RTICLE IN P



Journal of Hazardous Materials xxx (2006) xxx-xxx

www.elsevier.com/locate/jhazmat

Journal of Hazardous Materials

Study of the aerobic biodegradation of coke wastewater in a two and three-step activated sludge process

I. Vázquez, J. Rodríguez, E. Marañón*, L. Castrillón, Y. Fernández

Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Higher Polytechnic School of Engineering, University of Oviedo, 33204 Gijón, Spain Received 14 September 2005; received in revised form 1 May 2006; accepted 2 May 2006

Abstract

A laboratory-scale biological plant composed of two aerobic reactors operating at 35 °C was used to study the biodegradation of coke wastewater. 9 The main pollutants to be removed are organic matter, especially phenols, thiocyanate and ammonium nitrogen. The concentrations of the main 10 pollutants in the wastewater during the study ranged between 922 and 1980 mg COD/L, 133 and 293 mg phenol/L, 176 and 362 mg SCN/L and 11 123 and 296 mg NH₄⁺–N/L. The biodegradation of these pollutants was studied employing different hydraulic residence times (HRT) and final 12 effluent recycling ratios in order to minimize inhibition phenomena attributable to the high concentrations of pollutants. During the optimisation of 13 the operating conditions, the removal of COD, phenols and thiocyanate was carried out in the first reactor and the nitrification of ammonium took 14 place in the second. The best results were obtained when operating at an HRT of 98 h in the first reactor and 86 h in the second reactor, employing 15 a recycling ratio of 2. The maximum removal efficiencies obtained were 90.7, 98.9, 98.6 and 99.9% for COD, phenols, thiocyanate and NH₄⁺-N, 16 respectively. In order to remove nitrate, an additional reactor was also implemented to carry out the denitrification process, adding methanol as an 17 external carbon source. Very high removal efficiencies (up to 99.2%) were achieved. 18

© 2006 Published by Elsevier B.V. 19

Keywords: Coke wastewater; Phenol; Ammonium; Thiocyanate; Activated sludge; Nitrification; Denitrification 20

21

1. Introduction

Coke wastewater is a complex industrial wastewater present 23 in many steel production facilities that originates from the pro-24 25 cess of destructive distillation of coal at high temperatures (900–100 °C) in the absence of air. The coal breaks down, giving 26 rise to gases, liquid and solid organic compounds of low molec-27 ular weight and a non-volatile carbonaceous residue known as 28 coke. 29

The substances exiting the coke ovens as liquids under ambi-30 ent conditions undergo further processing so as to obtain by-31 products such as ammonium sulphate, the resulting wastewater 32 from these processes constituting coke wastewater, which con-33 tains considerable amounts of toxic compounds such as CN⁻, 34 SCN^{-} and phenols [1–3]. 35

Phenol is a highly toxic organic compound even at low 36 concentrations and its presence in aqueous media is severely 37

restricted by current legislation. Coke wastewater also contains high concentrations of ammonium salts, thiocyanates and chlorides, though low concentrations of heavy metals, and very low levels of phosphorus [4,5]. The individual concentrations of each pollutant vary due to the different types of coal and conditions used in the coking process.

In a biological nitrification process, the autotrophic microor-44 ganisms that oxidize the NH4⁺-N are normally sensitive to the 45 presence of certain chemical substances such as phenols [6]. 46 However, this sensitivity can be overcome by decreasing the 47 effective concentration of the inhibiting compounds by means 48 of adsorption, precipitation, quelation and biodegradation. Nitrifying microorganisms may also develop a tolerance to the 50 inhibitors. Other compounds contained in coke wastewater that 51 have a toxic effect on oxidizing bacteria, both autotrophic and 52 heterotrophic, are CN⁻ (at concentrations higher than 50 mg/L), SCN^{-} and $NH_4^{+}-N$ itself at high concentrations [7]. Similarly, 54 biological degradation of SCN⁻ is also affected by the presence 55 of compounds such as NH_4^+ –N, phenols, NO_2^- and NO_3^- [8]. 56

The complexity of coke wastewater resulting from the pres-57 ence of a great variety of pollutants that may be removed biolog-58

38

39

40

41

42

43

49

Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 985182027; fax: +34 985182337. E-mail address: emara@uniovi.es (E. Marañón).

