Published for SISSA by 2 Springer

RECEIVED: June 27, 2011 ACCEPTED: August 16, 2011 PUBLISHED: September 13, 2011

# SL(2,Z) symmetries, supermembranes and symplectic torus bundles

<sup>a</sup> Departamento de Física, Universidad de Oviedo, Avda Calvo Sotelo S/n. Oviedo, España
<sup>b</sup> Departamento de Física, Universidad Simón Bolívar, Apartado 89000, Caracas 1080-A, Venezuela
<sup>c</sup> Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Central de Venezuela, A.P. 47270, Caracas 1041-A, Venezuela
<sup>d</sup> Departamento de Física, Universidad de Antofagasta, Aptdo 02800, Chile
E-mail: garciamormaria@uniovi.es, isbeliam@usb.ve, jmpena@ciens.fisica.ucv.ve, arestu@usb.ve

M.P. García del Moral,<sup>a</sup> I. Martín,<sup>b</sup> J.M. Peña<sup>c</sup> and A. Restuccia<sup>b,d</sup>

ABSTRACT: We give the explicit formulation of the 11D supermembrane as a symplectic torus bundle with non trivial monodromy and non vanishing Euler class. This construction allows a classification of all supermembranes showing explicitly the discrete SL(2, Z) symmetries associated to dualities. It hints as the origin in M-theory of the gauging of the effective theories associated to string theories.

KEYWORDS: p-branes, M-Theory

ARXIV EPRINT: 1105.3181

# Contents

1	Introduction	1
<b>2</b>	Supermembranes and symplectic torus bundles	3
3	The supermembrane in the light cone gauge3.1The supermembrane with nontrivial central charges	<b>3</b> 4
4	The supermembrane maps as sections of a symplectic torus bundle	6
5	Conclusion	8

# 1 Introduction

Nonperturbative effects like monopoles, instantons in conventional gauge theories, or dualities in the context of M/string theories rely on global aspects of those theories. Properties like confinement may well be due to non trivial topological aspects as well. Non trivial fibrations have also been used in the context of noncommutative theories, like the noncommutative formulation of the torus [1] as well to characterize compactification spaces useful for string phenomenology, see for example [2, 3].

There is evidence that string theory can be consistently defined in non-geometric backgrounds in which the transition functions between coordinate patches involve not only diffeomorphisms and gauge transformations but also duality transformations [4, 5]. Such backgrounds can arise from compactifications with duality twists [6] or from acting on geometric backgrounds with fluxes with T-duality [5, 7, 8] or mirror symmetry. In special cases, the compactifications with duality twists are equivalent to asymmetric orbifolds which can give consistent string backgrounds [9–11]. In this type of compactifications, T-folds are constructed by using strings formulated on a doubled torus  $T^{2n}$  with n coordinates conjugate to the momenta and the other n coordinates conjugate to the winding modes [7].

In [5, 7] it was argued that a fundamental formulation of string/M-theory should exist in which the T- and U-duality symmetries are manifest from the start. The natural framework for M-Theory would generalize ten- or eleven-dimensional space-time into a higher-dimensional geometry in which auxiliary dimensions would be related to non-metric degrees of freedom. The duality symmetries of string- and M-theory would be discrete geometric symmetries of this generalized space. In particular, it was argued that many massive, gauged supergravities cannot be naturally embedded in string theory without such a framework [4, 8, 12, 13]. Examples of generalized T-folds can be obtained by constructing torus fibrations over base manifolds with non-contractible cycles. In particular, an example is to consider  $S^1$  as base manifold when the monodromy of the theory in the fibres includes a non-geometric element of O(Z) corresponding to a generalised T-duality [14]. For other interesting works emphasizing the relation with U-duality, M-theory and T-folds see [15]– [17]. However, in spite of advances, up to our knowledge, a full-fledged realization of these ideas in terms of the string worldsheet action for String Theory, or in terms of the supermembrane for M-theory is still lacking.

