc*  
21 *Diabetol.* 2014;13:56. doi:10.1186/1475-2840-13-56.
- 22 21. Rodríguez-Carrio J, López-Mejías R, Alperi-López M, et al. Paraoxonase 1 Activity Is  
23 Modulated by the rs662 Polymorphism and IgG Anti-High-Density Lipoprotein  
24 Antibodies in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis: Potential Implications for  
25 Cardiovascular Disease. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2016;68(6). doi:10.1002/art.39609.
- 26 22. Agca R, Heslinga SC, Rollefstad S, et al. EULAR recommendations for cardiovascular  
27 disease risk management in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and other forms of  
28 inflammatory joint disorders: 2015/2016 update. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2017;76(1):17-28.  
29 doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2016-209775.
- 30 23. Toms TE, Panoulas VF, Douglas KMJ, et al. Are lipid ratios less susceptible to change  
31 with systemic inflammation than individual lipid components in patients with  
32 rheumatoid arthritis? *Angiology.* 2011;62(2):167-175. doi:10.1177/0003319710373749.
- 33 24. Brinton EA, Eisenberg S, Breslow JL. Human HDL cholesterol levels are determined by  
34 apoA-I fractional catabolic rate, which correlates inversely with estimates of HDL  
35 particle size. Effects of gender, hepatic and lipoprotein lipases, triglyceride and insulin  
36 levels, and body fat distribution. *Arterioscler Thromb a J Vasc Biol.* 1994;14(5):707-  
37 720. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8172849>.
- 38 25. Swenson TL. The role of the cholesteryl ester transfer protein in lipoprotein metabolism.  
39 *Diabetes Metab Rev.* 1991;7(3):139-153.  
40 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1816999>.
- 41 26. Kim J-Y, Lee E-Y, Park JK, Song YW, Kim J-R, Cho K-H. Patients with Rheumatoid  
42 Arthritis Show Altered Lipoprotein Profiles with Dysfunctional High-Density  
43 Lipoproteins that Can Exacerbate Inflammatory and Atherogenic Process. *PLoS One.*  
44 2016;11(10):e0164564. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164564.
- 45 27. Nigon F, Lesnik P, Rouis M, Chapman MJ. Discrete subspecies of human low density  
46 lipoproteins are heterogeneous in their interaction with the cellular LDL receptor. *J Lipid*  
47 *Res.* 1991;32(11):1741-1753. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1770294>.

