research papers

Acta Crystallographica Section B Structural Science

ISSN 0108-7681

J. M. Montejo-Bernardo, S. García-Granda* and A. Fernández-González

Departamento de Química Física y Analítica, Facultad de Química, Universidad de Oviedo, E-33006 Asturias, Spain

Correspondence e-mail: sgg@uniovi.es

The crystal structures of two double salts of ammonium nitrate (AN) and ammonium sulfate (AS) are reported. The double salts $2NH_4NO_3 \cdot (NH_4)_2SO_4$ (2AN·AS) and $3NH_4NO_3 \cdot (NH_4)_2SO_4$ (3AN·AS) show a very similar crystal structure packing with alternating layers of anions and cations. The solid-state ionic distribution is controlled by an extensive hydrogen-bonding network with ammonium groups as the donors and O atoms acting as the acceptors. Crystallographic studies were conducted at both room temperature (293 K) and 100 K. Increasing the temperature involves shortening the *b* axis in the case of the 3AN·AS salt. Quantification of fertilizer mixtures using the Rietveld method was also carried out by means of the structural models reported in this paper for both salts.

Structures of relevant ammonium salts in fertilizers

1. Introduction

Ammonium nitrate (AN) and ammonium sulfate (AS) have a widely reported ability to form double salts. In fact, the 2AN·AS (2·1) and 3AN·AS (3·1) salts have been known for a century (Schreinemakers & Hoenen, 1909), although they still have to be fully characterized. X-ray powder diffraction (XPRD) profiles have been used to guess their cell parameters as well as the possible space group (Jänecke et al., 1927; Bokii & Burovaya, 1937; Sokolov, 1938; Smith et al., 1962; Misovic & Ramasechan, 1963) and these profiles are still used to identify both double salts (Srinivasa et al., 1964; Harrison & Sturges, 1984). Although other double salts were proposed, AN·AS (Sokolov, 1938; Srinivasa et al., 1964), 3AN-2AS (Osaka & Inouye, 1925) and 4AN·AS (Sokolov, 1938), thermodynamic studies have demonstrated that only 2AN·AS and 3AN·AS salts can arise from the combination of AN and AS (Clegg et al., 1998; Wexler & Clegg, 2002; Amundson et al., 2006). Furthermore, thermodynamics shows that 3ANAS is a metastable salt which gradually evolves into the stable salt 2AN·AS (Ling & Chan, 2007). A monohydrate double salt, however, has been reported, although its structure remains unsolved (Ghosh et al., 1965).

Recently, 2AN·AS and 3AN·AS salts have been widely studied and characterized as particles in aerosols (Martin *et al.*, 2003, 2004; Schlenker *et al.*, 2004; Schlenker & Martin, 2005; Ling & Chan, 2007; Bothe & Beyer, 2007). In addition, these two salts are also widely used in fertilizers. Ammonium sulfate nitrate (ASN) is one of the first synthetic fertilizers. It is a source of primary (nitrogen) and secondary (sulfate) nutrients and has been used for nearly the last hundred years. Historically, the term 'ammonium sulfate nitrate' has not referred to a specific chemical composition with fixed properties. Rather it has been used in the fertilizer lexicon to describe mixtures of AN and AS in different percentages Received 9 February 2010 Accepted 26 April 2010

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Professor José Manuel Concellón and to the emeritus Professor Fermín Gómez-Beltrán

© 2010 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Singapore – all rights reserved

Table 1

Experimental details.

Experiments were carried out with Mo $K\alpha$ radiation. H atoms were treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement.