^{0304-3894/\$ -} see front matter © 2006 Published by Elsevier B.V.

doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.05.007 2

RTICLE IN PR

ically but which are also toxic for the microorganisms that carry 59 out their degradation make it necessary to conduct an in-depth 60 study of the biological treatment of these pollutants in order to 61 optimize the process. 62

This paper presents a study of the biological treatment of coke 63 wastewater that forms part of a European project whose general 64 aim is to study biological processes for treating wastewater from the steel industry. The present study focuses on coke wastewater, 66 since at the time of carrying out the study, no biological treatment 67 plant for this type of wastewater existed in Spain, though a num-68 ber of industrial plants were in operation in other countries. In 69 Zentralkokerie Saar, Dillingen (Germany), the process has two 70 biological steps: the first includes denitrification and removal of 71 organic matter and is carried out in separate tanks; nitrification 72 takes place in the second step. In other existing plants, such as 73 those in Kaiserstuhl (Germany), Serémage (France) and Sidmar 74 (Belgium), a prior sedimentation step is followed by a biological 75 treatment (combination of aerobic and anoxic tanks) and sludge 76 settling and treatment. The main differences among these plants 77 are the aeration system (pure oxygen or air), the type of coag-78 ulant, the concentration of excess sludge and the use or not of 79 dilution water. 80

The removal of organic matter, phenols, SCN⁻ and NH₄⁺-N 81 in a one-step activated sludge system was previously studied and 82 the results are pending publication. Phenol removal efficiencies 83 were always higher than 90% even for an HRT of 17.6 h and COD removals of up to 75% were obtained. Biodegradation of SCN 85 and nitrification varied depending on the addition of bicarbonate 86 to the system (as the alkalinity of the coke wastewater employed 87 in the study was very low). A maximum removal of 90% was achieved for SCN⁻ working at very high HRT (167 h) and of 89 71% for NH_4^+ –N for an HRT of 54.3 h. 90

Although it is possible to remove a major part of the pol-91 lutants contained in the wastewater using a single-step process, the concentrations obtained in the effluent are not low 93 enough for disposal. In the present paper, a potential solution to obtain higher removal efficiencies involving a two-step pro-95 cess is studied. Organic matter and SCN⁻ are removed in the 96 first step and nitrification is performed in a second. In order 97 to complete the removal of nitrogen compounds, a series of 98 denitrification experiments were carried out in an additional 99 100 step.

2. Materials and methods 101

The wastewater from a coke plant previously underwent a 102 stripping treatment with NaOH to maintain NH4⁺-N concentra-103 tions at values of around 200 mg/L. The wastewater was stored in 104 a 200 L tank to which sulphuric acid was added to decrease pH, 105 along with Na₂HPO₄ as a source of phosphorous (130 g/m^3) , 106 $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ to facilitate clarification of the effluent (10 g/m³) and 107 a small amount of antifoaming agent (NALCO 71D5). Start-up 108 of the reactors was carried out using sludge from the leachate 109 treatment plant at the Central Landfill for Municipal Solid Waste 110 of Asturias (COGERSA). 111

The wastewater was pumped by means of an Ismatec Ecol-112 ine pump model ISM 834A into a 17 L volume activated sludge 113

tank. The mixed liquor from this first reactor passed into a 114 settling tank by gravity, the sludge being recycled to the reac-115 tor with a recycling ratio $(R = Q_R/Q)$ of 1 by means of an 116 Ismatec Ecoline pump model VC-280. The clarified effluent 117 (effluent 1) from the first settling tank was fed by gravity into 118 a second aerated tank of 15L volume in which nitrification 119 took place. As the wastewater presents very low alkalinity val-120 ues, a dosage of sodium bicarbonate that varied between 0.84 121 and 1.4 kg/m³ depending on the ammonium concentration was 122 added to this reactor as a source of carbon in order to favour the 123 growth of autotrophic microorganisms. The amount added is 124 in accordance with a consumption of 6.5 kgCaCO₃/kgNH₄⁺-N, 125 found to be the optimum in a previous study [9]. The mixed 126 liquor from the second aerated reactor passed by gravity into 127 a second settling tank in which the sludge was separated and 128 recycled to the reactor by means of an Ismatec pump model 129 VC-380 with R = 1, obtaining a final clarified effluent (effluent 130 2). 131

From Day 261 onwards, the final effluent was recycled to the 132 first reactor by an Ismatec pump model ISM 834A with the aim 133 of decreasing the concentration of NH₄⁺–N in the reactor and 134 of favouring the biodegradation of SCN⁻ (as the presence of a 135 higher ammonium concentration was found to decrease SCN-136 removal efficiency) [9]. Different recycling ratios (R = 1-3) were 137 employed to determine the optimum value. 138