- 1 28. Charles-Schoeman C, Lee YY, Grijalva V, et al. Cholesterol efflux by high density  
2 lipoproteins is impaired in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*.  
3 2012;71(7):1157-1162. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2011-200493.
- 4 29. O'Neill F, Charakida M, Topham E, et al. Anti-inflammatory treatment improves high-  
5 density lipoprotein function in rheumatoid arthritis. *Heart*. November 2016.  
6 doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2015-308953.
- 7 30. Voloshyna I, Seshadri S, Anwar K, et al. Infliximab reverses suppression of cholesterol  
8 efflux proteins by TNF- $\alpha$ : a possible mechanism for modulation of atherogenesis.  
9 *Biomed Res Int*. 2014;2014:312647. doi:10.1155/2014/312647.
- 10 31. Ormseth MJ, Yancey PG, Solus JF, et al. Effect of Drug Therapy on Net Cholesterol  
11 Efflux Capacity of High-Density Lipoprotein-Enriched Serum in Rheumatoid Arthritis.  
12 *Arthritis Rheumatol (Hoboken, NJ)*. 2016;68(9):2099-2105. doi:10.1002/art.39675.
- 13 32. Ormseth MJ, Stein CM. High-density lipoprotein function in rheumatoid arthritis. *Curr*  
14 *Opin Lipidol*. 2016;27(1):67-75. doi:10.1097/MOL.0000000000000256.
- 15 33. Sorci-Thomas MG, Thomas MJ. High density lipoprotein biogenesis, cholesterol efflux,  
16 and immune cell function. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2012;32(11):2561-2565.  
17 doi:10.1161/ATVBAHA.112.300135.
- 18 34. Cheng AM, Handa P, Tateya S, et al. Apolipoprotein A-I attenuates palmitate-mediated  
19 NF- $\kappa$ B activation by reducing Toll-like receptor-4 recruitment into lipid rafts. *PLoS One*.  
20 2012;7(3):e33917. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033917.
- 21 35. Dong L, Watanabe K, Itoh M, et al. CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell dysfunctions through the impaired lipid  
22 rafts ameliorate concanavalin A-induced hepatitis in sphingomyelin synthase 1-knockout  
23 mice. *Int Immunol*. 2012;24(5):327-337. doi:10.1093/intimm/dxs008.
- 24 36. Alipour A, van Oostrom AJHHM, Izraeljan A, et al. Leukocyte activation by  
25 triglyceride-rich lipoproteins. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2008;28(4):792-797.  
26 doi:10.1161/ATVBAHA.107.159749.
- 27 37. Rosenson RS, Davidson MH, Hirsh BJ, Kathiresan S, Gaudet D. Genetics and causality  
28 of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins in atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. *J Am Coll*  
29 *Cardiol*. 2014;64(23):2525-2540. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2014.09.042.
- 30 38. Smith JD. Myeloperoxidase, inflammation, and dysfunctional high-density lipoprotein. *J*  
31 *Clin Lipidol*. 4(5):382-388. doi:10.1016/j.jacl.2010.08.007.
- 32 39. Senti M, Aubo C, Tomas M, Sentí M, Aubó C, Tomás M. Differential effects of  
33 smoking on myocardial infarction risk according to the Gln/Arg 192 variants of the  
34 human paraoxonase gene. *Metabolism*. 2000;49(5):557-559. doi:10.1016/S0026-  
35 0495(00)80026-8.
- 36 40. Sentí M, Tomás M, Marrugat J, Elosua R. Paraoxonase1-192 polymorphism modulates  
37 the nonfatal myocardial infarction risk associated with decreased HDLs. *Arterioscler*  
38 *Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2001;21(3):415-420. doi:10.1161/01.ATV.21.3.415.
- 39 41. Nofer J, Kehrel B, Fobker M, Levkau B, Assmann G, von Eckardstein A. Review article  
40 HDL and arteriosclerosis : beyond reverse cholesterol transport. *Atherosclerosis*.  
41 2002;161:1-16. doi:10.1016/S0021-9150(01)00651-7.
- 42 42. Wang L, Gill R, Pedersen TL, Higgins LJ, Newman JW, Rutledge JC. Triglyceride-rich  
43 lipoprotein lipolysis releases neutral and oxidized FFAs that induce endothelial cell  
44 inflammation. *J Lipid Res*. 2009;50(2):204-213. doi:10.1194/jlr.M700505-JLR200.
- 45 43. Wang L, Sapuri-Butti AR, Aung HH, Parikh AN, Rutledge JC. Triglyceride-rich

