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ABSTRACT

The organometallic derivatives of poly(styrene-co-4vinylpyridine), PS-co-4-PVP, of the general formula: [(CH₂CH(C₅H₅)₅][CH₂CH(C₅H₅N•MLₙ)]ₙ; MLₙ = W(CO)₅, (1), CpRu(PPh₃)₂, (2), CpFe(dppe)₃, (3), Cp₂TiCl (4) and CH₂-C₂H₂-Mn(CO)₅ (5) were prepared from the respective organometallic and the co-polymer [(CH₂CH(C₅H₅)₅][CH₂CH(C₅H₅)₅N•MLₙ)]ₙ. The solid state pyrolysis of these derivatives under air and at 800 °C give rise to micro and nanostructured metal oxides WO₃, RuO₂, TiO₂, MnO₂ and the iron (III) phosphate (FePO₄) in the case of the iron precursor. The pyrolytic products were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and energy dispersive X-ray scattering (EDX) and infrared spectroscopy (IR). From the TG (thermal gravimetric) and DSC (differential scanning calorimetry) data a possible mechanism for the formation of the solid nanostructured materials is discussed.

The method appears to be a reliable and general way to obtain nanostructured metal oxide in solid-state which could be potentially and easily incorporated in solid-state electronics, catalysts and sensor devices.
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INTRODUCTION

In recent years intensive research attention has been increase draw in an effort to synthesize micro- and nanomaterials for their fundamental size, morphology-dependent magnetic properties and many important technological applications which are derived from their low dimensionality combined with the quantum confinement effect. Metal oxides, in particular, have attracted great interest for their applications as anode materials for lithium batteries, catalysis, sensors, solar cells, solid-state transistors and metal oxide removal. Although several solution methods to prepare nanostructured metal oxides have been reported, few solid-state route have appeared. The ability to rationally prepare metallic and metal oxide nanoparticles stems from the exploring methods for alternative nanoscale metal deposition in solid-state nanoelectronics and nanotechnology and the benefit of being able to deposit both metals and dielectric or semiconducting oxides, both from the same base route. Issues including limitations on good mechanical and thermal stability of nanoscale metals have been found to be related to certain deposition methods for these metals.

Poly(styrene-co-4vinylpyridine) (see figure 1) is an interesting copolymer due to the vinylpyridine block which binds metal ions and the styrene groups which then form shells, leading to stable macromolecular complexes. Although the PS-co-4-PVP has been used in solution as template/stabilizer of metals and other solutions, no reported experimental data are available concerning its use as solid-state template/stabilizer of nanoparticles. In this work we report the first example of Poly(styrene-co-4vinylpyridine) as solid-state template for the formation of metallic oxides micro and nanoparticles.

In this work we described a useful and general solid-state methods to prepare metal oxides micro and nanoparticles from the pyrolysis of the macromolecular organometallic-complexes [(CH₂CH(C₅H₅)₅][CH₂CH(C₅H₅N•MLₙ)]ₙ; MLₙ = W(CO)₅, CpRu(PPh₃)₂, CpFe(dppe)₃, Cp₂TiCl and CH₂-C₂H₂-Mn(CO)₅. The poly(styrene-co-4vinylpyridine) co-polymer can therefore act as a solid-state template which after combustion goes away as volatile products. Although isolated method to prepare nanostructured metal oxides have been reported, no general solid-state way have appeared. The here reported method constitute a easy and general way toward micro and nanostructured metal oxide.

In the last year, organometallic derivatives of oligo and polyphosphazenes have also shown to be useful solid-state precursors of M₄, M₂O₃ and M₂P₂O₇ nanomaterials. Solid-state pyrolysis of organometallic derivatives at 800 °C affords metallic nanostructures. However, due to the presence of phosphorus in the polymeric chain, the nanostructured materials usually involve phosphates and/or pyrophosphates phases. Therefore, if we want to obtain pure metal oxides or metal nanoparticles, a polymer not containing phosphorus could be desirable. It is expected that phosphorus-less organic polymers have the potential to be good solid-state template of metallic and organometallic-macromolecular complexes during their pyrolysis. The aim of this work is to prepare pure metal oxides nanoparticles from the solid-state pyrolysis of the macromolecular organometallic-complexes [(CH₂CH(C₅H₅)₅][CH₂CH(C₅H₅N•MLₙ)]ₙ; MLₙ = W(CO)₅, CpRu(PPh₃)₂, CpFe(dppe)₃, Cp₂TiCl and CH₂-C₂H₂-Mn(CO)₅. It is thus anticipated that in the precursors containing phosphorus atoms (as auxiliary ligands or as counterions), metal phosphates or metal pyrophosphates nanoparticles could eventually also be obtained.

