Search for resonant $t\bar{t}$ production in lepton+jets events in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV

The CMS collaboration

E-mail: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch

ABSTRACT: A model-independent search for the production of heavy resonances decaying into top-antitop quark pairs is presented. The search is based on events containing one lepton (muon or electron) and at least two jets selected from data samples corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 4.4-5.0 fb$^{-1}$ collected in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. Results are presented from the combination of two dedicated searches optimized for boosted production and production at threshold. No excess of events is observed over the expected yield from the standard model processes. Topcolor $Z'$ bosons with narrow (wide) width are excluded at 95% confidence level for masses below 1.49 (2.04) TeV and an upper limit of 0.3 (1.3) pb or lower is set on the production cross section times branching fraction for resonance masses above 1 TeV. Kaluza-Klein excitations of a gluon with masses below 1.82 TeV (at 95% confidence level) in the Randall-Sundrum model are also excluded, and an upper limit of 0.7 pb or lower is set on the production cross section times branching fraction for resonance masses above 1 TeV.
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1 Introduction

The top quark is the heaviest known fermion, making it a powerful benchmark to extend our understanding of the origin of mass. Because of its large mass, the top quark plays a central role in several theories beyond the standard model (SM). These theories predict the existence of heavy resonances that manifest themselves as an additional resonant component to the SM $t\bar{t}$ production. Examples of such resonances, which decay preferentially into $t\bar{t}$, include models with massive color-singlet Z-like bosons in extended gauge theories [1–3], colorons [4–7] or axigluons [8, 9], models in which a pseudoscalar Higgs boson may couple strongly to top quark [10], and models with extra dimensions, such as Kaluza-Klein (KK) excitations of gluons [11] or gravitons [12] in various extensions of the Randall-Sundrum model [13].

Recent models [14–18] aimed at explaining the $t\bar{t}$ charge asymmetry observed at the Tevatron [19–22] predict resonances in the 0.7-3 TeV mass range with production cross sections of the order of a few pb and add renewed interest to the sub-TeV mass region. Independent of the exact model, resonant $t\bar{t}$ production could be visible in the reconstructed invariant mass spectrum ($M_{t\bar{t}}$).

Searches performed at the Tevatron have set upper limits on the production cross section of narrow resonances ($Z'$ with mass below $\sim$900 GeV) decaying into $t\bar{t}$ [23–28]. Similarly, searches at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) have set sub-pb limits on the production cross section of resonances in the 1-3 TeV mass range [29–31].
In this paper, we present a model-independent search for the production of heavy resonances decaying into $t\bar{t}$ using data collected by the Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) experiment in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV at the LHC. Using samples corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 4.4–5.0 fb$^{-1}$, we focus on the semileptonic $t\bar{t}$ decay mode $t\bar{t} \rightarrow (W^+ b)(W^- \bar{b}) \rightarrow (q_1 q_2 b)(\ell^- \nu_\ell \bar{b})$ (or charge conjugate) wherein one $W$ boson decays to an electron or muon and a neutrino, and the other decays hadronically. The range of 0.5–3 TeV in $M_{t\bar{t}}$ is covered by the combination of two dedicated searches: one optimized for resonances with masses smaller than 1 TeV (threshold region), and a second one optimized for masses larger than 1 TeV (boosted region). Both regions increase the sensitivity of the search by identifying jets originating from the hadronization of $b$ quarks ($b$ jets), and separating the samples into various categories depending on the lepton flavor, the number of jets, and the number of $b$ jets. The resulting samples are dominated by SM $t\bar{t}$ and $W$ bosons produced in association with jets. A limit on the production cross section of heavy resonances is extracted by performing a template-based statistical evaluation of the reconstructed $M_{t\bar{t}}$ distribution.

The CMS detector is briefly described in section 2. Section 3 provides details on the data and simulated samples used in the analyses. Sections 4 and 5 describe the event selection and the $t\bar{t}$ event reconstruction, respectively. The main sources of systematic uncertainty in the analyses are described in section 6. Results are shown in section 7 and a summary is provided in section 8.

## 2 The CMS detector

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid, 13 m in length and 6 m in diameter, which provides an axial magnetic field of 3.8 T. The bore of the solenoid is outfitted with various particle detection systems. Charged particle trajectories are measured by the silicon pixel and strip trackers, covering $0 < \phi < 2\pi$ in azimuth and $|\eta| < 2.5$, where pseudorapidity $\eta$ is defined as $\eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$, with $\theta$ being the polar angle of the trajectory of the particle with respect to the counterclockwise beam direction. A crystal electromagnetic calorimeter and a brass/scintillator hadronic calorimeter surround the tracking volume. In this analysis the calorimetry provides high-resolution energy and direction measurements of electrons and hadronic jets. Muons are measured in gas-ionization detectors embedded in the steel return yoke outside the solenoid. The detector is nearly hermetic, allowing for momentum balance measurements in the plane transverse to the beam directions, which are used to infer the presence of neutrinos in events. A two-tier trigger system selects the most interesting $pp$ collision events for use in physics analysis. A more detailed description of the CMS detector can be found in ref. [32].