- 1 lipoprotein lipolysis increases aggregation of endothelial cell membrane microdomains  
2 and produces reactive oxygen species. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*.  
3 2008;295(1):H237-44. doi:10.1152/ajpheart.01366.2007.
- 4 44. Ting HJ, Stice JP, Schaff UY, et al. Triglyceride-rich lipoproteins prime aortic  
5 endothelium for an enhanced inflammatory response to tumor necrosis factor-alpha. *Circ*  
6 *Res*. 2007;100(3):381-390. doi:10.1161/01.RES.0000258023.76515.a3.
- 7 45. van Halm VP, Nielen MMJ, Nurmohamed MT, et al. Lipids and inflammation: serial  
8 measurements of the lipid profile of blood donors who later developed rheumatoid  
9 arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2007;66(2):184-188. doi:10.1136/ard.2006.051672.
- 10 46. Myasoedova E, Crowson CS, Kremers HM, Fitz-Gibbon PD, Therneau TM, Gabriel SE.  
11 Total cholesterol and LDL levels decrease before rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*.  
12 2010;69(7):1310-1314. doi:10.1136/ard.2009.122374.
- 13 47. Dessein PH, Joffe BI, Veller MG, et al. Traditional and nontraditional cardiovascular  
14 risk factors are associated with atherosclerosis in rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol*.  
15 2005;32(3):435-442. doi:0315162X-32-435 [pii].
- 16 48. Symmons DPM, Gabriel SE. Epidemiology of CVD in rheumatic disease, with a focus  
17 on RA and SLE. *Nat Rev Rheumatol*. 2011;7(7):399-408. doi:10.1038/nrrheum.2011.75.
- 18 49. Dessein PH, Solomon A, Hollan I. Metabolic abnormalities in patients with  
19 inflammatory rheumatic diseases. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*. 2016;30(5):901-915.  
20 doi:10.1016/j.berh.2016.10.001.
- 21 50. Rohrer L, Hersberger M, von Eckardstein A. High density lipoproteins in the intersection  
22 of diabetes mellitus, inflammation and cardiovascular disease. *Curr Opin Lipidol*.  
23 2004;15(3):269-278. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15166782>.
- 24 51. Tousoulis D, Andreou I, Antoniadis C, Tentolouris C, Stefanadis C. Role of  
25 inflammation and oxidative stress in endothelial progenitor cell function and  
26 mobilization: therapeutic implications for cardiovascular diseases. *Atherosclerosis*.  
27 2008;201(2):236-247. doi:10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2008.05.034.
- 28 52. López-Pedreira C, Barbarroja N, Jimenez-Gomez Y, Collantes-Estevez E, Aguirre MA,  
29 Cuadrado MJ. Oxidative stress in the pathogenesis of atherothrombosis associated with  
30 anti-phospholipid syndrome and systemic lupus erythematosus: new therapeutic  
31 approaches. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. March 2016. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/kew054.
- 32

## 1 TABLES

2

3 **Table 1: Demographic features and lipid blood measurements in the individuals recruited**  
 4 **for this study.** Continuous variables are summarized as median (interquartile range), whereas  
 5 categorical variables are expressed as n, unless otherwise specified. Differences between groups  
 6 were assessed by Kruskal-Wallis tests (p-values from Dunn-Bonferroni correction for multiple  
 7 comparisons tests are indicated in superscripts) or  $\chi^2$  tests, as appropriated. DL (dyslipidemia),  
 8 HC (healthy controls), TRL (triglyceride-rich lipoproteins), RA (rheumatoid arthritis).

9

	HC (n= 113)	RA (n= 113)	DL (n=27)	p-value
Age, years; median (range)	53.83 (23.17 – 80.00)	53.43 (22.00 – 87.00)	57.50 (44.00 – 68.67)	0.331
Gender, f/m	82/31	92/21	17/10	0.131
<b>Lipid profile</b>				
Total-cholesterol, mg/dl	199.00 (46.50)	209.00 (52.50)	211.00 (95.50)	0.389
HDL-cholesterol, mg/dl	61.00 (21.50)	62.00 (19.00)	52.00 (19.00) <sup>a</sup>	<b>0.014<sup>b</sup></b>
LDL-cholesterol, mg/dl	122.00 (44.00)	118.50 (45.75)	133.5 (65.00)	0.260
Triglycerides (TG), mg/dl	98.00 (17.50)	106.00 (74.00)	145.50 (102.75) <sup>c</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001<sup>d</sup></b>
Total/HDL-cholesterol ratio	3.56 (1.16)	3.36 (1.40)	3.97 (1.54) <sup>e</sup>	<b>0.032</b>
TRL, mg/dl	20.91 (13.53)	22.00 (23.44)	30.50 (20.22) <sup>f</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001<sup>f</sup></b>
TG <sup>high</sup> , n(%)	45 (37.1)	59 (52.2)	20 (74.0)	<b>0.002<sup>g</sup></b>
HDL <sup>low</sup> , n(%)	39 (34.5)	35 (30.9)	11 (40.7)	0.438
TG <sup>high</sup> HDL <sup>low</sup> , n(%)	30 (26.5)	29 (25.6)	11 (40.7)	0.215