The poly(styrene-co-4vinylpyridine) co-polymer can therefore acts as a solid-state template which after combustion goes away as volatile products.

EXPERIMENTAL

Physical-Chemical Measurements

All reactions were carried out under dinitrogen using standard Schlenk
techniques. IR spectra were recorded on an FT-IR Perkin-Elmer Spectrum BX spectrophotometer. Solvents were dried and purified using standard procedures. The polymer \([\text{[CH}_2\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_3]\text{N}]_n\text{CH}_2\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_3]\text{N}]_n\) was pelletized and used in TG analysis. The polymer mixtures were prepared by a method previously reported. \(^3\) Thermodifferential analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements were performed on a Mettler TA 4000 instrument and Mettler DSC 30 differential scanning calorimeter, respectively. The polymer mixtures were heated at a rate of 10 °C/min from ambient temperature to 800 °C under a constant flow of nitrogen. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out at room temperature on a Siemens D-5000 diffractometer with 0.20 geometry. The XRD data was collected using Cu-Kα radiation (40 kV and 30 mA) with a Siemens XReactor 3000 instrument and the XRD patterns were compared to a standard 0.9 mm. The solid was washed with n-hexane and diethylether. The resulting yellow solid was dried under a lamp.

Preparation of the organometallic precursors

Preparation of \([\text{[CH}_2\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_3]\text{N}]_n\text{CH}_2\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_3]\text{N}]_n\) \((1)\):

To a solution of W(CO), MeOH, and MeOH (0.073 g, 0.50 mmol) in MeOH (75 ml) was added and the mixture stirred for 2.5 h. The solution was evaporated under reduced pressure and the solid was washed with n-hexane and diethylether. The resulting yellow solid was dried under vacuum at room temperature. Yield 67%. Anal. Calc. C : H : N : C 3.79 % ; H 2.33 % ; N 5.10%. Found C : H : N 3.85 % ; H 2.32 % ; N 5.19% . IR (KBr pellet, cm\(^{-1}\)) 3089m, 2927m, v(CO) 2071vw, 1974w, 1926vs, (py coordinated) 1637s, 1436m, 1181m, 1169w, 1097,vw 1088vw, 999.5s, 844 vs, 743vs , 67%.

Preparation of \([\text{[CH}_2\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_3]\text{N}]_n\text{CH}_2\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_3]\text{N}]_n\) \((2)\):

To a solution of CPu(PPh\(_3\))\(_2\)Cl (0.55 g, 0.758 mmol) in CH\(_2\text{Cl}_2\) (75 ml) 0.073 g, 0.099 mmol of the polymer were added, in presence of NH\(_2\text{PF}_3\), (0.18 g) and the mixture stirred for 3 h. The solution was evaporated under reduced pressure and the solid was washed with n-hexane and diethylether. The resulting yellow solid was collected from a solution and dried under vacuum at room temperature. Yield 12 %. IR (KBr pellet, cm\(^{-1}\)) 3045m, 2932m, v(CO) 2071vw, 1974w, 1926s, (py coordinated) 1616s, 1475w, 1169 w, 1097, 1068yw, 976s, 959s, 893s, 812vs.

Preparation of \([\text{[CH}_2\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_3]\text{N}]_n\text{CH}_2\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_3]\text{N}]_n\) \((3)\):

To a solution of CPu(PPh\(_3\))\(_2\)Cl (0.55 g, 0.758 mmol) in CH\(_2\text{Cl}_2\) (75 ml) 0.073 g, 0.099 mmol of the polymer were added, in presence of NH\(_2\text{PF}_3\), (0.18 g) and the mixture stirred for 3 h. The solution was evaporated under reduced pressure and the solid was washed with n-hexane and diethylether. The resulting yellow solid was collected from a solution and dried under vacuum at room temperature. Yield 12 %. IR (KBr pellet, cm\(^{-1}\)) 3045m, 2932m, v(CO) 2071vw, 1974w, 1926s, (py coordinated) 1616s, 1475w, 1169 w, 1097, 1068yw, 976s, 959s, 893s, 812vs.

