



Characterization of microsatellite markers for the endangered *Daphne rodriguezii* (Thymelaeaceae) and related species

Carlos García-Verdugo^{1,2,5} , Juan Carlos Illera³, and Anna Traveset⁴

Manuscript received 5 March 2019; revision accepted 4 April 2019. ¹ Dept. de Biología, Universitat de les Illes Balears, Ctra.

Valldemossa Km 7.5, Palma 07122, Balearic Islands, Spain

² Jardín Botánico Atlántico – Universidad de Oviedo, Avda. del Jardín Botánico 2230, 33203 Gijón/Xixón, Spain

³Research Unit of Biodiversity (UO-CSIC-PA), Calle Gonzalo Gutiérrez Quirós s/n, 33600 Mieres, Spain

⁴ IMEDEA (CSIC-UIB), Mediterranean Institute for Advanced Studies, Calle Miquel Marquès 21, Esporles, 07190 Balearic Islands, Spain

⁵Author for correspondence: carlosgarciaverdugo@gmail.com

Citation: García-Verdugo, C., J. C. Illera, and A. Traveset. 2019. Characterization of microsatellite markers for the endangered *Daphne rodriguezii* (Thymelaeaceae) and related species. *Applications in Plant Sciences* 7(7): e11274.

doi:10.1002/aps3.11274

PREMISE: The endangered shrub *Daphne rodriguezii* (Thymelaeaceae) is endemic to the Balearic island of Menorca, where fragmentation and severe population decline are ongoing threats to this taxon. We developed a set of microsatellite markers to analyze the fine-scale genetics of its few extant populations.

METHODS AND RESULTS: Fifteen microsatellite markers were obtained through Illumina high-throughput sequencing and tested in two populations. Twelve of these loci showed no evidence of null alleles and were highly polymorphic, with a mean number of 8.3 alleles per locus. Levels of observed and expected heterozygosity ranged from 0.100 to 0.952 and from 0.095 to 0.854, respectively. Seven to nine of these loci were successfully amplified in five other *Daphne* species.

CONCLUSIONS: This set of markers provides a useful tool for investigating the factors driving fine-scale population structure in this threatened species, and it represents a novel genetic resource for other European *Daphne* species.

KEY WORDS Daphne rodriguezii; fine-scale genetic structure; island genetic diversity; paternity analysis; Thymelaeaceae.

The genus *Daphne* L. (Thymelaeaceae) comprises ca. 95 species with Eurasian and North African distributions (Brickell and Mathew, 1998). Several studies have highlighted their ecological and medicinal importance (Xu et al., 2011), but the genetic information available for the genus is still scarce, particularly for the 17 European species recognized thus far (e.g., Alonso and Herrera, 2011).

Daphne rodriguezii Texidor is a perennial shrub endemic to the Balearic island of Menorca. Because the species shows a highly fragmented distribution with severe population decline, the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) has recently reassessed its conservation status from "Vulnerable" to "Endangered" (Fraga i Arquimbau, 2017). The area of occupancy of *D. rodriguezii* is represented by as few as five main populations (<36 km²), all of them restricted to the eastern area of Menorca and the off-shore islet of Colom (Calviño-Cancela et al., 2012; Fraga i Arquimbau, 2017). Apart from its interest for biodiversity conservation, the species has been used as a model system to understand key ecological processes such as the impact of loss of dispersal agents (i.e., lizards) on the maintenance of island populations (Traveset and Riera, 2005; Rodríguez-Pérez and Traveset, 2010). A previous phylogeographic study with plastid and amplified fragment length polymorphism data revealed a strong genetic structure among extant populations, but the unavailability of codominant markers for *D. rodriguezii* limited genetic inferences at the population level (Calviño-Cancela et al., 2012). Generation of fine-scale information with microsatellite markers for this species will provide insights on general patterns of heterozygosity across island systems (García-Verdugo et al., 2015) and will help us understand how dispersal limitation impacts the genetic structure of small island populations (Saro et al., 2019).