The aim of this paper is to prove that the action of the Supermembrane with nontrivial central charges, whose local structure was given in [18–21] may be globally defined in terms of sections of a symplectic torus bundle with nontrivial monodromy and Euler numbers. The monodromy is given as a representation of the fundamental group of the symplectic base manifold of the supermembrane in the homotopic  $\pi_0$  group of symplectomorphisms of the fiber. In the case we will consider, the latest becomes the SL(2,Z) group acting on the fiber. It defines an automorphism on the fibers providing the global structure of the geometrical setting. The proof involves a nontrivial step in showing that the action of the fiber manifold, is invariant under the Z-module associated to the monodromy.

We think that this global construction, which allows a classification of all supermembranes that can be formulated as symplectic torus bundles with nontrivial monodromy and Euler numbers, is the origin in M-theory of the gauging of the effective theories associated to String theories [22].

The Supermembrane with nontrivial central charges, motivated by the light cone gauge formulation of the Supermembrane in [23-26], introduces a topological restriction on the physical configurations. It defines an associated Chern number. From an algebraic point of view it can be interpreted as a nontrivial central charge in the Supersymmetric algebra. From a geometrical point of view it ensures the existence of a U(1) principle bundle and a monopole connection [27] on it whose curvature is in the Chern class associated to the topological restriction. In this sense, it is a natural way to introduce monopole configurations which stabilizes the supermembrane. In fact, the resulting regularized Hamiltonian has a discrete spectrum, that is the essential spectrum is empty [28-31]. The additional global structure we will consider involves in a manifest way the SL(2,Z) duality group of String theory. Its supermembrane origin was emphasized in [32] in relation with the (p,q)string solutions, see also [21]. The SL(2,Z) group acts on the fiber bundle structure as the cero homotopic group of symplectomorphisms preserving the symplectic form. This action induces a modular transformation on the basis of homology of the fiber, and correspondingly a Mobius transformation on the moduli of Teichmuller space. The final consistency in the construction arises when the global SL(2,Z) structure becomes compatible with the monopole (or central charge) topological restriction.

The paper is structured as follows. In section 2 we show some properties of a symplectic torus bundle. In section 3 we present the local structure of the supermembrane with central charges. In section 4 we show how the hamiltonian of the supermembrane with central charges is invariant under the group of monodromies of the symplectic torus bundle and consequently, it may be formulated in terms of sections of symplectic torus bundles. Section 5 is devoted to conclusions.

## 2 Supermembranes and symplectic torus bundles

A symplectic torus-bundle [33] is a smooth fiber bundle

$$\xi: F \to E \xrightarrow{p} \Sigma \tag{2.1}$$

F is the fiber, which we take to be the 2-torus  $T^2$ . E is the total space and  $\Sigma$  the base manifold which we consider to be a closed, compact Riemann surface. The structure group is the group of symplectomorphisms preserving a given symplectic structure on  $T^2$ . So far, this symplectic fibration naturally fits in a Supermembrane formulation in the light cone gauge since this one is invariant under area preserving diffeomorphisms which are symplectomorphisms preserving the associated symplectic structure. The latest are symplectomorphisms on the base manifold, however in the supermembrane with nontrivial central charges [18] which we will describe in detail in the following sections, these ones correspond to the pull-back of the symplectomorphisms on the fiber. In order to describe global aspects of the supermembrane we introduce a monodromy and the associated Z-module. The monodromy is the natural extension of the monodromy in a torus bundle on a circle as considered by Thurston. We follow here the approach of [33]. Related work may be found in [34–36] and [37].

The action of the structure group on  $T^2$  produces a  $\pi_0(G)$  action on the homology and cohomology groups of  $T^2$ . The homomorphisms  $\pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_0(G)$  give to each homology and cohomology group of  $T^2$ , the structure of  $Z[\pi_1(\Sigma)]$ -module.  $\pi_0(G)$  in the case under consideration is known to be  $\mathrm{SL}(2, Z)$ . Moreover, the action of  $\pi_0(G)$  on  $H_1(T^2)$ , the first homology group, may be identified with the natural action of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, Z)$  on  $Z^2$ . Given any representation  $\rho: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \mathrm{SL}(2, Z)$  we denote  $Z^2_\rho$  the corresponding  $Z[\pi_1(\Sigma)]$ -module.