10

11 <sup>a</sup> DL vs HC: p=0.035, DL vs RA: p=0.01112 <sup>b</sup> Hedges' g statistic (DL vs HC): g=0.5013 <sup>c</sup> DL vs HC: p<0.001, DL vs RA: p<0.00614 <sup>d</sup> Hedges' g statistic (DL vs HC): g=1.3115 <sup>e</sup> DL vs RA: p=0.02916 <sup>d</sup> DL vs HC: p<0.0001, DL vs RA: p<0.00717 <sup>f</sup> Hedges' g statistic (DL vs HC): g=1.2218 <sup>g</sup> power=0.952 (a=0.05)

19

1 **Table 2: Clinical and immunological features of RA patients according to the lipid profiles**  
 2 **studied in RA patients.** Continuous variables are expressed as median (interquartile range),  
 3 whereas categorical ones are summarized as n(%), unless otherwise specified. Differences  
 4 between groups were assessed by Mann-Whitney U,  $\chi^2$  or Fisher exact tests, as appropriated.  
 5 ACPA (anti-citrullinated protein antibodies), CRP (C-reactive protein), DAS28 (disease  
 6 activity score 28-joints), ESR (erythrocyte sedimentation rate), HAQ (health assessment  
 7 questionnaire), RF (rheumatoid factor)  
 8

	Normal lipid profile (n=84)	TG <sup>high</sup> HDL <sup>low</sup> (n=29)	p-value
<b><i>Demographical features</i></b>			
Age, years; median (range)	53.29 (22.00 – 82.50)	54.91 (31.50 – 76.17)	0.765
Gender, f/m	74/10	18/11	<b>0.002</b>
<b><i>Disease features</i></b>			
Disease duration, years	5.00 (9.08)	3.87 (6.25)	0.183
Age at diagnosis, years; median (range)	46.00 (18.00 – 78.50)	50.12 (21.25 – 70.33)	0.206
Recruited at onset, n(%)	9 (10.7)	6 (20.7)	0.207
Disease activity (DAS28)	3.66 (1.99)	3.63 (1.53)	0.479
Tender Joint Count	3.00 (6.00)	2.00 (6.50)	0.470
Swollen Joint Count	2.00 (5.00)	2.00 (4.50)	0.685
Patient Global Assessment (0-100)	46.00 (40.75)	35.00 (40.00)	0.138
ESR, mm/h	16.50 (23.25)	12.00 (30.50)	0.918
CRP, mg/l	1.70 (3.50)	3.00 (6.53)	<b>0.012</b>
HAQ (0-3)	1.00 (1.13)	0.75 (1.25)	0.495
RF (+), n(%)	48 (60.0)	20 (71.4)	0.281
ACPA (+), n(%)	53 (66.3)	16 (57.1)	0.388
Erosive disease, n(%)	32 (39.0)	8 (29.6)	0.380
<b><i>Traditional CV risk factors, n(%)</i></b>			
Dyslipidemia	21 (25.3)	19 (65.5)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Hypertension	26 (31.3)	12 (41.4)	0.325
Diabetes	4 (4.8)	4 (13.8)	0.106
Obesity (BMI>30)	13 (16.0)	10 (34.5)	0.036
Smoking habit	24 (28.6)	13 (44.8)	0.108



<i>Treatments, n(%)</i>			
Glucocorticoids	50 (60.2)	13 (44.8)	0.150
Methotrexate	61 (73.5)	20 (60.0)	0.639
TNF $\alpha$ blockers	35 (43.2)	4 (13.8)	<b>0.004</b>
Tocilizumab	4 (4.8)	7 (24.1)	<b>0.006</b>
Statins	13 (15.9)	8 (27.5)	0.104

1

2

1 **Table 3: Serum levels of inflammatory mediators and oxidative status parameters according to the lipid profiles studied in HC, RA and DL patients.** Continuous  
 2 variables are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, or median (interquartile range). Differences between groups were assessed by Mann-Whitney U tests. DL  
 3 (dyslipidemia), HC (healthy controls), TRL (triglyceride-rich lipoproteins), PON1 (paraoxonase 1), RA (rheumatoid arthritis), TAC (total antioxidant capacity).