Preparation of \([\text{[CH}_2\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_3]\text{N}]_n\text{CH}_2\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_3]\text{N}]_n\) \((4)\):

To a solution of CPu(PPh\(_3\))\(_2\)Cl (0.55 g, 0.758 mmol) in CH\(_2\text{Cl}_2\) (75 ml) 0.073 g, 0.099 mmol of the polymer were added, in presence of NH\(_2\text{PF}_3\), (0.18 g) and the mixture stirred for 3 h. The solution was evaporated under reduced pressure and the solid was washed with n-hexane and diethylether. The resulting yellow solid was collected from a solution and dried under vacuum at room temperature. Yield 12 %. IR (KBr pellet, cm\(^{-1}\)) 3045m, 2932m, v(CO) 2071vw, 1974w, 1926s, (py coordinated) 1616s, 1475w, 1169 w, 1097, 1068yw, 976s, 959s, 893s, 812vs.

Preparation of \([\text{[CH}_2\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_3]\text{N}]_n\text{CH}_2\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_3]\text{N}]_n\) \((5)\):

To a solution of CPu(PPh\(_3\))\(_2\)Cl (0.55 g, 0.758 mmol) in CH\(_2\text{Cl}_2\) (75 ml) 0.073 g, 0.099 mmol of the polymer were added, in presence of NH\(_2\text{PF}_3\), (0.18 g) and the mixture stirred for 3 h. The solution was evaporated under reduced pressure and the solid was washed with n-hexane and diethylether. The resulting yellow solid was collected from a solution and dried under vacuum at room temperature. Yield 12 %. IR (KBr pellet, cm\(^{-1}\)) 3045m, 2932m, v(CO) 2071vw, 1974w, 1926s, (py coordinated) 1616s, 1475w, 1169 w, 1097, 1068yw, 976s, 959s, 893s, 812vs.

The residual mass of the precursors 1-5 was investigated by TG analysis. A representative TG curve for precursor 1 is shown in figure 2.

**Table 1** Selected Infrared data for the macromolecular precursor 1-5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Precursor</th>
<th>v(py)</th>
<th>v(CO)</th>
<th>v(CH(_2\text{H}_2))</th>
<th>v(PF(_3))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>1604</td>
<td>2071</td>
<td>1974, 1926</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>1616</td>
<td>1088</td>
<td>836</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>1609</td>
<td>1098</td>
<td>844</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>1637</td>
<td>1049</td>
<td>847</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>1947</td>
<td>1855</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 2: TG curve for the precursor \([\text{[CH}_2\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_3]\text{N}]_n\text{CH}_2\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_3]\text{N}]_n\text{CH}_2\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_3]\text{N}]_n\)\(_{10}\text{PF}_3\)](image-url)
The curve exhibits a primary mass loss around 311 °C which can be attributed to the oxidation of the organic matter. The previous smaller weight loss around 80 °C can be assigned to the loss of residual solvent molecules. A strong weight loss at 526 °C can be assigned to loss of the CO groups from the W(CO) moiety, calculated 31.8% and found 29.9%. In agreement with this, exothermic peaks were observed in the DSC curve at 303 °C, 458 °C and 514 °C, see Electronic supplementary materials S1. The exothermic peak at 458 °C can be attributed to the carbonization of the organic matter. The final mass residue was 52.7% which is in approximate agree with the calculated for the formation of WO₃, 58.39%. The small difference can be due to a small amount of carbon arise from the incomplete combustion of the precursor (1). For the other precursors a similar TG/DSC behavior holds (See table 1 of Electronic supplementary materials, S1 which summarizes the data for the other precursors). Pyrolytic residues, in general, are in agreement with the respective formulation of the product, i.e. the metal oxides WO₃, RuO₂, TiO₂, Mn₃O₄ and the iron (III) phosphate FePO₄.

Main products of pyrolysis from the precursors 1-5 were identified by X-ray diffraction. In Table 2 the composition of the products are summarized along with some morphological as well as size characteristics.