METHODS AND RESULTS

Microsatellite development was conducted by Ecogenics GmbH (Balgach, Switzerland) from genomic DNA extracted from silicadried *D. rodriguezii* leaf tissue. An Illumina TruSeq nano DNA library (Illumina, San Diego, California, USA) was prepared following the manufacturer's recommendations and analyzed on an Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform using the Nano $2 \times 250 \text{ v2}$ format. A total of 1,507,789 raw reads were processed. The pairedend reads that passed the Illumina's chastity filter were subject to

				Allele size				GenBank
Locus ^a		Primer sequences (5'-3')	Repeat motif	range (bp)	Α	Multiplex	Fluorescent dye	accession no.
Dro012	F:	CATAATGCTGACGTGGACGG	(CTT) ₉	237-270	11	1	FAM	MK507747
	R:	ATGGAGGCGGGAAACTTAGG	-					
Dro019	F:	CGGAGGGATTCAAACTTGGG	(ATT) ₉	246-258	5	4	FAM	MK507756
	R:	TGTTGACTTCAATTTTTGTGCGG						
Dro025	F:	TAACGGCATTGCAGGTTTTC	(TA) ₂₆	157-159	2	5	FAM	MK507759
	R:	GGGTGTATAGCCCCTACGTC						
Dro028	F:	TAAAAAGCGCCGGACTCAAG	(TCT) ₁₂	175-190	6	2	FAM	MK507752
	R:	AGCTGGTTCCGTACGATGAG						
Dro034	F:	TGGCAGTAGACAACATTAGTGG	(TTA) ₁₇	199–238	12	3	HEX	MK507754
	R:	AGACGTGCTGAAGACAGTTC						
Dro035	F:	AACATCGATTTCTGTCGCGG	(TA) ₁₆	204-210	2	4	HEX	MK507760
	R:	ACGGGGCTTTTTGTGCATTC						
Dro041	F:	GAATCCCAACTGCATCGTGG	(GAT) ₁₄ GG(TGA) ₉	240-261	8	2	FAM	MK507750
	R:	TGGGCTTGTCATGGTAAACG						
Dro042	F:	AGGGTATTTCGTGGGCTGG	(TTA) ₂₁	243-318	17	3	FAM	MK507753
	R:	ACAATGTAAAAAGCAAGAAATCCAC						
Dro046	F:	CCCGCTTTACTTCAGTGTCG	(CAT) ₁₂	180-204	7	3	FAM	MK507755
_	R:	ATCGCTAAGATTCGGGTTGC						
Dro048	F:	CTCCAAACCATTTCCTGAGTCG	(TA) ₁₄	218–236	9	2	HEX	MK507751
_	R:	ACACCACGCCATTTAATTCTCC						
Dro073	F:	GACTGACGATGTCTACATGAGC	(AT) ₂₁	205-217	2	5	HEX	MK507761
	R:	GGTGGAGTACAACCATCCTTTG						
Dro078	F:	TTATGGGCTTAGAGCCACCG	(AT) ₂₂	185–223	15	4	FAM	MK507758
	R:	AAAGTCGCCACCGGAAAATG	(
Dro113	F:	TTTGGCTTTGAACCATCCCG	(ICI) ₁₁	114-132	6	1	FAM	MK507749
	R:	GTCCAAACACCAACTATAATGAAAGC						
Dro114	F:	ACGCTTCCGCAATATGATCG	(TCT) ₁₀	198–234	11	1	HEX	MK507748
0.404	R:	CAGACGATACTGAGGGGTGG	(4.0	-		14/507757
Dro124	F:	AGAGCTTTCCAAGAATTGATGTAG	(AAI) ₂₀	233–287	12	5	FAM	MK507757
	R:	TACCCATGCACGGAGTGTG						

TABLE 1. Characteristics of 15 microsatellite loci developed in Daphne rodriguezii.

Note: A = number of alleles found across all D. rodriguezii samples.

^aOptimal annealing temperature = 56°C for all loci.

de-multiplexing and trimming of Illumina adapter residuals, and subsequently checked with the FastQC v0.11.7 software (Andrews, 2010). Following quality check, paired-end reads were analyzed with the software USEARCH v10.0.240 (Edgar, 2010), which resulted in 99,945 merged reads that were further screened with the software Tandem Repeats Finder v4.09 (Benson, 1999). Microsatellite sequences with a tetra- or trinucleotide of a minimum of six repeat units (or a dinucleotide of at least 10 repeat units) were detected in 4584 merged reads. Primers were designed for 2950 microsatellite regions using Primer3 (Untergasser et al., 2012). From these candidate loci, and with the aim of optimizing marker characterization, we performed an initial step of simple sequence repeat (SSR) polymorphism testing by amplifying 36 loci in a subset of seven D. rodriguezii individuals. These loci were screened based on the motif (i.e., trinucleotide SSRs were preferred over dinucleotide SSRs), the size of the amplified fragment (for optimization of the subsequent design of multiplexed reactions), and their successful amplification in all of the samples. Considering the allele size ranges and the apparent lack of null alleles across the seven individuals tested, we finally used 15 out of the 36 loci to evaluate their usefulness in revealing polymorphism with larger (i.e., population level) sample sizes.