## 3 Data and simulated samples

The data analyzed for the threshold analyses were recorded with triggers requiring a single isolated (defined in section 4.1) muon or electron with a transverse momentum ($p_T$) threshold of 17 GeV or 25 GeV, respectively, in combination with a number of jets with a $p_T$
threshold of 30 GeV. Events containing an electron were required to have three or more jets throughout the data-taking period, while the minimum number of jets in events containing a muon increased from zero to three as the instantaneous luminosity increased. The data analyzed for the boosted analyses were recorded with triggers requiring one muon with a $p_T$ threshold of 40 GeV or one electron with a $p_T$ threshold of 65 GeV, with no isolation requirements on the leptons. To avoid too high a trigger rate, the electron trigger was prescaled for the highest instantaneous luminosities. This resulted in a loss of 0.6 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity for the boosted electron analysis compared to the other channels. No additional requirements were made on the jets or missing transverse energy in the triggers used for the boosted analyses.

Offline, we use a particle-flow [33] based event reconstruction, which combines information from each subdetector, including charged particle tracks from the tracking system and deposited energy from the electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters, to reconstruct all particles in the event. Particles are classified as electrons, muons, photons, charged hadrons, and neutral hadrons. Particles identified as originating from multiple primary collisions at high instantaneous luminosity (pileup) are removed from the event.

Muons are reconstructed using the information from the muon chambers and the tracking detectors [34]. Tracks are required to have at least 11 hits including at least one in the pixel layers. The tracks must also pass within 0.02 cm of the beam spot in the plane transverse to the beam, and within 1 cm along the beam axis.

Electron candidates are initially identified by matching a track to a cluster of energy in the electromagnetic calorimeter. Candidates are selected [35] using shower-shape information, the quality of the track and the spatial match between the track and electromagnetic cluster, the fraction of total cluster energy in the hadronic calorimeter, and the amount of activity in the surrounding regions of the tracker and calorimeters. Electrons coming from photon conversions in the detector material are rejected if there are missing hits in the inner tracker layers or if there is another close track with opposite charge and with a similar polar angle.

Jets are reconstructed by clustering the particle-flow candidates not identified as leptons using an anti-$k_T$ algorithm with a distance parameter $R = 0.5$ [36]. Corrections are applied to account for the dependence of the detector response to jets as a function of $\eta$ and $p_T$ [37] and the effects of pileup. The jets associated to b quarks are identified using an algorithm that reconstructs the secondary vertex corresponding to the decay of a B hadron. When no secondary vertex is found, the significance of the impact parameter with respect to the primary vertex of the second most displaced track is used as a discriminator to distinguish decay products of a B hadron from prompt tracks [38].

The negative of the vector sum of the momenta of all reconstructed particles in the plane transverse to the beam is the missing transverse momentum [39], with magnitude denoted by missing transverse energy $E_T^{\text{miss}}$.

The SM background processes are simulated by MadGraph 5.1.1 [40], Pythia 6.4.24 [41], and Powheg [42] event generators using CTEQ6L parton distribution functions of the proton [43]. The generated events are subsequently processed with Pythia to provide the showering of the partons and fully simulated with CMS software based on Geant4 [44, 45].
The W boson and Drell-Yan production in association with up to four jets are simulated with MadGraph, with additional jet production described via matrix elements matched to parton showers using the MLM prescription [46] with a matching threshold of 20 GeV. The next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) production cross sections times branching fractions into leptons (electrons, muons and taus) are used [47]: 31.3 nb for W, and 3.05 nb for Drell-Yan production of dilepton final states with invariant mass > 50 GeV. The background from Drell-Yan production of dilepton final states with invariant mass < 50 GeV is negligible. The contribution from QCD multijet processes is obtained directly from data as described in section 5.

The SM \( t\bar{t} \) events are generated with MadGraph, assuming a top-quark mass of 172.5 GeV. Higher-order gluon and quark production is described by the matrix elements with up to three extra partons beyond the \( t\bar{t} \) system. The chosen threshold for the matching is 40 GeV, which ensures a smooth transition from the matrix element to the parton showering description. An additional \( t\bar{t} \) sample is generated using POWHEG to provide a cross-check and to estimate systematic uncertainties in the modeling. The inclusive \( t\bar{t} \) cross section value of 157.5 pb is used [48, 49].