**Table 2 Summary of the morphology and particle size data for the pyrolytic products from precursors 1-5.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Precursor</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Particle size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>WO₃</td>
<td>Grains fused</td>
<td>200-600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>RuO₂</td>
<td>porous</td>
<td>60-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>FePO₄</td>
<td>Grains joined</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>dense</td>
<td>Agglomerates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>Mn₃O₄</td>
<td>porous</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A detailed discussion of the pyrolytic materials from each of the precursors is given below.

1. [{CH₂CH(C₅H₅)}₉(CH₂CH(C₅H₅)₄N⁺W(CO)₅)]₉(n) (1)

Pyrolysis of precursor (1) under air and at 800 °C affords pure WO₃. The XRD shown in figure 1a, exhibits clearly the pattern of WO₃. The main peaks corresponding to (002), (020), (200), (202), (120), (112) and (400) of monoclinic WO₃ (ICDD Card Nr.01-083-pure phase 09509) are clearly observed as is shown in figure 3a. The less intense peaks −for reason of clarity− were not indicated in the figure, but all can be indexed to WO₃ phase. Few pure WO₃ phases have been reported. Lu reported a nearly pure monoclinic WO₃ from calcinations of H₂WO₃ at 500 °C 45 with a similar X-ray diffraction to that shown in figure 3a. Monoclinic WO₃ was also obtained by an arc discharge method from W. 41

Morphology analysis by SEM (see Fig. 3b) evidences a fused-grain material. EDS analysis exhibits the expected presence of W and O, see figure 3c. TEM image indicates an agglomeration of clusters of WO₃ nanoparticle with sizes around 200 nm for the smaller species and 600 nm for the larger ones see figure 3d.

2. [{CH₂CH(C₅H₅)}₉(CH₂CH(C₅H₅)₄N⁺CpRu(PPh₃)₅)]PF₆ (2)

Pyrolysis of this precursor affords a XRD consistent with the presence of the tetragonal RuO₂ phase. The main two typical (110) and (101) diffraction peaks corresponding to tetragonal RuO₂ were observed, 42-45 as is shown in figure 4a. The enhancing of the (101) orientation, respect to the bulk material is consistent with the presence of nанostructured domains. 42

Although the macromolecular precursor contains phosphorus from the triphenylphosphine ligand, no metallic phosphates were observed after their pyrolysis.

SEM of the thus obtained RuO₂ exhibits a porous morphology as shown in figure 4b. On the other hand, TEM image exhibits a linear arrangement of nearly circular nanoparticles with size in the range 100-60 nm as is shown in figure 4c. Nanostructured RuO₂ exhibits interesting properties such as low resistivity, high chemical and thermodynamic stability under electrochemical environment. The most known application of RuO₂ is as an electrode in energy storage electrochemical supercapacitors. 42-44

3. [{CH₂CH(C₅H₅)}₉(CH₂CH(C₅H₅)₆N⁺CpFe(dppe)(PF₆)] (3)

Pyrolysis of precursor (3) affords nanostructured FePO₄ as can be observed from figure 5a, and further confirmed from the characteristics Bragg diffraction peaks, (100), (012), (104), (112) corresponding to hexagonal FePO₄. 48-50 Minor intensity peaks can be due to traces of unidentified Fe phases. The formation...
of FePO₄ and the absence of pure iron oxides arises from the presence of P in the ligand dppe.  

SEM images indicate a porous 3-D network as is shown in figure 5b. The EDAX confirmed the presence of Fe, P and O atoms as is shown in figure 5c. The TEM images (Fig. 5d) evidence the presence of agglomerates composed of nanoparticles with various shapes and sizes.

FePO₄ is an interesting material due to its use in catalysis, waste water purification systems, ferroelectrics and lithium batteries.

Most typical preparation methods for nanostructured FePO₄ involved coprecipitation 44 by a solvothermal approach using dodecyl sulfate as template 44 and using microwave irradiation to a solution containing (NH₄)₂Fe(SO₄)₂·6H₂O and H₃PO₄ in presence of CTAB as stabilizer. 45 All of these methods are in solution and no solid-state methods to obtain these types of Fe nanoparticles have been reported.

\[ \text{[(CH}_3\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_5)_2\text{N}^+\text{CpTiCl}^-\text{PF}_6^-)_\text{n}] \cdot \text{CH}_2\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_5)_2\text{N}^-\text{CpTiCl}^-\text{PF}_6^- \text{H}_2\text{O} \cdot (\text{CH}_2\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_5)_2\text{N}^-\text{CpTiCl}^-\text{PF}_6^-)_\text{n} \] (4)