In examining the levels of variability revealed by each SSR locus, we were constrained by the conservation status (EN) of the study species. However, we were able to obtain permissions to sample leaf material from two populations representing size extremes (Calviño-Cancela et al., 2012): (1) the only population

with more than 300 mature individuals (population A) and (2) a population with <50 individuals (population B) (Appendix 1).

TABLE 2. Genetic prop	erties of the	15 microsatellite	markers tested	in two
populations of Daphne ro	driguezii.ª			

	Р	opulat	ion A (<i>n</i> =	22)	P	opulati	on B (<i>n</i> = 2	0)
Locus	N	Α	H _o ^b	H _e	N	Α	Н _о ь	H _e
Dro012	21	9	0.809	0.851	20	6	0.750	0.772
Dro019	22	5	0.636	0.674	20	3	0.450	0.359
Dro025	20	2	0.100	0.095	20	1	0.000	0.000
Dro028	22	5	0.772	0.700	20	6	0.800	0.766
Dro034	21	8	0.630*	0.810	19	8	0.700	0.791
Dro035	20	1	0.000	0.000	20	1	0.000	0.000
Dro041	22	6	0.727	0.736	20	7	0.750	0.741
Dro042	20	11	0.900	0.778	20	10	0.750	0.821
Dro046	22	7	0.500	0.593	20	3	0.450	0.563
Dro048	22	9	0.600	0.751	20	7	0.850	0.805
Dro073	21	1	0.000	0.000	18	1	0.000	0.000
Dro078	22	11	0.772	0.824	20	10 ^c	0.550***	0.826
Dro113	22	6	0.772	0.747	20	5	0.600	0.728
Dro114	21	11	0.801	0.854	19	6	0.400	0.441
Dro124	21	11	0.952	0 844	20	8	0.600	0.646

Note: A = number of alleles detected across *D. rodriguezii* samples; $H_a =$ expected

heterozygosity; H_{o} = observed heterozygosity; n = number of samples tested; N = number of samples with successful amplifications.

^aLocality and voucher information are provided in Appendix 1.

^bAsterisks indicate significant deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium after Bonferroni correction (* ρ < 0.05, *** ρ < 0.001).

^cPresence of null alleles.

Locus	D. laureola (N = 5)	D. cneorum $(N = 2)$	D. gnidium (N = 2)	D. mezerum $(N = 1)$	D. oleoides $(N = 2)$
Dro012	_	279	255	251	257, 271
Dro019	—	_	247		
Dro025	156	136, 151, 163	152, 156, 168	156	154, 156, 162, 164
Dro028	190	235, 239, 253, 289	198		201, 207, 213
Dro034	—		—		
Dro035	204, 206	205, 207	192	204, 206	204, 206
Dro041	181, 184	184	184		184
Dro042	314, 317, 320		164	290	180
Dro046	266		173, 179		
Dro048	—				
Dro073	205, 217	205	205, 217	205, 217	205
Dro078	—	148, 157, 173	_		
Dro113	—			122	107
Dro114	—		—	212	
Dro124	155	—	—	—	233, 253

TABLE 3. Cross-amplification of microsatellite markers developed for Daphne rodriguezii in five closely related species.^a

Note: — = unsuccessful amplification; N = number of samples tested for each species.

^aVoucher and locality information are provided in Appendix 1.

Genomic DNA was extracted using the NucleoSpin Plant II kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) following the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB)–lysis method. PCRs for SSR amplification were set up in 10- μ L reactions, including 1.5 μ L of DNA (2–10 ng/ μ L), 5 μ L of 2× Multiplex PCR Master Mix (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany), and 0.3 μ L (0.3 μ M) of each primer, with the forward primer labeled with a fluorescent dye (Table 1). Reactions were performed on a G-Storm GS2 thermal cycler (Somerton Biotechnology Centre, Somerset, United Kingdom) under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 15 min; followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 72°C for 45 s; and a final extension at 60°C for 30 min.

To test cross-species amplification of D. rodriguezii primers, all 15 SSR loci were amplified in closely related Daphne species (Alonso and Herrera, 2011), including leaf material freshly collected from one population of D. laureola L. and two to three replicates from herbarium samples for D. cneorum L., D. gnidium L., D. mezereum L., and D. oleoides Schreb. (Appendix 1). Rather than testing multiple samples in a closely related species, our aim was to test the cross-amplification of loci using a broader taxonomic coverage. PCR followed the same conditions previously described for D. rodriguezii, but annealing temperatures were chosen based on a temperature gradient protocol ranging from 50-65°C for each primer and Daphne species (see Appendix 2). PCR products were visualized on a 1.5% agarose gel stained with GelRed (Biotium Inc., Fremont, California, USA) and sequenced on an ABI PRISM 3130xl Genetic Analyzer sequencer using a GeneScan 500 LIZ Size Standard (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). GeneMarker 2.4.0 software (SoftGenetics, State College, Pennsylvania, USA) was used for visualizing the electropherograms and performing allele scoring.