Single top-quark production is modeled in POWHEG. The approximate NNLO cross sections of 42 pb and 3.2 pb are used for \( t \)-channel and \( s \)-channel single top-quark production, respectively, along with the corresponding single \( t \)-quark production cross sections of 23 pb and 1.4 pb. The approximate NNLO value of 7.9 pb is used for Wt and W\( \bar{t} \) associated production [50–52].

Finally, as reference models for new physics, we use the sequential standard model (SSM) topcolor Z’ bosons with a natural width \( \Gamma_{Z'} \) equal to 1.2% (narrow width) and 10% of the Z’ mass \( m_{Z'} \) based on [4–7] and KK gluons based on [11]. Signal samples are generated with PYTHIA 8.145 with a range of masses between 500 GeV and 3 TeV. Only decays into \( t\bar{t} \) are simulated in the Z’ samples. The KK gluons are simulated with branching fractions to \( t\bar{t} \) of 0.93, 0.92, 0.90, and 0.87 for resonance masses of 1, 1.5, 2 and 3 TeV.

4 Event selection

To study the range of 0.5–3 TeV in \( M_{tt} \), two complementary strategies are pursued: firstly, the threshold search focuses on the 0.5–1 TeV mass range using criteria optimized to identify top quarks produced with a small boost in the detector frame and hence with well-separated decay products. In this region, if all decay products are reconstructed within the kinematic acceptance, we expect the final state to contain exactly one isolated lepton, four jets produced by the four quarks (two of which are b jets) in the semileptonic \( t\bar{t} \) decay, and \( E_T^{\text{miss}} \).

Secondly, for resonance masses above 1 TeV, the highly Lorentz-boosted top quarks will yield collimated decay products that are partially or fully merged. This can be seen in figure 1, which shows that in the boosted region the angular distance between the partons is smaller than the jet clustering distance parameter. As a consequence, the products of the hadronically decaying top quark might be reconstructed as fewer than three jets, and the leptons might not be isolated. The boosted search thus selects events containing one electron or muon with no isolation requirement and at least two jets.
Figure 1. The distribution of the minimum $\Delta R$ of all three possible pairings between the three quarks ($q_1, q_2, b$) of the hadronic top-quark decay for SM $t\bar{t}$ production and two different $Z'$ mass hypotheses. For events with $\Delta R_{\text{min}}$ smaller than the parameter $R = 0.5$ in the jet clustering, jets merge and fewer than three jets are reconstructed.

4.1 Threshold analyses

We select events containing either one isolated muon with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.1$, or one isolated electron with $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. The isolation requirement is based on the ratio of the total transverse energy observed from all hadrons and photons in a cone of size $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta \phi)^2 + (\Delta \eta)^2} < 0.4$ around the lepton direction to the transverse momentum of the lepton itself. This quantity is required to be less than 0.125 for muons and less than 0.1 for electrons. Events with two isolated lepton candidates are vetoed to reduce the background from Drell-Yan and $t\bar{t}$ production in which both W bosons decay leptonically.

Events are further required to contain at least three jets with $|\eta| < 2.4$ and $p_T > 50$ GeV, and additional jets with $|\eta| < 2.4$ and $p_T > 30$ GeV, if any. To enhance the rejection of background from W-boson and Drell-Yan production in association with relatively low-$p_T$ jets, the leading jet is required to have $p_T > 70$ GeV. Multijet background is suppressed further by requiring $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 20$ GeV. The fraction of simulated semileptonic signal events passing this selection varies from 16 to 35% for resonance masses below 1 TeV.

Events are then separated into eight categories according to the lepton flavor (electron or muon), the number of jets, and the number of b-tagged jets. The categories defined by jets are: events with three jets, of which at least one is b tagged; events with four or more jets, of which none is b tagged; events with four or more jets, of which exactly one is b tagged; and events with four or more jets, of which at least two are b tagged.

4.2 Boosted analyses

We select events containing either one muon with $p_T > 42$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.1$, or one electron with $p_T > 70$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$, and at least two jets with $|\eta| < 2.4$ and $p_T > 50$ GeV. The leading jet $p_T$ lower threshold is set to 250 GeV (150 GeV) in the muon (electron) channel. No isolation requirement is applied to the leptons. Multijet background is reduced with a requirement on the $\Delta R$ separation in the 2D plane: $\Delta R(\text{lepton, closest jet}) > 0.5$.
or \( p_T^{\text{rel}}(\text{lepton, closest jet}) > 25 \text{ GeV} \). Here, \( p_T^{\text{rel}} \) is defined as the magnitude of the lepton momentum orthogonal to the closest jet axis, where any jet with \( p_T > 25 \text{ GeV} \) is considered. We also require the scalar quantity \( L_T > 150 \text{ GeV} \), where \( L_T = E_T^{\text{miss}} + p_T^{\text{lep}} \).