	HC			RA			DL		
	Normal lipid profile (n=83)	TG <sup>high</sup> HDL <sup>low</sup> (n=30)	p-value	Normal lipid profile (n=84)	TG <sup>high</sup> HDL <sup>low</sup> (n=29)	p-value	Normal lipid profile (n=16)	TG <sup>high</sup> HDL <sup>low</sup> (n=11)	p-value
<i>Cytokines and inflammatory mediators</i>									
TNF $\alpha$ (pg/ml)	158.14 $\pm$ 193.97	136.89 $\pm$ 173.87	0.916	282.92 $\pm$ 243.78	582.64 $\pm$ 753.27	<b>0.004</b>	141.19 $\pm$ 187.76	191.49 $\pm$ 193.81	0.397
IFN $\gamma$ (pg/ml)	3.00 $\pm$ 9.68	5.89 $\pm$ 27.27	0.195	8.23 $\pm$ 14.22	7.93 $\pm$ 12.95	0.884	3.40 $\pm$ 61.07	6.20 $\pm$ 52.01	0.148
IL-8 (pg/ml)	25.74 $\pm$ 37.54	18.46 $\pm$ 6.72	0.930	50.69 $\pm$ 31.40	58.40 $\pm$ 40.64	0.156	14.96 $\pm$ 8.26	13.59 $\pm$ 6.04	1.000
GM-CSF (pg/ml)	1.34 $\pm$ 1.68	0.99 $\pm$ 1.09	0.659	32.61 $\pm$ 19.18	49.00 $\pm$ 58.14	0.655	4.20 $\pm$ 3.21	4.10 $\pm$ 25.07	0.343
MCP-1 (pg/ml)	289.74 $\pm$ 220.18	242.74 $\pm$ 159.43	0.765	419.18 $\pm$ 418.34	639.83 $\pm$ 538.32	<b>0.004</b>	336.64 $\pm$ 208.26	500.45 $\pm$ 327.24	0.148
sICAM-1 (pg/ml)	219.31 $\pm$ 147.80	368.24 $\pm$ 240.53	0.146	256.01 $\pm$ 144.26	302.36 $\pm$ 171.94	0.154	213.77 $\pm$ 100.47	326.61 $\pm$ 90.78	<b>0.008</b>
EGF (pg/ml)	119.95 $\pm$ 87.57	111.21 $\pm$ 65.85	0.948	133.35 $\pm$ 79.92	225.80 $\pm$ 255.86	0.137	156.75 $\pm$ 169.89	138.81 $\pm$ 119.28	0.959
IP-10 (pg/ml)	87.27 $\pm$ 103.37	89.70 $\pm$ 121.67	0.866	106.10 $\pm$ 89.25	165.19 $\pm$ 124.00	<b>0.018</b>	74.79 $\pm$ 55.01	100.97 $\pm$ 51.25	0.087
Leptin (ng/ml)	9.21 $\pm$ 7.80	11.70 $\pm$ 11.10	0.664	12.31 $\pm$ 10.53	27.27 $\pm$ 37.97	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	12.98 $\pm$ 11.92	12.01 $\pm$ 10.99	0.878
Resistin (pg/ml)	7.32 $\pm$ 3.32	8.54 $\pm$ 2.51	0.120	9.32 $\pm$ 3.55	11.40 $\pm$ 4.90	0.084	8.19 $\pm$ 2.44	8.36 $\pm$ 2.58	0.799
<i>Oxidative status parameters</i>									
PON1 (U)	336.36 $\pm$ 134.19	392.53 $\pm$ 135.80	0.097	251.43 $\pm$ 112.45	220.50 $\pm$ 97.50	0.223	329.47 $\pm$ 145.16	378.63 $\pm$ 171.13	0.507
TAC (mM T-Eq)	4.43 $\pm$ 0.86	5.01 $\pm$ 1.21	0.060	3.82 $\pm$ 0.81	4.00 $\pm$ 0.86	0.236	4.57 $\pm$ 0.91	5.08 $\pm$ 1.46	0.697