A theorem, see [33], ensures the existence of a bijective correspondence between the equivalent classes of the symplectic torus bundle together with a representation  $\rho$  inducing the module structure  $Z_{\rho}^2$  on  $H_1(T^2)$  and the elements of  $H^2(\Sigma, Z_{\rho}^2)$ , the second cohomology group of  $\Sigma$  with local coefficients  $Z_{\rho}^2$ . This theorem classifies the symplectic torus bundle  $\xi$  with a representation  $\rho$  in terms of the characteristic class  $C(\xi)$ . In order to formulate the supermembrane with central charges in terms of sections of a symplectic torus bundle with a representation  $\rho$  inducing a  $Z[\pi_1(\Sigma)]$ -module, we have to consider the transformation of its hamiltonian under the action of SL(2, Z) on the homology basis since the moduli of the 2-torus  $T^2$  appear explicitly in the hamiltonian.

# 3 The supermembrane in the light cone gauge

In this section we describe the Supermembrane with non trivial central charges in terms of maps from the base manifold to the target space. It corresponds to a formulation in terms of local sections of a symplectic torus bundle.

The hamiltonian of the D = 11 Supermembrane may be defined in terms of maps  $X^{\mu}$ ,  $\mu = 0, \ldots, 10$ , from a base manifold  $\Sigma \times R$  onto a target manifold which we will assume to be 11D Minkowski.  $\Sigma$  is a Riemann surface of genus g.  $\sigma^a, a = 1, 2$  are local spatial coordinates over  $\Sigma$  and  $\tau \in R$  represents the worldvolume time. Decomposing  $X^{\mu}$  and  $P_{\mu}$  accordingly to the standard Light Cone Gauge (LCG) ansatz and solving the constraints, the canonical reduced hamiltonian of the D = 11 supermembrane is given by

$$H = T^{-2/3} \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{W} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{P_M}{\sqrt{W}} \right)^2 + \frac{T^2}{4} \{ X^M, X^N \}^2 + \sqrt{W} \overline{\theta} \Gamma_- \Gamma_m \{ X^m, \theta \} \right]$$
(3.1)

subject to the constraint

$$\phi \equiv d(P_M dX^M + \overline{\theta} \Gamma_- \theta) = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

and to the global one

$$\phi_0 \equiv \int_{\mathcal{C}_f} P_M dX^M + \overline{\theta} \Gamma_- d\theta = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

where  $C_s$  is a basis of homology on  $\Sigma$ , with  $M = 1, \ldots, 9$ , and  $P_M$  are the conjugate momenta to  $X^M$ .  $\sqrt{W}$  is the scalar density introduced in the LCG,  $\Sigma$  is the base manifold which we take to be a Riemann surface,  $\theta$  represents the 11D Majorana spinors and  $\Gamma_{\mu}$  are the corresponding Dirac matrices. T is the tension of the supermembrane.  $\phi$  and  $\phi_0$  are the generators of the area preserving diffeomorphims homotopic to the identity and they preserve the area element  $\sqrt{W}\epsilon_{ab}d\sigma^a \wedge d\sigma^b$ , a symplectic 2-form.

$$\{X^m, X^n\} = \frac{\epsilon^{ab}}{\sqrt{W}} \partial_a X^m \partial_b X^n \tag{3.4}$$

is the associated symplectic bracket. We now consider the supermembrane wrapped on a compact sector of the target space,<sup>1</sup> restricted by a topological condition: the supermembrane with nontrivial central charges.

#### 3.1 The supermembrane with nontrivial central charges

We consider the Supermembrane on a target space  $M_9 \times T^2$  where  $T^2$  is a flat torus defined in terms of a lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  on the complex plane C:

$$\mathcal{L}: z \to z + 2\pi R(l + m\tau), \tag{3.5}$$

where m, l are integers, R is a real moduli, R > 0, and  $\tau$  a complex moduli  $\tau = Re\tau + iIm\tau$ ,  $Im\tau > 0, T^2$  is defined by  $C/\mathcal{L}$ .  $\tau$  is the complex coordinate of the Teichmuller space for g = 1, that is the upper half plane. The Teichmuller space is a covering of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces, it is a 2g - 1 complex analytic simply connected manifold for genus g Riemann surfaces.