	2·1 (100 K)	2·1 (293 K)	3·1 (100 K)	3·1 (293 K)
Crystal data				
Chemical formula $M_{\rm r}$	2(NH ₄ NO ₃)·(NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄ 292.25	2(NH ₄ NO ₃)·(NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄ 292.25	3(NH ₄ NO ₃)·(NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄ 372.30	3(NH ₄ NO ₃)·(NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄ 372.30
Cell setting, space group a, b, c (Å)	Monoclinic, <i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>c</i> 10.2410 (3), 11.2160 (2), 10.1672 (2)	Monoclinic, <i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>c</i> 10.3178 (2), 11.4014 (2), 10.2567 (2)	Monoclinic, P2 ₁ 9.8642 (1), 5.9636 (1), 12.3046 (2)	Monoclinic, P2 ₁ 9.9566 (3), 5.9332 (1), 12.4161 (3)
β (°)	104.594 (3)	105.956 (1)	93.115 (1)	92.817 (2)
$V(A^3)$	1130.16 (4)	1160.09 (3)	722.77 (5)	732.59 (7)
Z	4	4	2	2
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.35	0.34	0.31	0.31
Crystal size (mm)	$0.22 \times 0.14 \times 0.06$	$0.25 \times 0.17 \times 0.07$	$0.59 \times 0.26 \times 0.17$	$0.33 \times 0.12 \times 0.10$
Data collection				
Diffractometer	Goniometer Xcalibur, detector: ruby	Kappa-CCD	Goniometer Xcalibur, detector: ruby	Goniometer Xcalibur, detector: ruby
Absorption correction	Analytical <i>CrysAlis</i> ^{Pro} , Oxford Diffraction	Multi-scan SORTAV (Blessing, 1995, 1997)	Analytical CrysAlis ^{Pro} , Oxford Diffraction	Empirical (using intensity measurements) CrysAlis RED (SCALE3 ABSPACK)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.943, 0.979	0.933, 0.977	0.908, 0.950	0.957, 0.970
No. of measured reflections, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	11 630, 3808, 2588	13 722, 2111, 1685	7655, 3967, 3883	7869, 4389, 3191
R _{int}	0.035	0.025	0.015	0.033
Refinement				
Refinement on	F^2	F^2	F^2	F^2
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.039, 0.0661, 1.014	0.0436, 0.1373, 1.069	0.0195, 0.0504, 1.088	0.0449, 0.0776, 1.016
No. of reflections	3808	2111	3967	4389
No. of parameters	218	213	288	299
No. of restraints	0	76	1	57
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.358, -0.569	0.789, -0.339	0.229, -0.394	0.334, -0.401
Flack parameter	_	_	0.25 (3)	0.01 (7)

Computer programs used: CrysAlis^{Pro} (Oxford Diffraction, 2006a), CrysAlis Xcalibur (Oxford Diffraction, 1995–2003), COLLECT (Nonius BV, 1997–2000), CrysAlis CCD (Oxford Diffraction, 2006a), HKL SCALEPACK (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997), CrysAlis RED (Oxford Diffraction, 2006b), DIRDIF99 (Beurskens et al., 1999), SIR2004 (Burla et al., 2005), SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008), ORTEP3 (Farrugia, 1997) Mercury (Macrae et al., 2006).

(Meline *et al.*, 1968; Highsmith *et al.*, 2002). The Association of American Plant Food Control Officials (AAPFCO, 2009) has defined ASN as a double salt of ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulfate with a minimum nitrogen content of 26%. Therefore, salts 2·1 and 3·1 can be considered ASN, as their nitrogen content is 28.76 and 30.10%, respectively. These double salts are more efficient in the nutrient delivery process than ammonium nitrate alone. Moreover, they enrich the soil with sulfur, which has been deficient in many soils in recent years. The 2AN·AS salt is the one most commonly used as the base material in fertilizers because of its lower nitrate percentage (42.44%), the final ratio of both sulfate and nitrate nutrients being adjusted by adding inert substances, such as dolomite or anhydrous calcium sulfate among others, together with pure ammonium sulfate.

In this paper we present the crystal structures of the two known double salts at both room temperature and 100 K using single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Both structures exhibit a dense hydrogen-bond network, with a *similar* arrangement of cations and anions. The 3.1 salt behaves oddly in one of the cell axes on decreasing the temperature and shows a temperature-dependent disorder of one nitrate group. The structural determination of both salts allowed the accurate quantification of different real samples containing both pure double salts, free AS and other minor phases using XRPD (with a bidimensional detector) and a Rietveld fit of the experimental data. A major contribution of this study to the state-of-the-art lies in the possibility of quantification, as XRPD is employed nowadays in the fertilizer field only as a routine tool for identification (Coates & Woodard, 1963; Malquori & Cecconi, 1964; Lehr *et al.*, 1967; Highsmith *et al.*, 2002; Jassal & Kumar, 2004).

2. Experimental

2.1. Samples

A suitable single crystal of the 3AN·AS salt was selected from a solid (sample S3) obtained through Smith *et al.*'s (1962) method. An appropriate single crystal of the 2AN·AS salt was chosen from an industrial sample (sample S2) provided by the company Fertiberia, as was the nitrosulfate fertilizer sample (sample S1).

2.2. Experimental data

Room-temperature data collection for the 2·1 salt was performed on a Nonius Kappa CCD single-crystal diffractometer using Mo $K\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). For the 3·1 salt, data collection was performed on an Oxford Diffraction Gemini S single-crystal diffractometer using Mo $K\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Both crystal structures were solved by Patterson Methods using the program *DIRDIF*99 (Smits *et al.*, 1994; Beurskens *et al.*, 1999).