The pH of the first reactor was kept around 6–6.5, found to 139 be the optimum value for the biodegradation of thiocyanates in 140 a previous study [9], in agreement with other researchers [8]. 141 The second reactor was operated at a pH of 8-8.5 to favour 142 nitrification. The temperature of both reactors was kept constant 143 at a value of 35 ± 0.5 °C by means of a heating element. This 144 temperature was chosen due to the fact that the temperature of 145 coke wastewater at the steel works usually varied between 35 and 146 45 °C₃ Moreover, as is well known, mesophilic microorganisms 147 operate in the range 10-35 °C, the reaction kinetics increasing 148 with temperature. 149

The volatile suspended solids in the mixed liquors repre-150 sented an average percentage value of 75% of total suspended 151 solids and ranged between 2.0 and 3.0 g/L. The sludge generally 152 presented good settling conditions throughout the entire oper-153 ation (Sludge Volume Index, SVI, lower than 100 mL/g). The 154 oxygen concentration in the reactors was always kept above 155 3 mg/L. 156

Different volumetric flow rates were employed and thus different hydraulic retention times (HRT). Table 1 shows the differ-158 ent HRT employed and the average concentrations of pollutants during the different steps of the study. Due to variations in the composition of the coke wastewater, it was very difficult to main-161 tain a fixed value for the organic loading rate (OLR) and for the 162 nitrogen loading rate (NLR), and so the chosen operating parameter was the HRT. Table 2 shows the values of OLR, NLR and food to microorganisms ratios (F/M) for the different working conditions.

The study of the final denitrification stage commenced once 167 the two-step process was operating steadily, with HRT of 96 168 and 86 h in the first and the second reactor, respectively, and an 169 effluent recycling ratio of 2. The final effluent from the two-step 170

157

159

160

163

164

165

ARTICLE IN PRESS

I. Vázquez et al. / Journal of Hazardous Materials xxx (2006) xxx-xxx

HRT ₁ (h)	HRT ₂ (h)	R	pH ^a	COD (mg O ₂ /L)	SCN ⁻ (mg/L)	NH4 ⁺ -N (mg/L)	Phenols (mg/L)
27.8	20.3	0	6.9	1539	316	193	264
42.4	31	0	6.7	1454	298	204	255
61	44.6	0	5.8	1197	234	186	194
98	86	0	5.3	1175	266	233	237
98	86	1	2.6	1187	215	194	187
98	86	2	2.2	1361	277	206	221
98	86	3	2.2	1609	326	180	193

 Table 1

 Average composition of coke wastewater under different working conditions

 a These values are obtained after the addition of 98% H₂SO₄ (initial pH values: 8.1–8.8).

process was fed into a 10 L volume reactor. The study lasted
156 days, employing different HRT (86.4, 61.7 and 43.2 h),
SRT (71, 52 and 37 days) and F/M ratios (0.17, 0.30 and
0.44 kgNO₃⁻/kgSSV/day). The oxygen concentration in the
denitrification reactor was kept around 0.16 mg/L to maintain
the anoxic conditions required for the denitrification process
[10].

The pH inside the denitrification tank was kept between 8.3 and 8.5 [11]. The average concentration of total suspended solids (TSS) in the denitrification reactor was 3.0 g/L, 78% being volatile suspended solids (VSS).

With the aim of monitoring the biodegradation process tak-182 ing place inside the reactors, the influent and the effluents were 183 analysed using standard methods [12]. In the case of not being 184 able to carry out immediate analyses, the samples were always 185 kept under refrigeration at 4°C. Phenols, COD and nitrates 186 were analysed by colorimetric methods using a HACH DR/2010 187 Spectrophotometer. NH_4^+ –N concentration was measured by 188 potentiometry using an Orion 95-12 BN ion selective electrode. 189 SCN⁻ was analysed by means of a colorimetric method based on 190 the formation at an acid pH of an intense red complex between 191 Fe⁺³ and SCN⁻. 192