The conformally equivalent tori are identified by the parameter  $\tau$  modulo the Teichmuller modular group, which in the case g = 1 is SL(2, Z). It acts on the Teichmuller space through a Mobius transformation and it has a natural action on the homology group  $H_1(T^2)$ . In order to define a supermembrane with nontrivial central charges we consider maps  $X^m, X^r$  from  $\Sigma$  to the target space, with  $r = 1, 2; m = 3, \ldots, 9$  where  $X^m$  are single

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The supermembrane with winding without any extra topological condition was analyzed in [39].

valued maps onto the Minkowski sector of the target space while  $X^r$  map onto the  $T^2$  compact sector of the target. The necessary winding conditions, in order to define a map onto the  $T^2$ , are:

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}_s} dX = 2\pi R(l_s + m_s \tau) \tag{3.6}$$

where  $dX = dX^1 + idX^2$ ,  $l_s$  and  $m_s$ , s = 1, 2 are integers and  $C_s$  the above mentioned basis of homology for a genus g = 1 Riemann surface. From now on,  $\Sigma$  will be a Riemann surface of genus g = 1. We will denote  $d\hat{X}^r$ , r = 1, 2 a normalized basis of harmonic one forms on  $\Sigma$ :

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}_s} d\widehat{X}^r = \delta_r^s \tag{3.7}$$

We may decompose the closed one-forms  $dX^r$  in terms of harmonic one forms plus exact ones. We obtain from (3.6)

$$dX = 2\pi R(l_s + m_s \tau) d\hat{X}^s + dA \tag{3.8}$$

where dA denotes the exact one-form. We now impose a topological restriction on the winding maps: the irreducible winding constraint,

$$\int_{\Sigma} dX^r \wedge dX^s = n\epsilon^{rs} Area(T^2) \quad r, s = 1, 2$$
(3.9)

where the winding number n is assumed to be different from zero.  $\epsilon^{rs}$  is the symplectic antisymmetric tensor associated to the symplectic 2-form on the flat torus  $T^2$ . In the case under consideration  $\epsilon^{rs}$  is the Levi Civita antisymmetric symbol. An important point implied by the assumption  $n \neq 0$  is that the cohomology class in  $H^2(\Sigma, Z)$  is non-trivial.

It also implies that there is an U(1) nontrivial principle bundle over  $\Sigma$  and a connection on it whose curvature is given by  $d\hat{X}^r \wedge d\hat{X}^s$ . This U(1) nontrivial principal fiber bundle are associated to the presence of monopoles on the worldvolume of the supermembrane explicitly discussed in [27].

The natural scalar density  $\sqrt{W}$  on the geometrical picture we are considering is obtained from the pullback of the symplectic 2-form on  $T^2$  by the map  $\hat{X}^r, r = 1, 2$ ,

$$W = \epsilon_{rs} d\widehat{X}^r \wedge d\widehat{X}^s \equiv \sqrt{W} \epsilon_{ab} d\sigma^a \wedge d\sigma^b$$
(3.10)

where  $\sqrt{W} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{rs} \partial_a \hat{X}^r \partial_b \hat{X}^s \epsilon^{ab}$ .

The symplectomorphisms preserving the canonical symplectic structure on  $T^2$  are then pull-back to symplectomorphisms preserving W on  $\Sigma$ . This is relevant in the construction of the supermembrane with central charges as sections of a symplectic torus bundle. Using  $Area(T^2) = (2\pi R)^2 Im\tau$ , condition (3.9) implies

$$n = det \begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.11)

That is, all integers  $l_s, m_s, s = 1, 2$  are admissible provided they satisfy restriction (3.11).

The supermembrane with non-trivial central charges is invariant under area preserving diffeomorphims homotopic to the identity. In particular, under conformal maps which leave invariant the homology basis on  $\Sigma$ . In fact,  $d\hat{X}^r$  remain invariant and hence the symplectic 2-form in  $\Sigma$ . It is also invariant under diffeomorphisms not homotopic to the identity acting on the homology basis in  $\Sigma$  as SL(2, Z) transformations. In fact if  $d\hat{X}^r(\sigma) \to S_r^s d\hat{X}^r(\sigma)$ with  $[S_s^r] \in SL(2, Z)$ , then the symplectic 2-form W remains invariant. In this case

$$dX \to 2\pi R (l_s + m_s \tau) S_r^s d\hat{X}^r + dA \tag{3.12}$$

where the exact part A transform as a scalar field. Consequently, if we also transform the winding integers by

$$\begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S_1^1 & S_2^1 \\ S_1^2 & S_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.13)

then the harmonic part of dX remains invariant. The SL(2, Z) acts from the right on the winding matrix.