Data collection for both double salts was performed at low temperature (100 K) on the Oxford Diffraction Gemini S single-crystal diffractometer.

In every case, anisotropic least-squares refinement was carried out using *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 2008) and all non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. At room temperature, H atoms were placed in ideal geometry, allowing them to ride on the O atom; the distance from every H atom to the central atom (N) was fixed at 0.90 ± 0.05 Å using a *DFIX* geometrical restraint. Likewise, the non-bonded H···H distances in the ammonium ions were fixed at 1.47 ± 0.05 Å. A common displacement coefficient was refined for all the H atoms. At 100 K, the H atoms were located in the last stages of the refinement by a difference-Fourier and also isotropically refined by means of a common displacement coefficient.

A summary of the conditions for the data collection and the structure refinement parameters is given in Table 1.¹ The crystallographic plots were obtained using *ORTEP3* (Farrugia, 1997) and *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006).

For samples S1 and S2, experimental XRPD patterns were acquired in transmission mode on an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur NOVA diffractometer, using Cu $K\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å), with an Onyx CCD area detector (165 mm). The powder patterns were collected using three 2θ detector positions -82, 0 and 82. For each detector position the sample was rotated 300° on φ , with 300 s for each rotation, and finally the integrated data was averaged on the 2θ range 0–60°. Peak intensities were integrated over the entire ring in step-scan mode, using a step size of 0.02°.

Experimental XRPD patterns were acquired in transmission mode on an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur diffractometer, NOVA, for samples S1 and S2, and Gemini S, for sample S3, using Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å), with an Onyx CCD area detector (165 mm) and a Ruby CCD area detector (135 mm), respectively. The powder patterns were collected using three 2θ detector positions -82, 0 and 82. For each detector position the sample was rotated 300° on φ , with 300 s for each rotation, and finally the integrated data was averaged on the 2θ range 0– 60° for samples S1 and S2, and 3–55° for sample S3. Peak intensities were integrated over the entire ring in step-scan mode, using a step size of 0.02° or 0.03° for samples S1 and S2 or sample S3, respectively. Rietveld refinements were carried out *via* the *FULLPROF* program package (Rodriguez-Carvajal, 1990) using a pseudo-Voigt peak-shape function for samples S1 and S2, and a Pearson VII function for sample S3. In least-squares cycles, the refined parameters were: zero-shift error, background coefficients (six-coefficients polynomial function), unit-cell parameters, peak-shape parameters (η for pseudo-Voigt and *m* for Pearson VII), phase linewidths (from the Caglioti formula: U, V and W for S1, V and W for S2 and W for S3), an asymmetry parameter, and scale factor. Atoms were modelled as anisotropic, and for N3 nitrate (in the 3-1 salt) both orientations were included. Profile plots were obtained using *WinPLOTR* (Roisnel & Rodriguez-Carvajal, 2000).

DSC analysis for sample S1 was carried out on a Metter Toledo DCS 822e calorimeter at 5 K min^{-1} in an N_2 atmosphere.



Figure 1

Asymmetric unit of the 2.1 salt at 100 K (ellipsoids 50%). Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.



Figure 2

Perpendicular view to the ab face in the 2.1 salt at (a) 100 K and (b) 293 K.

¹ Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: KD5044). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

Table 2

Bond distances (A	A)	for the	salt	at	the	two	temperatures.
-------------------	----	---------	------	----	-----	-----	---------------

Only	distances	for the	major	orientations	are included.
2			,		

	2AN-AS salt		3AN-AS salt		
	100 K	293 K	100 K	293 K	
S1-O1	1.4776 (9)	1.471 (2)	1.4769 (7)	1.458 (2)	
S1-O2	1.4793 (9)	1.471 (2)	1.4883 (6)	1.464 (2)	
S1-O3	1.4874 (9)	1.472 (2)	1.4738 (6)	1.463 (2)	
S1-O4	1.4654 (9)	1.456 (2)	1.4781 (6)	1.452 (2)	
(SO)	1.4774 (9)	1.468 (2)	1.4792 (7)	1.460 (2)	
N1-O11	1.258 (1)	1.250 (2)	1.2580 (9)	1.255 (4)	
N1-O12	1.255 (1)	1.245 (2)	1.257 (1)	1.218 (3)	
N1-O13	1.248 (1)	1.250 (3)	1.246 (1)	1.232 (4)	
N2-O21	1.269 (1)	1.253 (3)	1.251 (1)	1.243 (3)	
N2-O22	1.231 (1)	1.252 (3)	1.252 (1)	1.254 (3)	
N2-O23	1.251 (1)	1.187 (8)	1.2624 (8)	1.246 (2)	
N3-O31			1.257 (1)	1.277 (4)	
N3-O32			1.209 (1)	1.169 (4)	
N3-O33			1.276 (1)	1.233 (6)	
$\langle NO \rangle$	1.252 (1)	1.239 (8)	1.252 (1)	1.236 (6)	

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Double-salt structures

The structure of both double salts is determined by the hydrogen-bonding network, with the O atoms as the acceptors.