4

5



1 **Table 4: Differential effect of the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile on the inflammatory milieu and oxidative status parameters depending on the PON1 rs662 genetic variants**  
 2 **in RA patients.** DNA was available from 103/113 (91.1%) RA patients. Variables are summarized as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Differences between lipid profiles were  
 3 assessed by Mann-Whitney U tests. PON1 (paraoxonase 1), TAC (total antioxidant capacity).

PON1 rs662 genotype	QQ			QR			RR		
	Normal lipid profile (n=36)	TG <sup>high</sup> HDL <sup>low</sup> (n=14)	p-value	Normal lipid profile (n=31)	TG <sup>high</sup> HDL <sup>low</sup> (n=10)	p-value	Normal lipid profile (n=9)	TG <sup>high</sup> HDL <sup>low</sup> (n=3)	p-value
<i>Cytokines and inflammatory mediators</i>									
TNF $\alpha$ (pg/ml)	235.15 $\pm$ 161.49	783.23 $\pm$ 804.37	<b>0.002</b>	329.91 $\pm$ 323.54	260.61 $\pm$ 136.31	1.000	337.23 $\pm$ 227.96	368.88 $\pm$ 193.13	0.864
IFN $\gamma$ (pg/ml)	5.93 $\pm$ 6.22	5.36 $\pm$ 3.77	1.000	11.58 $\pm$ 22.08	6.14 $\pm$ 2.69	0.782	4.95 $\pm$ 2.81	2.98 $\pm$ 0.45	0.133
IL-8 (pg/ml)	48.83 $\pm$ 30.58	60.03 $\pm$ 50.73	0.253	54.55 $\pm$ 33.70	52.95 $\pm$ 19.70	0.932	43.45 $\pm$ 15.76	42.10 $\pm$ 4.61	0.600
GM-CSF (pg/ml)	30.82 $\pm$ 19.28	43.75 $\pm$ 52.70	0.905	34.42 $\pm$ 22.59	27.75 $\pm$ 2.29	0.483	32.98 $\pm$ 13.68	23.25 $\pm$ 2.88	0.100
MCP-1 (pg/ml)	344.65 $\pm$ 330.65	685.71 $\pm$ 622.92	<b>0.013</b>	479.01 $\pm$ 515.64	593.41 $\pm$ 319.59	0.073	387.53 $\pm$ 256.55	240.93 $\pm$ 108.99	0.482
sICAM-1 (pg/ml)	247.20 $\pm$ 142.73	292.37 $\pm$ 170.25	0.310	294.31 $\pm$ 152.05	293.99 $\pm$ 133.02	0.905	239.06 $\pm$ 149.74	472.26 $\pm$ 285.06	0.209
EGF (pg/ml)	113.69 $\pm$ 63.91	286.36 $\pm$ 336.35	<b>0.047</b>	159.80 $\pm$ 83.24	223.33 $\pm$ 162.52	0.449	159.08 $\pm$ 122.14	102.91 $\pm$ 93.45	0.482
IP-10 (pg/ml)	94.11 $\pm$ 96.81	194.77 $\pm$ 142.96	<b>0.018</b>	121.57 $\pm$ 88.88	142.35 $\pm$ 111.57	0.621	116.20 $\pm$ 60.33	135.33 $\pm$ 141.87	0.727
Leptin (ng/ml)	9.32 $\pm$ 7.00	29.71 $\pm$ 43.44	<b>0.002</b>	15.27 $\pm$ 12.63	18.84 $\pm$ 8.27	0.195	14.65 $\pm$ 9.87	14.29 $\pm$ 8.87	1.000
Resistin (pg/ml)	9.37 $\pm$ 3.74	10.51 $\pm$ 3.71	0.389	9.83 $\pm$ 3.44	9.11 $\pm$ 2.87	0.591	8.91 $\pm$ 2.77	15.07 $\pm$ 3.55	0.058
<i>Oxidative status parameters</i>									
PON1 (U)	175.42 $\pm$ 63.49	177.09 $\pm$ 58.18	0.947	316.68 $\pm$ 104.29	258.35 $\pm$ 112.88	0.183	363.30 $\pm$ 91.85	374.97 $\pm$ 86.37	1.000
TAC (mM T-Eq)	3.86 $\pm$ 4.11	10.51 $\pm$ 3.71	0.181	3.52 $\pm$ 0.79	3.83 $\pm$ 0.52	0.171	4.20 $\pm$ 1.00	3.49 $\pm$ 0.84	0.282