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Removal of thiocyanate

Fig. 1 shows the concentration of SCN⁻ in the influent 195 and effluents from both reactors, as well as the total removal 196 efficiency obtained throughout the study. Removal efficiencies 197 higher than 90% were obtained for a recycling ratio of 2, the 198 maximum being 98.7%. Very low SCN⁻ concentrations in the 199 effluent were likewise achieved (minimum value: 4 mg/L). An 200 important decrease in removal was observed when the recycling 201 ratio was increased to 3. This ratio gave rise to hydrodynamic 202 problems in the reactors and system instability, resulting in final 203 SCN⁻ concentrations of up to 81 mg/L. 204

Note should be taken that SCN⁻ removal occurred in both 205 reactors, since its biodegradation may take place via differ-206 ent mechanisms [8,13]. According to Kim and Katayama [14], 207 Thiobacillus thioparus oxidizes thiocyanate as an energy and 208 nitrogen source to CO_2 , NH₃ and SO_4^{-2} during autotrophic 209 growth. Likewise, several heterotrophic bacteria degrade thio-210 cyanate during their growth and use the released ammonia as a 211 source of nitrogen [15,16]. 212

Table 2 Average COD and NH_4^+ –N removals under different working conditions

-						
SRT	HRT	R	kgCOD/m ³ d	VSS (g/L)	kgCOD/kgVSS/day	COD removal (%)
Reactor 1						
38	27.8	0	1.33	3.0	0.41	45.5
42	42.4	0	0.82	2.6	0.31	68.6
47	61	0	0.48	2.0	0.26	77.6
76	98	0	0.29	2.1	0.13	65.9
76	98	1	0.35	2.5	0.13	79.6
93	98	2	0.42	2.8	0.13	86.2
100	98	3	0.78	2.6	0.15	74.9
SRT	HRT	R	kgNH4 ⁺ –N/m ³ d	VSS (g/L)	kgNH4 ⁺ –N/kgVSS/day	NH4 ⁺ -N removal(%)
Reactor 2						
32	20.3	0	0.14	3.0	0.04	65.6
32	31	0	0.08	2.2	0.03	67.1
32	44.6	0	0.10	1.5	0.05	34.7
52	86	0	0.09	1.9	0.03	99.0
64	86	1	0.04	2.1	0.02	97.6
73	86	2	0.02	1.9	0.01	99.3
54	86	3	0.05	2.2	0.01	32.4

193

ARTICLE IN PRESS

I. Vázquez et al. / Journal of Hazardous Materials xxx (2006) xxx-xxx

Ĵ



Fig. 1. SCN⁻ evolution in the influent and effluents and total removal efficiencies obtained in the biological treatment of coke wastewater.

Recycling of the effluent favoured the biodegradation of 213 SCN^{-} in the first reactor, as it leads to a decrease in NH_4^+ –N 214 concentration. The biodegradation of SCN⁻ may be influenced 215 by the presence of other compounds such a NH_4^+ –N, phenols, 216 nitrates or nitrites [7,8]. To evaluate this influence, the effect of 217 NH₄⁺–N concentration on SCN⁻ removal was studied for an 218 HRT of 98 h and different recycling ratios. The ammonia con-219 centration in the first reactor is plotted against removed SCN-220 in Fig. 2. The experimental data can be fitted (r=0.994) to a 221 222 theoretical curve according to the following equation:

223
$$\%$$
SCN⁻ = 100 + 15.1 × (1 - $e^{0.0056 \times [N-NH_4^+]}$)

According to this curve, employing an HRT of 98 h, removal effi-224 ciencies of SCN⁻ higher than 98% are obtained when NH₄⁺-N 225 concentrations are lower than 23 mg/L. If the NH₄⁺–N concen-226 tration increases above 260 mg/L, however, the efficiency will 227 fall below 50%. Other authors [8] found that ammonium nitrogen 228 presents a slightly inhibitory effect on thiocyanate degradation 229 for concentrations higher than 3 g/L. However, these authors 230 employed synthetic wastewaters in their studies. Industrial coke 231 wastewater may present a greater complexity owing to the pres-232 ence of different types of toxic pollutants. 233

The removal of SCN⁻ in the first reactor by heterotrophic bacteria is a relevant factor for the subsequent correct function-



Fig. 2. NH4⁺-N influence on SCN⁻ biodegradation for an HRT of 98 h.

ing of the nitrification process in order to avoid inhibitory effects ²³⁶ in the nitrifying bacteria [9]. ²³⁷

Fig. 3 shows the COD concentration in the process influent 239 and effluents as well as total removal efficiencies. COD removals 240



Fig. 3. COD evolution in the influent and effluents and total removal efficiencies obtained in the biological treatment of coke wastewater.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

5

264

of around 80% were obtained for an HRT₁ of 61 h, increasing
to around 90% when the effluent was recycled, except for a
recycling ratio of 3, for the aforementioned reason.