The asymmetric unit of the 2·1 salt (at 100 K) is shown in Fig. 1. The crystal presents an alternating layer structure of cations and anions, recognizable through the three faces of the cell. Fig. 2(a) shows the unit cell through the *ab* face, with the ions situated in three different parallel layers.

At room temperature (see Fig. 2b) ammonium ions containing N4 and N5 modify their orientation appreciably,



Figure 3

Asymmetric unit of the 3.1 salt at 100 K (ellipsoids 50%). Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.

while nitrate N2 suffers a modification in the position of the oxygen groups, resulting in alterations of the distances in neighbouring atoms of up to 0.1 to 0.3 Å. The O23 atom was split into two positions (41:59, not shown here) to better model an apparent highly anisotropic displacement ellipsoid. Furthermore, the position of the N atom is also modified, resulting in a larger separation between neighbouring ions of around 0.35 Å (5.193 \rightarrow 5.549 Å).

The asymmetric unit of the $3 \cdot 1$ salt (at 100 K) is shown in Fig. 3. The nitrate N3 shows a rotational disordered structure (not shown here) between two main positions. Unlike the $2 \cdot 1$ salt, this crystal presents an alternating layer structure of anions and cations visible only through two of the faces of the cell. This alternation may be seen in Fig. 4, representing the unit cell through the *bc* face at both temperatures. Ammonium ions lie in three different parallel layers and anions in two other parallel layers (nitrates N1 and N3, and nitrate N2 and sulfate, respectively).

At 100 K, a ratio of 82.5:17.5 is found for the two orientations of nitrate N3. The rotation angle between them is 37° and they form planes with a dihedral angle of 9.8° . At room temperature, the population of the minor orientation is doubled (67.8:32.2), whereas the group angle and dihedral angle remain constant (39 and 10.7° , respectively).

The increase in temperature from 100 to 293 K implies the splitting into two positions (73:28, not shown here) of the oxygen O11 of the nitrate N1, and also induces a shortening in the *b* axis (see Table 1). This result can be explained taking into account the different orientation of sulfate and nitrate anions in the crystal at the two temperatures (see Fig. 4). The sulfate anion is reoriented *almost parallel* to the *ac* face (the angle between the O2–S1–O4 atoms and the *ac* face changes from 18.0° at 100 K to 8.9° at 293 K, and the angle between O2-S1-O4 and O2'-S1'-O4' changes from 32.4 to 16.7°). This reorientation decreases the O1···O3 interatomic distance from 3.688 to 3.570 Å.

The nitrate anion N1 is also reoriented and the angle between the N1-O11 bond and the *ac* face changes from 24.6



Figure 4 Perpendicular view to the bc face in the 3.1 salt at (a) 100 K and (b) 293 K.

Table 3

Hydrogen-bond parameters (Å, °) for the 2·1 and 3·1 salts at 100 K and at 293 K (italics).