4

5

**Table 5: Changes in lipid profiles, inflammatory mediators, oxidative status parameters and blood lipid classes in RA patients upon TNF $\alpha$ -blockade.** RA patients are stratified according to the clinical response achieved based on EULAR criteria after three months of anti-TNF $\alpha$  treatment. Variables are summarized as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Categorical data are expressed as n. Differences were analyzed by Wilcoxon paired tests. BL (baseline), FFA (free fatty acids), PON1 (paraoxonase 1), PT (post-treatment), TAC (total antioxidant capacity).

	Responders (n=5)			Non-responders (n=8)		
	BL	PT	p-value	BL	PT	p-value
<i>Lipid profile</i>						
TG <sup>high</sup> HDL <sup>low</sup> , n	0	0		4	6	
HDL-c, mg/dl	69.40 $\pm$ 9.31	71.80 $\pm$ 1.92	0.465	49.85 $\pm$ 33.00	55.42 $\pm$ 15.79	0.344
TG, mg/dl	74.80 $\pm$ 23.22	83.00 $\pm$ 22.56	0.892	109.14 $\pm$ 40.60	137.33 $\pm$ 59.13	0.116
TRL, mg/dl	10.23 $\pm$ 3.72	11.48 $\pm$ 5.18	0.699	23.44 $\pm$ 12.34	25.44 $\pm$ 14.38	0.389
<i>Inflammatory mediators and oxidative status parameters</i>						
TNF $\alpha$ (pg/ml)	451.05 $\pm$ 265.98	150.14 $\pm$ 153.47	<b>0.045</b>	352.02 $\pm$ 197.97	394.95 $\pm$ 179.31	0.484
MCP-1 (pg/ml)	225.38 $\pm$ 72.72	145.11 $\pm$ 63.31	<b>0.043</b>	250.37 $\pm$ 60.01	213.02 $\pm$ 72.14	0.161
PON1 (U)	296.62 $\pm$ 141.02	377.49 $\pm$ 142.30	0.225	252.51 $\pm$ 116.62	305.99 $\pm$ 119.20	0.161
TAC (mM T-Eq)	3.64 $\pm$ 0.78	2.95 $\pm$ 0.68	0.138	4.07 $\pm$ 0.99	3.69 $\pm$ 0.35	0.327
FFA (mM)	0.56 $\pm$ 0.28	0.54 $\pm$ 0.37	0.893	0.54 $\pm$ 0.30	0.31 $\pm$ 0.20	0.161

**HIGHLIGHTS**

- TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> lipid profile is associated with inflammatory mediators in RA
- High TRL levels may underlie the effect of the TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile
- The association between TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> and inflammation depends on oxidative status
- TG<sup>high</sup>HDL<sup>low</sup> profile is related to a poor clinical outcome upon TNF $\alpha$ -blockade in RA