The software GENETIX (Belkhir et al., 2001) was used to obtain the number of alleles per locus and estimates of observed and expected heterozygosities. Tests for linkage disequilibrium and potential deviations from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium following a sequential Bonferroni correction for multiple tests were run on GENEPOP 4.7.0 (Rousset, 2008). MICRO-CHECKER 2.2.3 (van Oosterhout et al., 2004) was used to assess the presence of null alleles at each locus and population. At the population level, the number of alleles per locus ranged from one to 11 (Table 2). The level of observed heterozygosity ranged from 0.000 to 0.952, and the level of expected heterozygosity ranged from 0.000 to 0.854 (Table 2). Three loci (Dro025, Dro035, Dro073) were fixed, or nearly so, for a single allele per population. The remaining 12 loci showed substantial levels of polymorphism, with a mean of 8.3 alleles per locus. Only one locus (Dro078) showed significant deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium after sequential Bonferroni correction in population B, most probably because this was the only combination of locus and population for which null alleles were clearly identified by MICRO-CHECKER. Significant (P < 0.001) linkage disequilibrium was found between loci Dro046 and Dro124, but only for population B.

In addition, this panel of microsatellites rendered positive amplifications in a minimum of seven loci per species (Table 3). The limited availability of herbarium samples per species precluded a clear assessment of the levels of polymorphism detected with these markers, but for some species (*D. laureola*, *D. cneorum*, *D. oleoides*), even relatively low sample sizes revealed that at least half of the amplified loci exhibited more than one allele (Table 3).

CONCLUSIONS

The set of microsatellites characterized for *D. rodriguezii* is a powerful, cost-effective tool for detecting substantial levels of genetic variation using a relatively low number of multiplexed reactions, even in small populations. Such a genetic resolution will allow us to assess parentage relationships in forthcoming studies on finescale genetic structure. Additionally, the successful rates of crossamplification of these loci suggest that population genetic studies with these markers could be easily extended to other closely related *Daphne* species.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank J. P. González-Varo for field assistance, and L. Viesca and E. Cires for laboratory assistance. The department of Servei de Protecció d'Espècies (Govern de les Illes Balears) provided

legal permission for population sampling. J.C.I. was funded by a GRUPIN research grant from the Regional Government of Asturias (Ref.: IDI/2018/000151), and C.G.-V. was supported by a Vicenç Mut postdoctoral fellowship awarded by the Govern de les Illes Balears–Conselleria d'Innovació, Recerca i Turisme. The study was funded by the Ministerio de Economía, Industria y Competitividad (project Islet-Foodwebs CGL2017-88122-P).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

C.G.-V. and A.T. planned the study and collected plant tissue, J.C.I. and C.G.-V. conducted laboratory work and allele scoring, and C.G.-V. performed the analyses and wrote the manuscript, with input from J.C.I. and A.T.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY

The primers and microsatellite sequences developed in this study have been deposited in GenBank (accession numbers MK507747– MK507761; Table 1). Raw sequence library data were deposited in the Short Read Archive of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (BioProject accession number: PRJNA523502).

LITERATURE CITED

- Alonso, C., and C. M. Herrera. 2011. Back-and-forth hermaphroditism: Phylogenetic context of reproductive system evolution in subdioecious Daphne laureola. Evolution 65: 1680–1692.
- Andrews, S. 2010. FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Website http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/ [accessed 17 July 2018].
- Belkhir, K., P. Borsa, J. Goudet, L. Chikhi, and F. Bonhomme. 2001. GENETIX, Logiciel sous Windows[™] pour la genetique des populations.

Laboratoire Genome et Populations, Universite de Montpellier II, Montpellier, France.