Hydrogen bond	$D \cdots A$ (Å)	$\mathbf{H} \cdots \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{\mathring{A}})$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A (^{\circ})$
2.1 salt			
$N3 - H3C \cdots O3$	2.918(2)	2.09.(2)	165(2)
10 1150 05	3.026(3)	2.03(2) 2.24(5)	149(4)
$N3-H34\cdots O3^{i}$	2.916(2)	2.27(3) 2.05(2)	163(2)
10 1011 00	2.939(3)	2.00(2) 2.11(4)	150(2)
$N3 - H3D \cdots O1^{ii}$	2.909(3)	2.11(1) 2.04(1)	168(2)
10 1150 01	3.011(3)	2.01(1) 2.18(3)	155(3)
$N5-H54\cdots O2^{ii}$	2.815(1)	1.95(1)	175(1)
10 115/1 02	2.813(1) 2.853(3)	2 10 (4)	155(4)
$N4 - H4C \cdots O3^{iii}$	2.833(3) 2.819(1)	1.96(2)	162(2)
101 1110 05	2.819(1)	2.07(4)	152(2)
$N5-H5D\cdots O4^{iv}$	2.000(3) 2.941(2)	2.07(1) 2.09(2)	167(2)
10 1150 01	3 132 (4)	2.03(2) 2.33(4)	160(4)
$N6-H6C\cdots O1^{v}$	2.919(2)	2.03(1) 2.04(2)	166(2)
110 1100 01	2.928(3)	2.04(3)	169(3)
$N6-H6D\cdots O2^{vi}$	2.920(3) 2.962(2)	2.07(3) 2.13(2)	162(2)
110 1102 02	3.032(2)	2.13(2) 2 28 (4)	146(3)
	5.052 (5)	2.20 (1)	110 (5)
3.1 salt			
$N6 - H6D \cdots O4$	2 865 (1)	202(2)	176(2)
100 1102 01	3.048(4)	2.19(3)	172(3)
$N7 - H7C \cdots O3$	2,850(1)	1.95(1)	169(1)
10 11/0 05	2.050(1) 2.958(3)	225(3)	141(3)
$N8 - H8C \cdots O21$	2.930(3) 2.889(1)	2.23(3) 2.02(2)	163(1)
110 1100 021	3.004(3)	2.02(2) 2.32(3)	140(3)
N4 $-H4D\cdots O2^{vii}$	2.823(1)	1.97(1)	176(3) 174(1)
	2.827(2)	1.94(2)	170(2)
N5 $-H5D\cdots O4^{vii}$	2.766(1)	1.92(1)	169(1)
10 100 01	2.754(2)	1.87(2)	165(2)
N5-H5 B ···O13 ^{vii}	2.910(1)	2.08(2)	165(2)
10 100 010	2.970(3)	2.25(3)	143(3)
$N4-H4C\cdots O33^{vii}$	2.908 (2)	2.07(2)	178(2)
	2.999(7)	2.23(2)	162(3)
N8-H8 A ···O23 ^{viii}	2.981(1)	2.08(2)	102(2)
110 11011 020	3.037(3)	2.20(3)	149(3)
$N7 - H7B \cdots O2^{viii}$	2.877(1)	2.00(2)	159(1)
10 100 02	3.009(4)	237(3)	125 (3)
$N6-H6C\cdots O1^{viii}$	2.853(1)	1.97(2)	170(2)
110 1100 01	2.853(3)	1.89(3)	172(3)
$N7 - H7A \cdots O2^{ix}$	2.920(1)	2.10(1)	167(1)
	2.969(2)	2.10(2)	153 (2)
$N8 - H8B \cdots O1^{x}$	2.848(1)	1.99 (1)	174 (1)
	2.998 (3)	2.27 (3)	145 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, -z + 1; (ii) $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1; (iv) x, y, z + 1; (v) $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (vi) x + 1, y, z; (vii) $-x + 2, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$; (viii) x, y - 1, z; (ix) $-x + 2, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + 2$; (x) x + 1, y - 1, z.

to 12.6° . Consequently, the O12···O13 distance between neighbouring ions shortens from 8.034 to 8.001 Å (0.03 Å).

The nitrate N2 remains almost perpendicular to the *ac* face (from 80.7° at 100 K to 86.7° at 293 K), thus moving the N2–O23 bond to a parallel position to the face (from 5.1° at 100 K to 1.7° at 293 K). Consequently, the O21···O22 distance between neighbouring ions scarcely varies (it shortens from 8.109 to 8.094 Å).

The angle between the nitrate N3 and the *ac* face changes from 41.97° at 100 K to 36.7° at 293 K, and the distance O31...O33 between neighbouring ions shortens from 7.374 to 7.194 Å.

Finally, both double salts show an *apparent shortening* of the S-O and N-O distances with increasing temperature (Table 2), but these results are a consequence of the increased librational motion of the O atoms around the N and S central

atoms, leading to an underestimation of the S–O and N–O distances (the largest apparent shortenings are for the O atom with the largest anisotropy factors, O23 in salt 2.1, and O11 and O32 in salt 3.1). Prior experimental evidence of this phenomenon has been reported for the sulfate anion (Jacobsen *et al.*, 2003), and nitrate anion (Jaskólski & Olovsson, 1989; Nemec *et al.*, 2001), with similar distances for these bonds to those shown in this article.

Nevertheless, the real S–O distance at room temperature for the SO₄²⁻ groups considering a TLS analysis (translation, libration and screw motion) is 1.484 (2) Å (Jacobsen *et al.*, 1998). On the other hand, a riding motion effect study (Jaskólski & Olovsson, 1989) indicates a mean value of 1.262 (3) Å for the NO₃⁻ anion. Both values are greater than our data at 100 K.