## 4 The supermembrane maps as sections of a symplectic torus bundle

In this section we are going to prove the invariance of the Hamiltonian under the  $Z[\pi_1(\Sigma)]$ module. The hamiltonian of the supermembrane with central charges is given by

$$H = \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{H} = \int_{\Sigma} T^{-2/3} \sqrt{W} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{P_m}{\sqrt{W}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{P_r}{\sqrt{W}} \right)^2 + \frac{T^2}{2} \{X^r, X^m\}^2 + \frac{T^2}{4} \{X^r, X^s\}^2 + \frac{T^2}{4} \{X^m, X^n\}^2 \right] + \text{fermionic terms}$$
(4.1)

subject to (3.2), (3.3), and (3.6), (3.9), where  $X^r$  are sections on the symplectic torus bundle  $\xi$  with structure group G, the symplectomorphims preserving the symplectic 2form on the fibre  $T^2$  defined previously .  $P_r$  are the conjugate momenta to the exact part in the decomposition of  $X^r$ . The integrand depending in  $X^r$ , r = 1, 2 may be re-written in terms of

$$dX = dX^1 + idX^2 \tag{4.2}$$

as

$$\frac{1}{2}\{X, X^m\}\{\overline{X}, X^m\} + \frac{1}{8}\{X, \overline{X}\}\{\overline{X}, X\},$$

$$(4.3)$$

where

$$dX = 2\pi R(l_s + m_s \tau) d\hat{X}^s + dA \tag{4.4}$$

where R and  $\tau$  are the moduli of the  $T^2$ ,  $d\hat{X}^s$ , s = 1, 2 as before are the harmonic basis of  $\Sigma$  and dA is the exact one-form in the Hodge decomposition.  $A = A_1 + iA_2$  carries the physical degrees of freedom of the compact sector. The action of  $\pi_0(G) \equiv \text{SL}(2, Z)$  in  $H_1(T^2)$  is the natural one inducing a Mobius transformation on the upper half plane with complex coordinate  $\tau$ . We now prove that the hamiltonian (4.1) is a well defined functional on the symplectic torus bundle with monodromy  $\rho$ , where  $\rho$  is a representation of  $\pi_1(\Sigma)$  in SL(2, Z) as defined in section 2. In fact, it is invariant under the following transformation on  $T^2$ :

$$\tau \rightarrow \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$$

$$R \rightarrow R|c\tau + d|$$

$$A \rightarrow Ae^{i\varphi_{\tau}}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ -c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$l| = i\varphi_{\tau} = 1 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Omega \quad (0, Z) \quad (1, 1, 1) \quad (21)$$

where  $c\tau + d = |c\tau + d|e^{-i\varphi_{\tau}}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . this invariance was found in [21]. The hamiltonian (4.1) as well as (3.9), (3.6) and the  $Area(T^2)$  are invariant under the above transformation.

Notice that the SL(2, Z) (4.5) acts from the left on  $\begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{pmatrix}$  while the SL(2, Z) invariance on the basis  $\Sigma$ , discussed in previous sections, acts on the right.

Under these transformations the  $det \begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{pmatrix}$  remains invariant. Given  $\begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{pmatrix}$  with determinant  $\neq 0$  there always exist elements of SL(2, Z) whose action from the left and from the right yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S_1^1 & S_2^1 \\ S_1^2 & S_2^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(4.6)

where  $\lambda_1\lambda_2 = n$ . Moreover, if  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are relative primes there always exist elements belonging to SL(2, Z) whose action from the left and the right yield  $\lambda_1 = n$  and  $\lambda_2 = 1$ . If  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are not relative primes one may redefine the parameter R and reduce to the case where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are relative primes. We thus obtain a canonical expression for the hamiltonian (4.1) subject to (3.2), (3.3), and (3.6), (3.9), in terms of sections of the symplectic torus bundle with a monodromy  $\rho$ :