Apart for the first few days of operation, in which the system was not steady, the concentration in the effluent from Reactor 1 was very similar to that from Reactor 2, which means that the COD is almost totally removed in the first step. The lower COD concentration obtained in the final effluent was 159 mg/L for an HRT₁ = 96 h and R = 2.

As can be seen in Table 2, except for the lowest HRT employed (OLR = $1.3 \text{ kgCOD/m}^3 \text{ d}$), the average COD removals were always $\geq 70\%$ under the different working conditions (OLR from 0.3 to 0.8 kgCOD/m³ d. and F/M ratios from 0.13 to 0.31 kgCD/kgVSS/day.

255 3.3. Removal of phenols

The concentration of phenols in the final effluent varied 256 between 2.3 mg/L for R = 2 and 16 mg/L for R = 3, being very 257 similar to that obtained in effluent 1. This means that phenols are 258 also mainly removed in the first reactor (Fig. 4). Very high total 259 removal efficiencies (>95%) were obtained under all the con-260 ditions employed, values higher than 98% being obtained for a 261 recycling ratio of 2. Removal decreased slightly when operating 262 at R = 3, due to the aforementioned problems of instability. 263

3.4. Removal of NH_4^+ –N

One of the aims of the two-step process was to remove 265 NH₄⁺-N in a second reactor once the major part of COD, phe-266 nols and SCN⁻ had been removed in the first step. Autotrophic 267 nitrifying microorganisms need to have a certain available alka-268 linity. With an average alkalinity value in the wastewater of 269 0.25 gCaCO₃/L and for an initial NH₄⁺-N concentration of 270 around 200 mg/L, the ratio kgCaCO₃/kgNH₄⁺-N is 1.25, a 271 clearly low value [10,17]. In a previous study, a value of 272 6.5 kgCaCO₃/kgNH₄⁺-N was found to be the optimum for 273 NH4⁺-N removal in this coke wastewater [9]. Therefore, alka-274 linity was added throughout the entire study to the nitrification 275 reactor in the form of sodium bicarbonate. 276

Fig. 5 shows the variation in NH_4^+ –N concentration in the 277 influent and effluents as well as the total removal obtained via 278 nitrification. The ammonia concentration in the influent ranged 279 between 123 and 296 mg/L. Throughout the first part of the 280 experiment (from Day 1 to 140), effluent 1 presented lower 281 NH4⁺-N concentrations than the influent, which means that 282 nitrification was partially taking place in the first reactor. This 283 could be the result of poor control of pH during that period (aver-284 age pH 8.5 in the first reactor), since pH values of between 7.8 285 and 8.9 favour the nitrification process [18,19]. From Day 150 to 286 240, operating at higher HRT but without recycling of the final 287



Fig. 4. Phenols evolution in the influent and effluents and total removal efficiencies obtained in the biological treatment of coke wastewater.



Fig. 5. NH_4^+ –N evolution in the influent and effluents and total removal efficiencies obtained in the biological treatment of coke wastewater.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

I. Vázquez et al. / Journal of Hazardous Materials xxx (2006) xxx-xxx



Fig. 6. Influence of SCN⁻ on removed NH₄⁺–N loading rate in the nitrification reactor for an HRT of 44.6 h.

effluent, the ammonia concentration increased in the first reactor. This may be due to both the transformation of organic nitrogen into NH_4^+ –N and the biodegradation of SCN^- into NH_4^+ , CO_2 and SO_4^{2-} [7,8,13].

The highest ammonium removal (99%) was obtained when 292 operating at an HRT₂ of 86 h and a recycling ratio of 2 (min-293 imum effluent concentration of 0.12 mgNH₄⁺–N/L), although 294 very high removals were also obtained for the same HRT without 295 recycling of the final effluent. As occurs with the other pollu-296 tants, a recycling ratio of 3 gave rise to a decrease in removal 297 efficiency. Table 2 shows the average removals obtained under 298 the different working conditions. For an SRT of 32 days, an 299 increase in the nitrification efficiency was not observed when 300 increasing the HRT. With respect to the specific loading rate, 301 values higher than 0.04 kgNH4⁺-N/kgVSS/day led to a decrease 302 in nitrification. A marked increase in nitrification was observed 303 for an SRT of 52 days and an HRT of 98 h. Under these working 304 conditions, the presence of thiocyanate does not seem to have a 305 notable influence on nitrification. When the recirculation ratio 306 was increased from 2 to 3, removal decreased from 99 to 32% 307 due to hydrodynamic problems. 308