3.2. Hydrogen-bond network

The hydrogen-bonding networks were located using *PARST*95 (Nardelli, 1995) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2002) under the conditions: $d(D \cdots A) < 3.20$ Å, $d(H \cdots A) < R(H) + R(A)$, $\alpha(D - H \cdots A) > 100^{\circ}$, where *R* represents the van der Waals radii (1.20 Å for H and 1.40 Å for O; Dean, 1985; Lide, 1999).

A total of 25 hydrogen bonds were found for the 2·1 salt. Selected strong bonds are shown in Table 3 (a complete list is given in the supplementary material). All the H atoms are involved in hydrogen bonds at both temperatures. Increasing the temperature produces modifications shorter than 0.4 Å. At 100 K, the four O atoms in the sulfate anion participate in 12 hydrogen bonds. Eight of them have distances shorter than 2.15 Å and angles greater than 160°. At room temperature, the sulfate maintains six hydrogen bonds shorter than 2.18 Å with an angle greater than 150°.

Nitrates participate in the hydrogen network through the O atoms. Nitrate N1 participates in eight hydrogen bonds. On increasing the temperature, all of them become shorter except for one. Nitrate N2 participates in five hydrogen bonds. On increasing the temperature, all bonds enlarge except for one.

A total of 37 hydrogen bonds were found for the 3.1 salt. Selected strong bonds are shown in Table 3 (a complete list is given in the supplementary material). As in the previous case, every H atom participates in hydrogen bonds at both temperatures and an increase in the temperature leads, in the majority of cases, to alterations in distances of less than 0.4 Å.

The sulfate anion in salt 3·1 behaves very similarly at 100 K to that of salt 2·1. The four O atoms participate in 12 hydrogen bonds, eight of which are shorter than 2.15 Å and present an angle greater than 155° . At room temperature, the sulfate maintains six hydrogen bonds shorter than 2.20 Å with an angle greater than 150° .

Nitrates participate in the hydrogen network through the O atoms. Nitrate N1 participates in six hydrogen bonds, nitrate N2 participates in nine hydrogen bonds, and nitrate N3 participates in ten hydrogen bonds (five with each orientation). Increasing the temperature every hydrogen bond but two in nitrate N3 becomes *weaker* (longer), causing the

populations of both orientations to move closer and increasing significantly the disorder of the anion.

3.3. Sample quantification

Some advances in the semiquantitative analysis of (phosphonate) fertilizers using a calibration curve with an internal standard have been published previously, obtaining standard deviations in the 10–15% range for the major components (Ando & Akiyama, 1964; Ando *et al.*, 1965; Cekinski, 1987). Recently, Highsmith *et al.* (2002) proposed the quantification



Figure 5

Rietveld refinement for samples S2 [double salt 2·1 and AS] (*a*), S3 [double salt 3·1 and AN] (*b*) and S1 [AS and double salts 3·1 and 2·1] (*c*).

of ASN fertilizers using the Matrix Flushing [Normalized RIR] method (Snyder, 1992), but with errors of around 5% and a reproducibility of $\pm 2\%$.

Now, the knowledge of the crystal structures of the double salts 2AN·AS and 3AN·AS allows the use of the Rietveld method for quantifying phases in NSA fertilizers. Validation of this method is shown for several samples used in this study.

3.3.1. Analysis of sample S2 (salt 2.1). From the experimental profile (Fig. 5*a*) we can conclude that this sample is not the 2.1 pure phase. Several peaks from free AS and a peak from an unknown phase ($2\theta \simeq 15.2^{\circ}$) are observed (preli-

minary unpublished results in 2009 seem to indicate a monohydrate double salt of AS and AN). Rietveld refinement shows 1.4 (1)% of free AS with fitting values of $R_{\rm B} = 0.147$ and $R_{\rm F} = 0.080$ for the 2.1 salt and $R_{\rm B} = 0.179$ and $R_{\rm F} = 0.098$ for the ammonium sulfate. The high values of these parameters result from the presence of the unidentified phase and mainly from an overestimation of the experimental intensity in the peaks at low angles (related to the diffractometer used).