- Benson, G. 1999. Tandem repeats finder: A program to analyze DNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Research 27: 573–580.
- Brickell, C. D., and B. Mathew. 1998. *Daphne*. The genus in the wild and in cultivation. Alpine Garden Society, Birmingham, United Kingdom.
- Calviño-Cancela, M., M. Escudero, J. Rodríguez-Pérez, E. Cano, P. Vargas, G. Velo-Antón, and A. Traveset. 2012. The role of seed dispersal, pollination and historical effects on genetic patterns of an insular plant that has lost its only seed disperser. *Journal of Biogeography* 39: 1996–2006.
- Edgar, R. C. 2010. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics* 26: 2460–2461.
- Fraga i Arquimbau, P. 2017. Daphne rodriguezii. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2017: e.T161922A103535606. https://doi.org/10.2305/ iucn.uk.2017-3.rlts.t161922a103535606.en
- García-Verdugo, C., M. Sajeva, T. La Mantia, C. Harrouni, F. Msanda, and J. Caujapé-Castells. 2015. Do island plant populations really have lower genetic variation than mainland populations? Effects of selection and distribution range on genetic diversity estimates. *Molecular Ecology* 24: 726–741.
- Rodríguez-Pérez, J., and A. Traveset. 2010. Seed dispersal effectiveness in a plant–lizard interaction and its consequences for plant regeneration after disperser loss. *Plant Ecology* 207: 269–280.
- Rousset, F. 2008. GENEPOP: A complete re-implementation of the GENEPOP software for Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8: 103–106.
- Saro, I., C. García-Verdugo, M. A. González-Pérez, A. Naranjo, A. Santana, and P. A. Sosa. 2019. Genetic structure of the Canarian palm tree (*Phoenix ca-nariensis*) at the island scale: Does the 'island within islands' concept apply to species with high colonisation ability? *Plant Biology* 21: 101–109.
- Traveset, A., and N. Riera. 2005. Disruption of a plant-lizard seed dispersal system and its ecological effects on a threatened endemic plant in the Balearic Islands. *Conservation Biology* 19: 422–431.
- Untergasser, A., I. Cutcutache, T. Koressaar, J. Ye, B. C. Faircloth, B. Remm, and S. G. Rozen. 2012. Primer3—new capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic Acids Research* 40: e115.
- van Oosterhout, C., W. F. Hutchinson, D. P. M. Wills, and P. Shipley. 2004. MICRO-CHECKER: Software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4: 535–538.
- Xu, W. C., J. G. Shen, and J. Q. Jiang. 2011. Phytochemical and biological studies of the plants from the genus *Daphne. Chemistry and Biodiversity* 8: 1215–1233.

APPENDIX 1. Voucher and location information for species and populations used in the characterization of microsatellite markers for *Daphne rodriguezii* and related species.

Taxon (Population)	Voucher specimen accession no.ª	Collection locality	Geographic coordinates	N
Daphne rodriguezii Texidor (popA)	JBAG8300	Colom, Menorca	39°57.5'N, 04°16.9'E	22
Daphne rodriguezii (popB)	JBAG8301	Mesquida, Menorca	39°54.5'N, 04°17.0'E	20
Daphne cneorum L.	JBAG656	Valle del Soba, Cantabria	43°09.5'N, 03°34.1'W	3
Daphne gnidium L.	JBAG877	Dumbría, La Coruña	43°00.9'N, 09°07.4'W	3
Daphne laureola L.	JBAG8299	Ponga, Asturias	43°12.7'N, 05°05.5'W	5
Daphne mezereum L.	JACA78470	Canfranc, Huesca	42°42.2'N, 00°34.1'W	2
Daphne oleoides Schreb.	JBAG384	La Rapa, Granada	37°20.1'N, 02°50.2'W	2

Note: N = number of individuals initially assayed (some herbarium samples did not provide clear amplifications and were not used for polymorphism testing; see Table 3). ^aAll herbarium specimens are deposited at the Jardín Botánico Atlántico herbarium (JBAG), Asturias, Spain, including one donation from the Instituto Pirenaico de Ecología herbarium (JACA), Jaca, Spain.

APPENDIX 2. O	ptimal PCR	annealing temperatu	res °c used fo	r cross-species	amplification	of microsatellite	markers	developed for	or Daphne	rodriguezii	in five
closely related s	species.										

Locus	D. cneorum	D. gnidium	D. laureola	D. mezereum	D. oleoides
Dro012	55.7	52.6	MB	52.6	60.2
Dro019		55.7	—		—
Dro025	59.0	59.0	59.0	59.0	59.0
Dro028	50.0	60.0	60.0	—	50.0

APPENDIX 2. (Continued)

Locus	D. cneorum	D. gnidium	D. laureola	D. mezereum	D. oleoides
Dro034		_	MB		_
Dro035	63.6	59.0	59.0	59.0	59.0
Dro041	51.0	50.0	50.0		50.1
Dro042		55.7	60.0	51.2	51.2
Dro046		51.2	50.1		—
Dro048					_
Dro073	59.0	59.0	59.0	59.0	59.0
Dro078	50.1		MB		_
Dro114	MB	MB	MB	60.0	MB
Dro113		—	—	59.1	60.2
Dro124	—	—	52.6	—	55.7

Note: — = unsuccessful amplification; MB = multiple bands.