To study the influence of SCN⁻ on nitrification when operating at low HRT, experiments were carried out with coke wastewater containing different concentrations of SCN for an HRT of 44.6 h. The experimental results, shown in Fig. 6, were fitted to an exponential curve (r=0.997) according to the following equation:

315
$$N = 0.081 - \{0.043 \times (1 - e^{-0.031[\text{SCN}^-]})\}$$

where *N* is the volumetric nitrification rate (kg $NH_4^+-N_{316}$ removed/m³/day).

According to the theoretical curve, in the absence of SCN⁻, 318 0.081 kgNH₄⁺–N/m³/day could be removed, whereas for SCN⁻ concentrations higher than 150 mg/L, the denitrification rate decreases to 0.037 kgNH₄⁺–N/m³/day. 321

To evaluate whether the nitrification process functions cor-322 rectly, measurement of the NH4⁺-N concentration alone would 323 be insufficient, since this does not confirm that the final product 324 obtained is NO₃⁻. In some cases, the final transformation of 325 NO_2^- to NO_3^- in the final nitrification step may be inhibited, 326 especially when the concentration of dissolved oxygen in the 327 mixed liquor is lower than 2 mg/L or when SRT lower than 15 328 days are employed [20,21]. 320

Throughout this study, the concentration of both compounds was measured regularly, no NO_2^- being detected, the NO_3^- concentration ranging between 282 and 428 mgNO₃⁻–N/L.

330

331

332

In order to remove the nitrate formed in the nitrification pro-333 cess, the effluent from the two-step process was treated in a 334 third reactor of 10L volume. Table 3 shows the average con-335 centrations of the different pollutants in the effluent entering 336 the denitrification reactor for the different HRT employed. The 337 concentrations of COD, phenols and SCN⁻ fed into the denitri-338 fication reactor were very low, since these pollutants had already 339 been removed in the previous steps. COD values ranged between 340 165 and 220 mg/L and therefore, as denitrifying microorganisms 341 are heterotrophic, methanol was added in this study as an exter-342 nal organic carbon source. 343

The methanol dosage employed was varied throughout the 344 study so as to determine the optimum value that would give rise 345 to maximum nitrate removal and would not increase the COD of 346 the effluent. During the first 16 days, a ratio of 6.7 mgCOD/mg 347 NO₃⁻-N was used to favour heterotrophic growth. A high 348 removal of nitrates was observed, although an important increase of the effluent COD also occurred (Figs. 7 and 8). Differ-350 ent ratios were subsequently assayed, ranging between 3 and 351 4 mgCOD/mgNO₃⁻-N. The optimum value was 3.5, corre-352 sponding to a dosage of 1.2 L methanol/m³. This value was 353 subsequently used in the experiments from Day 48 onwards. 354

nitrate loading varied The rate between 355 HRT $0.09 \text{ kgNO}_3^--N/m^3/day$ for of 86.4 h and 356 0.23 kgNO_3^- – N/m³/day for 43.2 h. Fig. 7 shows the evolution of the nitrate concentration in the influent and effluent 358 of the denitrification process as well as the removal obtained 359 for the different conditions employed. Influent concentrations 360 ranged between 282 and 428 mg NO3⁻-N/L and effluent 361 concentrations were lower than 0.12 mg NO₃⁻–N/L. Removal 362

Table 3

Average characteristics	of coke wastewater and	the influent to the	denitrification reactor du	ring the three-step study

HRT ₃ (h)	COD (mg/L)		Phenols (1	Phenols (mg/L)		SCN ⁻ (mg/L)		NH4 ⁺ –N (mg/L)		NO ₃ ⁻ -N (mg/L)	
	WW	DN	WW	DN	WW	DN	WW	DN	WW	DN	
86.4	1312	220	210	4.4	234	10.9	196	5.6	n.d.	331	
61.7	1337	165	220	2.6	297	4.8	218	1.6	n.d.	412	
43.2	1400	175	221	2.7	272	6.0	197	1.3	n.d.	420	

n.d., not detected.