$$H = \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{H} = \int_{\Sigma} T^{-2/3} \sqrt{W} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{P_m}{\sqrt{W}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{P_r}{\sqrt{W}} \right)^2 + \frac{T^2}{2} \{X, X^m\} \{\overline{X}, X^m\} \right]$$
(4.7)
$$+ \left[ \frac{T^2}{8} \{X, \overline{X}\} \{\overline{X}, X\} + \frac{T^2}{4} \{X^m, X^n\}^2 \right] + \text{fermionic terms}$$

where  $dX = 2\pi R(d\hat{X}^1 + n\tau d\hat{X}^2)$ . Although we may have winding numbers  $l_1, l_2, m_1, m_2$  the symmetries of the theory allow to reduce everything to the central charge integer n.

The final point is to determine which representations  $\rho : \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \pi_0(G) \equiv SL(2, Z)$ leave invariant the form of the hamiltonian density in (4.7). The representations  $\rho_n$ :  $\pi_1(\Sigma) \to \mathrm{SL}(2,Z)_n$ , where  $\mathrm{SL}(2,Z)_n$  is the subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}(2,Z)$  whose elements are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & nb \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.8}$$

leave invariant the hamiltonian density in (4.7).  $\rho_n$  characterizes the representations compatible with the topological restriction (3.9) For example, if we take the representation  $\rho: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})_n$  defined in the following way:

$$\pi_1(\Sigma) \ni \begin{pmatrix} M \\ N \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} 1 & nM \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(4.9)

The element of  $H_1(T^2)$  may be given by  $\begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix}$  being p, q integers. Then the natural action of SL(2, Z) on it is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & nM \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p + nMq \\ q \end{pmatrix}$$
(4.10)

The cohomology group  $H^2(\Sigma, Z_{\rho}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , also the central charge condition (3.9) states that we are in the characteristic class  $C(\xi) = n \neq 0$ , consequently, there exists a D = 11 supermembrane with nontrivial central charges formulated in terms of sections of a symplectic torus bundle  $\xi$  with representation (4.9) inducing a  $Z[\pi_1(\Sigma)]$ -module.

### 5 Conclusion

We showed that the Supermembrane with central charges may be formulated in terms of sections of symplectic torus bundles with a representation  $\rho: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  inducing a  $Z[\pi_1(\Sigma)]$ -module in terms of the  $H_1(T^2)$  homology group of the fiber. The representation  $\rho$  may be interpreted as a monodromy on the bundle. The non trivial point in the construction was to prove that the hamiltonian together with the constraints are invariant under the action of SL(2, Z) on the homology group  $H_1(T^2)$  of the fibre 2-torus  $T^2$ . An interesting aspect of this geometrical structure is the possible existence of an extension of the symplectic 2-form on the fiber to the full space of the symplectic torus bundle. A theorem of Khan [33] establishes that the extension exists if and only if the characteristic class is a torsion class in  $H^2(\Sigma, Z_{\rho}^2)$ . In the case of the example of section 4, we conclude that there is not such extension since  $C(\xi) = n$  is not a torsion class. The only one is  $C(\xi) = 0$  which is not compatible with the topological restriction (3.9) of the supermembrane with central charges. Locally we have the usual interpretation of the supermembrane in terms of maps from  $\Sigma$  to the target. Globally we have now a more interesting geometrical structure since the hamiltonian is defined on a non-trivial symplectic torus bundle. Locally the target is a product of  $M_9 \times T^2$  but globally we cannot split the target from the base  $\Sigma$  since  $T^2$ is the fiber of the non trivial symplectic torus bundle  $T^2 \to \Sigma$ . The formulation of the supermembrane in terms of sections of the symplectic torus bundle with a monodromy is

a nice geometrical structure to analyze global aspects of gauging procedures on effective theories arising from M-theory. We noticed the particular case in which the representation  $\rho$  is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{M+N}$$
 (5.1)

the subgroup reduces to  $Z_2 \times Z_2$  and this case was considered in [19, 20] and [40]–[44].