3.3.2. Analysis of sample S3 (salt $3 \cdot 1$). The experimental profile shows that the solid contains a low percentage of AN (IV) in addition to the 3.1salt. Three different aliquots of the sample were analysed by means of the Rietveld method (Fig. 5b shows one of them), obtaining a mean composition of 95.1 (9)% of the double salt and 4.9 (2)% of AN. $R_{\rm B} = 0.070$ and $R_{\rm F} = 0.034$ for the 3.1 salt and $R_{\rm B} = 0.049$ and $R_{\rm F} = 0.029$ for the ammonium nitrate. Additional studies were performed using DSC (supplematerial). The mentary presence of the phase-change endotherm II-I at 398 K (Ingman et al., 1982) and the much weaker peak from the phase-change endotherm IV-II $(\sim 325 \text{ K})$ confirm the existence of AN in the sample. Change between IV and III depends on impurities, water content and/ or the thermal history of the solid, lying usually between 305 328 K. and Under some experimental conditions, however, phase II and IV are both metastable with respect to phase III. For more details see Choi *et al.* (1972), Ingman *et al.* (1982), Kim (1997) and Simoes *et al.* (1998).

3.3.3. Analysis of sample S1 (fertilizer). Elemental analysis of the sample shows 98.5% of AN and AS; the other 1.5% are minor phases (iron aluminium sulfates, *anti*-caking stabilizers...). From the Rietveld refinement shown in Fig. 5(*c*), a phase composition of 32.4 (2)% free AS, 17.5 (3)% salt 2·1 and 48.6 (4)% salt 3·1 can be obtained (percentages normalized to 98.5%). The quality of the fit is very high, both graphically and numerically, with $R_{\rm B}$ and $R_{\rm F}$ values of 0.050, 0.029 (free SA), 0.054, 0.038 (salt 2·1) and 0.054, 0.030 (salt 3·1).

4. Conclusions

The structures of the double salts 2AN·AS and 3AN·AS, the main constituents of NSA fertilizers and of aerosol particles, have been solved at room temperature and at 100 K. Both structures are controlled by a dense hydrogen-bonding network with O atoms acting as the acceptors. Both salts present a distribution of alternating layers of anions and cations. In the 3·1 salt, one of the nitrates is disordered in two major orientations.

Increasing the temperature results in a shortening of the b axis in the 3.1 salt as a consequence of the displacement of sulfate and nitrate ions. Increasing the temperature likewise causes equalization of both orientations for the disordered nitrate. Industrial samples of fertilizers may be easily quantified using a Rietveld refinement with the reported crystal structures, obtaining excellent results.

The authors gratefully acknowledge Fertiberia S.A. for supplying samples S1 and S2, FEDER funding, Projects MEC-06-MAT-2006-01997, MEC-06-CTQ2006-14644-C02-01 and *Factoría Española de Cristalización* – CSD2006-00015 for financial support. Our thanks also to the X-ray Single Crystal Diffraction and Thermocalorimetric and Elemental Analysis Units belonging to the University of Oviedo Scientific Technical Services for the measurements carried out at their facilities. The authors also want to acknowledge the co-editor and two anonymous referees for their corrections and suggestions.

References

- AAPFCO (2009). Association of American Plant Food Control Officials, Missouri, USA, http://www.aapfco.org/.
- Amundson, N. R., Caboussat, A., He, J. W., Martynenko, A. V., Savarin, V. B., Seinfeld, J. H. & Yoo, K. Y. (2006). *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 6, 975–992.
- Ando, J. & Akiyama, T. (1964). Bunseki Kagaku, 13, 717-725.
- Ando, J., Smith, J. P., Siegel, M. R. & Jordan, J. E. (1965). J. Agric. Food Chem. 13, 186–195.
- Beurskens, P. T., Beurskens, G., De Gelder, R., Garcia-Granda, S., Israel, R., Gould, R. O. & Smits, J. M. M. (1999). *DIRDIF-99*. Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

- Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38.
- Blessing, R. H. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 421-426.
- Bokii, G. B. & Burovaya, E. E. (1937). Mem. Soc. Russ. Mineral. 66, 45–46.
- Bothe, J. R. & Beyer, K. D. (2007). J. Phys. Chem. A, 111, 12106– 12117.
- Burla, M. C., Caliandro, R., Camilli, M., Carrozzini, B., Cascararno, G. L., De Caro, L., Giacovazzo, C., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (2005). J. Appl. Cryst. 38, 381–388.
- Cekinski, E. (1987). Fertilizantes, 9, 10-13.
- Choi, C. S., Mapes, J. E. & Prince, E. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 1357– 1361.
- Clegg, S. L., Brimblecombe, P. & Wexler, A. S. (1998). J. Phys. Chem. A, **102**, 2137–2154.
- Coates, R. V. & Woodard, G. D. (1963). J. Sci. Food Agric. 14, 398– 404.
- Dean, J. A. (1985). Editor. *Lange's Handbook of Chemistry*, 13th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Ghosh, S. K., Srinivasa, V. K. & Banerjee, B. K. (1965). *Indian J. Phys.* **39**, 143–144.
- Harrison, R. M. & Sturges, W. T. (1984). Atmos. Environ. 18, 1829– 1833.
- Highsmith, R. E., Kweeder, J. A. & Correale, S. T. (2002). US Patent 0095966A1.
- Ingman, J. S., Kearley, G. J. & Kettle, S. F. A. (1982). J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1, 78, 1817–1826.
- Jacobsen, S. D., Smyth, J. R. & Swope, R. J. (1998). Can. Mineral. 36, 1053–1060.
- Jacobsen, S. D., Smyth, J. R. & Swope, R. J. (2003). Phys. Chem. Miner. 30, 321–329.
- Jänecke, E., Eissner, W. & Brill, R. (1927). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 160, 171–184.
- Jaskólski, M. & Olovsson, I. (1989). Acta Cryst. B45, 78-85.
- Jassal, H. S. & Kumar, R. (2004). Fertilizer News, 49, 35-38.
- Kim, J. H. (1997). J. Chem. Eng. Jpn, 30, 336-338.
- Lehr, J. R., Brown, E. H., Frazier, A. W., Smith, J. P. & Thrasher, R. D. (1967). *Chem. Eng. Bull.* 6, 166.
- Lide, D. R. (1999). Editor. *Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 80th ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- Ling, T. Y. & Chan, C. K. (2007). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **41**, 8077–8083.
- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. 39, 453–457.
- Malquori, A. & Cecconi, S. (1964). Chim. Ind. (Milan), 46, 637-647.
- Martin, S. T., Hung, H. M., Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., Spurr, R. J. D., Chance, K. V. & Chin, M. (2004). Atmos. Chem. Phys. 4, 183– 214.
- Martin, S. T., Schlenker, J. C., Malinowski, A., Hung, H. M. & Rudich, Y. (2003). *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **30**, ASC 6/1–6/4.
- Meline, R. S., McCamy, I. W., Graham, J. L. & Sloan, T. S. (1968). J. Agric. Food Chem. 16, 235–240.
- Misovic, J. & Ramasechan, R. (1963). *Glasnik Hem. Drustva Beograd.* **28**, 115–122.
- Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.
- Nemec, I., Císarová, I. & Micka, Z. (2001). J. Solid State Chem. 158, 1–13.
- Nonius BV (1997–2000). *COLLECT*. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Osaka, Y. & Inouye, R. (1925). Jpn. J. Chem. 2, 87-98.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter & R. M. Sweet, Vol. 276, pp. 307–326. New York. Academic Press.
- Oxford Diffraction (1995–2003). *Xcalibur PX Software*. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, England.
- Oxford Diffraction (2006*a*). *CrysAlisPro CCD*. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, England.

- Oxford Diffraction (2006b). CrysAlisPro RED (including ABSPACK). Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, England.
- Rodriguez-Carvajal, J. (1990). *FULLPROF*. Abstracts of the Satellite Meeting on Powder Diffraction of the XV Congress of the IUCr, p. 127, Toulouse, France.
- Roisnel, T. & Rodriguez-Carvajal, J. (2000). *Mater. Sci. Forum*, **378–381**, 118–123.
- Schlenker, J. C., Malinowski, A., Martin, S. T., Hung, H. M. & Rudich, Y. (2004). J. Phys. Chem. A, 108, 9375–9383.
- Schlenker, J. C. & Martin, S. T. (2005). J. Phys. Chem. A, 109, 9980– 9985.
- Schreinemakers, F. A. H. & Hoenen, P. H. J. (1909). *Chem. Week*, **6**, 51–56.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A65, 112-122.
- Simoes, P. N., Pedroso, L. M., Portugal, A. A. & Campos, J. L. (1998). *Thermochim. Acta*, **319**, 55–65.
- Smith, J. P., Lehr, J. R. & Frazier, A. W. (1962). J. Agric. Food Chem. 10, 77–78.
- Smits, J. M. M., Smykalla, C., Buerskens, P. T., Bosman, W. P. & García-Granda, S. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 661–665.
- Snyder, R. L. (1992). Powder Diffr. 7, 186-193.
- Sokolov, V. A. (1938). Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, 1, 123-135.
- Spek, A. L. (2002). PLATON. Utrecht University, The Netherlands.
- Srinivasa, V. K., Ghosh, S. K. & Banerjee, B. K. (1964). *Technology*, **1**, 3–7.
- Wexler, A. S. & Clegg, S. L. (2002). J. Geophys. Res. 107, 4207-4212.