I. Vázquez et al. / Journal of Hazardous Materials xxx (2006) xxx-xxx

Table 4

Average characteristics of the final effluent and removal obtained in the three-step biological treatment of coke wastewater under different working conditions

HRT ₃ (h)	SRT (day)	kgNO ₃ ⁻ - N/m ³ /day	kgNO ₃ ⁻ N/ kgSSV/day	U/ COD (mg/L)		Phenols (mg/L)		SCN (mg/L)		NH4 ⁺ -N (mg/L)		Total-N (mg/L)	
				Effluent	%	Effluent	%	Effluent	%	Effluent	%	Effluent	%
86.4	71	0.07	0.04	306	75.4	4.4	97.8	10.9	95.3	5.6	97.1	16.4	95.3
61.7	52	0.16	0.07	261	80.5	2.6	98.8	4.8	98.3	1.6	99.3	2.8	99.3
43.2	37	0.24	0.10	251	82.0	2.7	98.7	6.0	97.7	1.3	99.2	2.9	99.2



Fig. 7. NO₃⁻-N evolution in influent and effluent and removal efficiency of the denitrification process.

efficiencies were very high (ranging between 81.9 and 99.9%), 363 even for the lowest HRT tested (or highest loading rates: 364 $0.23 \text{ kgNO}_3^--\text{N/m}^3/\text{day}$ and $0.44 \text{ kgNO}_3^-/\text{kgVSS/day}$). 365

The COD of the influent to the denitrification reactor varied 366 between 148 and 269 mg/L, as can be seen in Fig. 8. When a ratio 367 of 6.7 mg COD/mg NO₃⁻-N was used (Day 1-16), although 368 high removal efficiencies of nitrates were obtained, the excess 369 of methanol employed increased the effluent COD to 704 mg/L. 370 When a ratio of 3 mg COD/mg N was used (Day 17-44), the 371 influent COD decreased to 143 mg/L, although nitrate removal 372 efficiency also decreased (Fig. 7). Using the optimum value 373 of 3.5 mg COD/mg N, the effluent COD increased slightly to 374 245 mg/L. 375



Fig. 8. COD evolution in the influent and effluent of the denitrification process.

The concentration of the rest of the pollutants did not experi-376 ment any change during this final step. Table 4 shows the average 377 concentrations of the different pollutants in the final effluent as 378 well as the average removal efficiencies obtained under the dif-379 ferent working conditions employed in the three-step process. 380 The lowest concentrations of pollutants obtained were 251 mg 381 COD/L, 2.6 mg phenols/L, 4.8 mg SCN⁻/L, 1.3 mg NH₄⁺–N/L 382 and 2.8 mg Total-N/L. 383

4. Conclusions

The presence of NH_4^+ –N influences the biodegradation of SCN⁻. Employing an HRT of 98 h, no removals would be obtained for an ammonia concentration higher than 360 mg/L. Likewise, the presence of SCN- influences the nitrification process, decreasing the nitrification rate from values of 0.08 kgNH_4^+ –N/m³/day in the absence of SCN⁻ to 0.04 kgNH_4^+ –N/m³/day for SCN⁻ concentrations between 125 and 230 mg/L.

The biological treatment of coke wastewater in a two-step 393 activated sludge system is favoured when an effluent recycling ratio of 2 is employed, obtaining average removal efficiencies of 86.2, 98.8, 97.9 and 99.3% for COD, phenols, SCNand NH_4^+ –N, respectively, for a total HRT of 184 h (98 h 397 in the first step and 86 h in the second). Under these conditions, the removal of COD, phenols and SCN⁻ takes place 399 mainly in the first reactor, whereas nitrification occurs in the second. Recycling of the effluent leads to lower concentra-401 tions in the reactors and less inhibition phenomena between the microorganisms responsible for SCN⁻ and NH₄⁺ biodegradation.

If denitrification is carried out as a third step, a methanol 405 dosage of 1.2 L/m³ (which represents a consumption of 3.5 mg $COD/mg NO_3^{-}-N$) must be added in order to achieve very high 407 removal efficiencies (>99%). A slight increase in the final effluent COD takes place (from 176 to 251 mg O₂/L) as a result of 409 this addition of methanol.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the funding received 412 from the European Union for the project "Advanced Process 413 Control for Biological Water Treatment Plants in Steelworks", 414 contract no. ECSC-7210-PR-235, and the Arcelor Group for 415 their collaboration. They also wish to thank Mr. Paul Barnes for 416 proof reading the English version of the manuscript. 417