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank for interesting comments to prof. A. Lozada and we are specially indebted for enlighting clarifications to Prof. A. Viña. The work of MPGM is funded by the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (FPA2006-09199) and the Consolider-Ingenio 2010 Programme CPAN (CSD2007-00042). IM and AR acknowledge support from DID-USB. AR acknowledge to the Physics Department of UA (Chile) for financial support.

# References

- P.-M. Ho, Twisted bundle on quantum torus and BPS states in matrix theory, Phys. Lett. B 434 (1998) 41 [hep-th/9803166] [SPIRES].
- [2] B.A. Ovrut, T. Pantev and R. Reinbacher, Torus-fibered Calabi-Yau threefolds with non-trivial fundamental group, JHEP 05 (2003) 040 [hep-th/0212221] [SPIRES].
- [3] R. Blumenhagen, B. Jurke, T. Rahn and H. Roschy, Cohomology of line bundles: applications, arXiv:1010.3717 [SPIRES].
- [4] H. Samtleben, Lectures on gauged supergravity and flux compactifications, Class. Quant. Grav. 25 (2008) 214002 [arXiv:0808.4076] [SPIRES].
- [5] C.M. Hull, Duality and strings, space and time, hep-th/9911080 [SPIRES].
- [6] A. Dabholkar and C. Hull, Duality twists, orbifolds and fluxes, JHEP 09 (2003) 054 [hep-th/0210209] [SPIRES].
- [7] C.M. Hull, A geometry for non-geometric string backgrounds, JHEP 10 (2005) 065 [hep-th/0406102] [SPIRES].
- [8] J. Shelton, W. Taylor and B. Wecht, Nongeometric flux compactifications, JHEP 10 (2005) 085 [hep-th/0508133] [SPIRES].
- [9] A. Flournoy and B. Williams, Nongeometry, duality twists and the worldsheet, JHEP 01 (2006) 166 [hep-th/0511126] [SPIRES].
- [10] S. Kawai and Y. Sugawara, D-branes in T-fold conformal field theory, JHEP 02 (2008) 027 [arXiv:0709.0257] [SPIRES].
- [11] A. Dabholkar and C. Hull, Generalised T-duality and non-geometric backgrounds, JHEP 05 (2006) 009 [hep-th/0512005] [SPIRES].
- [12] C.M. Hull and R.A. Reid-Edwards, Flux compactifications of M-theory on twisted tori, JHEP 10 (2006) 086 [hep-th/0603094] [SPIRES].