For the pyrolytic products of precursor of 4, the (101), (103), (004), (112), (200), (105), (211) diffraction lines, which are characteristic of anatase-TiO₂, were observed, see Electronic supporting information S_{50-55}. No significant amounts of other TiO₂ brookite or rutile 49 phases were found. However, some residues from another TiO₂ might be present as previously observed in other TiO₂ preparations. 51

The morphology analysis by SEM exhibits a dense shape. The TEM images indicate the presence of only some big agglomerates. Despite several preparation methods of nanostructured TiO₂ have been reported 50-55 few solid state routes are known. 56 Titania nanocrystals have received great attention in recent years for their extensive applications in conventional catalyst support, optics, cosmetics and solar cells. 50-55 Most of these applications require their direct incorporation into solid-state devices.

\[ \text{[(CH}_3\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_5)_2\text{N}^-\text{CpRu(PPh}_3^-\text{Mn(CO)}_2^-\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{Cl}_2^-\text{H}_2\text{O} \cdot (\text{CH}_2\text{CH(C}_2\text{H}_5)_2\text{N}^-\text{CpRu(PPh}_3^-\text{Mn(CO)}_2^-\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{Cl}_2^-\text{H}_2\text{O})_\text{n} \] (5)

The pyrolytic product exhibits the typical XRD diffraction peaks of cubic Mn₃O₄ at 2θ = (211), (222), (321) and (400) 54-56, see figure 6a. Minor intensity peaks can be due to traces of unidentified Mn phases. The morphology analysis by SEM exhibits a 3-D grain network as is shown in figure 6b. EDS analysis confirms the presence of Mn and O, see figure 6c. The TEM images show a diverse arrangement of near circular nanoparticles joined in various shapes and showing a broad range of sizes as is shown in figure 6d.

\[ \text{Pyrolysis mechanism} \]

The probable formation mechanism of WO₃, RuO₂, TiO₂, MnO₂ and the iron (III) phosphate FePO₄ nanoscale materials described here involves the cross-linking 54 of the PSP-co-4-PVP chains by the organometallic metal centers during the initial annealing step, followed by the carbonization of the organic matter to produce holes where the metal centers begin to coarsen and grow. 57 Carbonization of the organic matter usually occurs in the pyrolysis of metallic and organometallic derivatives of polymers around 350 °C. 57 Additionally, some incomplete degree of carbonization can produce a carbon host 57 where the nanoparticles are subsequently stabilized in solid state. This carbon matrix formed during this solid state synthetic method constitutes the analogue of the stabilization effect exploited in the synthesis of nanoparticles and nanocrystals in solution, which is typically provided by a coordination stabilizer such as TOPO, TOP, alkylamines, alkylthiols and related ligands. 58

\[ \text{CONCLUSIONS} \]

Macromolecular organometallic derivatives of poly(styrene-co-4-vinylpyridine), PS-co-4-PVP, of the general formula: \{[(CH₃CH(C₂H₅)₂N⁺CpTiCl⁻PF₆⁻)ₙCH₃CH(C₂H₅)₂N⁻CpTiCl⁻PF₆⁻H₂O]ₙ\} (1), (2), (3), C₆H₅TiCl (4) and CH₃C₂H₅-Mn(CO)₃ (5) are useful precursors of the micro and nanostructured materials WO₃, RuO₂, TiO₂, MnO₂ and the iron (III) phosphate FePO₄ for the case of iron precursor. In general, pure micro and nanostructured oxides can be obtained except when the metallic salt contains phosphorus atoms. In most cases the nanoparticles are somewhat large and in some cases form agglomerates. The smallest particles correspond to RuO₂.

The synthesis reported here may constitute a useful and general method to obtain metallic oxides micro and nanoparticles in solid-state. Solid-state methods to produce metallic nanoparticles are necessary to incorporate the particles into solid-state device such as electronic parts, sensors, high temperature catalysts, etc. 59-62 Thus, the chemical and mechanical stability of these materials are crucial for the fabrication of nanodimensional optoelectronic circuits and optical memory with ultrahigh recording speed and
storage density. Experiments to include these micro and nanostructured metal oxide and FePO₄ into solid matrix are in course.
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