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

394

395

396

398

400

402

403

404

406

408

410

I. Vázquez et al. / Journal of Hazardous Materials xxx (2006) xxx-xxx

References 418

- [1] D. Bamelis, Biological purification of coking plant waters, La Revue de 419 Metallurgie (February) (1992) 132-145. 420
- D. Jenkins, Towards a comprehensive model of activated sludge bulking 421 and foaming, Water Sci. Technol. 25 (6) (1992) 215-2302. 422
- [3] W. Keith, J. Antil, Biotreatment of coke oven effluent, Steel Time Inter-423 nat. (1991) 26-35. 424
- [4] J. Wanmmer, The implementation of bulking control in the design 425 of activated sludge systems, Water Sci. Technol. 29 (7) (1994) 193-426 427 202
- [5] G.M. Wong-Chong, Retrofitting LTV coke plant wastewater treatment 428 system to comply with treatment discharge limits, Iron Steel Eng. (Octo-429 ber) (1994) 26-28. 430
- [6] T. Yamagishi, J. Leite, S. Ueda, F. Yamaguchi, Simultaneous removal 431 of phenol and ammonia by an activated sludge process with cross-flow 432 filtration, Water Res. 13 (2001) 3089-3096. 433
- D.J. Richards, W.K. Shieh, Anoxic-oxic activated-sludge treatment of 434 [7] cyanides and phenols, Biotechnol. Bioeng. 33 (1989) 32-38. 435
- [8] H.K. Kwon, S.H. Woo, J.M. Park, Thiocyanate degradation by Acremo-436 nium strictum and inhibition by secondary toxicants, Biotechnol. Lett. 437 438 24 (2002) 1347-1355.
- [9] I. Vázquez, Study and modellization of the biological removal of pol-439 lutants in coke wastewaters (Estudio v modelización de la eliminación 440 biológica de contaminantes en aguas de coquería). Ph.D. Thesis, Uni-441 versity of Oviedo, 2005. 442
- 443 [10] N.H. Callado, E. Foresti, Removal of organic carbon, nitrogen and phosphorous in sequential batch reactors integrating the aer-444 obic/anaerobic processes, Water Sci. Technol. 44 (4) (2001) 263-445 273.

- [11] R.S. Ramalho, Tratamiento de aguas residuales, Editorial Reverté, S.A., 446 1996. 447
- [12] APHA, AWWA, WPCF, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water 448 and Wastewater, 17th ed., Public Health Association, Washington, DC, 449 US. 1989. 450
- [13] J. Stratford, A. Dias, C.H. Knowles, The utilization of thiocyanate as 451 nitrogen source by heterotrophic bacterium: the degradative pathway 452 involves formation of ammonia and tetrathionate, Microbiology 140 453 (1979) 2657-2672. 454
- [14] S.J. Kim, Y. Katayama, Effect of growth conditions on thiocyanate 455 degradation and emission of carbonyl sulfide by Thiobacillus thioparus 456 THI115, Water Res. 34 (2000) 2887-2894.

457

458

459

460

461

464

465

- [15] D.A. Stafford, A.G. Calley, The utilization of thiocyanate by a heterotrophic bacterium, J. Gen. Microbiol. 55 (1969) 285-289.
- [16] P.M. Betts, D.F. Rinder, J.R. Fleeker, Thiocyanate utilisation by Arthobacter, Can. J. Microbiol. 25 (1979) 1277-1282.
- [17] Y. Eum, E. Choi, Optimization of nitrogen removal from piggery waste 462 by nitrite nitrification, Water Sci. Technol. 45 (12) (2002) 89-96. 463
- [18] D. Kaplan, R. Wilhelm, A. Abeliovich, Interdepent environmental factors controlling nitrification in waters, Water Sci. Technol. 42 (1-2) (2000) 167 - 172
- [19] G. Ruiz, D. Jeison, R. Chamy, Nitrification with high nitrite accumula-467 tion for the treatment of wastewater with high ammonia concentration. 468 Water Res. 37 (2003) 1371-1377. 469
- [20] J.L. Campos, J.M. Garrido-Fernández, R. Méndez, J.M. Lema, Nitrifica-470 tion at high ammonia loading rates in an activated sludge unit, Bioresour. 471 Technol. 68 (1999) 141-148. 472
- [21] A. Pollice, V. Tandoi, C. Lestingi, Influence of aeration and sludge 473 retention time on ammonium oxidation to nitrite and nitrate, Water Res. 474 36 (2002) 2541-2546. 475