- [13] C.M. Hull and R.A. Reid-Edwards, Flux compactifications of string theory on twisted tori, Fortsch. Phys. 57 (2009) 862 [hep-th/0503114] [SPIRES].
- [14] R.A. Reid-Edwards, Flux compactifications, twisted tori and doubled geometry, JHEP 06 (2009) 085 [arXiv:0904.0380] [SPIRES].
- [15] M. Cederwall, *M-branes on U-folds*, arXiv:0712.4287 [SPIRES].
- [16] C.M. Hull, Generalised geometry for M-theory, JHEP 07 (2007) 079 [hep-th/0701203] [SPIRES].
- [17] C.M. Hull, Global aspects of T-duality, gauged  $\sigma$ -models and T-folds, JHEP 10 (2007) 057 [hep-th/0604178] [SPIRES].
- [18] I. Martin, A. Restuccia and R.S. Torrealba, On the stability of compactified D = 11 supermembranes, Nucl. Phys. B 521 (1998) 117 [hep-th/9706090] [SPIRES].
- [19] I. Martin, J. Ovalle and A. Restuccia, D-branes, symplectomorphisms and noncommutative gauge theories, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 102 (2001) 169 [hep-th/0005095] [SPIRES].
- [20] I. Martin, J. Ovalle and A. Restuccia, Compactified D = 11 supermembranes and symplectic non-commutative gauge theories, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 046001 [hep-th/0101236]
   [SPIRES].
- [21] M.P. Garcia del Moral, I. Martin and A. Restuccia, Nonperturbative SL(2, Z) (p, q)-strings manifestly realized on the quantum M2, arXiv:0802.0573 [SPIRES].
- [22] M.P. Garcia del Moral, J.M. Pena and A. Restuccia, in preparation.
- [23] J. Hoppe, Two problems in quantum mechanics, M.Sc. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, U.S.A. (1980).
- [24] B. de Wit, J. Hoppe and H. Nicolai, On the quantum mechanics of supermembranes, Nucl. Phys. B 305 (1988) 545 [SPIRES].
- [25] B. de Wit, U. Marquard and H. Nicolai, Area preserving diffeomorphisms and supermembrane Lorentz invariance, Commun. Math. Phys. 128 (1990) 39 [SPIRES].
- [26] B. de Wit, M. Lüscher and H. Nicolai, The supermembrane is unstable, Nucl. Phys. B 320 (1989) 135 [SPIRES].
- [27] I. Martin and A. Restuccia, Magnetic monopoles over topologically nontrivial Riemann surfaces, Lett. Math. Phys. 39 (1997) 379 [hep-th/9603035] [SPIRES].
- [28] L. Boulton, M.P. Garcia del Moral and A. Restuccia, Discreteness of the spectrum of the compactified D = 11 supermembrane with non-trivial winding, Nucl. Phys. B 671 (2003) 343 [hep-th/0211047] [SPIRES].
- [29] L. Boulton, M.P. Garcia del Moral and A. Restuccia, The supermembrane with central charges: (2+1)-D NCSYM, confinement and phase transition, Nucl. Phys. B 795 (2008) 27
   [hep-th/0609054] [SPIRES].
- [30] L. Boulton and A. Restuccia, The heat kernel of the compactified D = 11 supermembrane with non-trivial winding, Nucl. Phys. B 724 (2005) 380 [hep-th/0405216] [SPIRES].
- [31] L. Boulton, M.P.G. del Moral and A. Restuccia, Spectral properties in supersymmetric matrix models, arXiv:1011.4791 [SPIRES].
- [32] J.H. Schwarz, An SL(2, Z) multiplet of type IIB superstrings, Phys. Lett. B 360 (1995) 13
   [hep-th/9508143] [SPIRES].

- [33] P.J. Khan, Symplectic torus bundles and group extensions, New York J. Math. 11 (2005) 35 [math/0405109].
- [34] H. Geiges, Symplectic structures on  $T^2$ -bundles over  $T^2$ , Duke Math. J. 67 (1992) 539.
- [35] K. Sakamoto and S. Fukuhara, Classification of T<sup>2</sup>-bundles over T<sup>2</sup>, Tokyo J. Math. 6 (1983) 311.
- [36] R. Walczak, Existence of symplectic structures on torus bundles over surfaces, math/0310261.
- [37] W. Thurston, Some simple examples of symplectic manifolds, P. Am. Math. Soc. 55 (1976) 467.
- [38] O. Kravchenko, Deformation quantization of symplectic fibrations, Compos. Math. 123 (2000) 131 [math/9802070].
- [39] B. de Wit, K. Peeters and J. Plefka, Supermembranes with winding, Phys. Lett. B 409 (1997) 117 [hep-th/9705225] [SPIRES].
- [40] M.P. Garcia del Moral and A. Restuccia, On the spectrum of a noncommutative formulation of the D = 11 supermembrane with winding, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 045023
  [hep-th/0103261] [SPIRES].
- [41] L.S. Boulton, M.P. Garcia del Moral, I. Martin and A. Restuccia, On the spectrum of a matrix model for the D = 11 supermembrane compactified on a torus with non-trivial winding, Class. Quant. Grav. 19 (2002) 2951 [hep-th/0109153] [SPIRES].
- [42] J. Bellorín and A. Restuccia, D = 11 supermembrane wrapped on calibrated submanifolds, Nucl. Phys. B 737 (2006) 190 [hep-th/0510259] [SPIRES].
- [43] M.P. Garcia del Moral, J.M. Pena and A. Restuccia, N = 1 4D supermembrane from 11D, JHEP 07 (2008) 039 [arXiv:0709.4632] [SPIRES].
- [44] A. Belhaj, M.P. Garcia del Moral, A. Restuccia, A. Segui and J.P. Veiro, *The supermembrane with central charges on a G2 manifold*, J. Phys. A 42 (2009) 325201
   [arXiv:0803.1827] [SPIRES].