In the electron channel only, the multijet background is further reduced by requiring that \( E_T^{\text{miss}} > 50 \text{ GeV} \) and applying a series of topological requirements that ensure the missing transverse momentum does not point along the transverse direction of the electron (e) or of the leading jet (j):

\[
-\frac{1.5}{75 \text{ GeV}} E_T^{\text{miss}} + 1.5 < \Delta \phi\{\text{(e or j)}, E_T^{\text{miss}}\} < \frac{1.5}{75 \text{ GeV}} E_T^{\text{miss}} + 1.5.
\]

Even though the lepton \( p_T \) requirements are dictated by the trigger threshold, the leading jet \( p_T \) requirement is chosen so that the total transverse energy of the event (including \( E_T^{\text{miss}} \)) is as close as possible in both channels. In addition, we ensure the two channels contain no overlap with each other by vetoing events that contain a second lepton.

Events are separated into four categories according to the lepton flavor (electron or muon) and the number of b-tagged jets: either no b-tagged jets, or at least one b-tagged jet. The fraction of simulated semileptonic signal events passing this selection varies from 13 to 24\% for resonance masses between 1 and 3 TeV.

5 The \( \text{t\bar{t}} \) event reconstruction

The four-vectors of the top quark and antiquark candidates are reconstructed by assigning the final state objects in each event to either the leptonic or the hadronic leg of the \( \text{t\bar{t}} \) pair decay. We then choose between the possible hypotheses using the criteria described below that depend on the number of reconstructed jets. This \( \text{t\bar{t}} \) reconstruction process results in a unique value for the reconstructed \( M_{\text{t\bar{t}}} \) for each event.

First, the charged lepton and the \( E_T^{\text{miss}} \) are assigned to the leptonic leg, where \( E_T^{\text{miss}} \) is interpreted as the transverse component of the momentum of the neutrino. Imposing the condition that the invariant mass of the lepton and neutrino is equal to the mass of the W boson (80.4 GeV) allows the construction of a quadratic equation for the longitudinal component of the momentum of the neutrino. In the absence of a real solution, the boosted analyses retain the real part of the complex solution. The threshold analyses modify the components of \( E_T^{\text{miss}} \) by the minimal amount in \( |\Delta E_T^{\text{miss}}_x| + |\Delta E_T^{\text{miss}}_y| \) to give one real solution, which results in an improved mass resolution. If there are two real solutions, hypotheses are built for both cases, effectively doubling the number of combinations for that event.

For events with four or more jets in the threshold analyses, the choices of neutrino solution and jet association are made simultaneously by forming a \( \chi^2 \) from the sum of the normalized squared deviations of the leptonic top-quark mass, hadronic top-quark mass, hadronic W mass, \( p_T \) of the \( \text{t\bar{t}} \) system, and the ratio of the \( p_T \) of the four selected jets to the \( p_T \) of all jets in the event. The central values and widths used are obtained from the distributions of these quantities in the Monte Carlo simulation. The \( \chi^2 \) is calculated for each possible combination, including the two neutrino solutions if they are both physical.
The b-tagged jets may only be associated to a b quark in the decay chain, thereby reducing the number of possible combinations. For each event, the combination with the smallest value of $\chi^2$ is chosen. The association of jets to the W boson and the b quarks is found to be correct in approximately 80% of simulated $t\bar{t}$ events for which the four jets in the decay chain are reconstructed.

For events with only three jets in the threshold analyses, it is assumed that two jets from the $t\bar{t}$ decay may have merged. The leptonic W boson is first reconstructed as described above. The solution for the longitudinal neutrino momentum is chosen to give the closest match to the leptonic top-quark mass when the leptonic W boson is combined with any of the three jets. The invariant mass of the leptonic W and all three jets together is then taken as an estimate of $M_{t\bar{t}}$.

For the boosted analyses, we allow for collimated decay products that are partially or fully merged by considering all hypotheses that have exactly one jet assigned to the leptonic leg, and at least one jet assigned to the hadronic leg. A two-term $\chi^2$ is constructed from the sum of the normalized squared deviations of the leptonic top-quark mass and the hadronic top-quark mass. For each event, the combination with the smallest value of $\chi^2$ (labeled $\chi^2_{\text{min}}$) is chosen. Next, the event selection described in section 4.2 is extended by applying additional conditions that improve the overall sensitivity of the boosted analyses. For the electron channel only, the transverse momentum of the reconstructed leptonic top quark is required to be greater than 100 GeV. We require $\chi^2_{\text{min}} < 8$ for both channels. This value is chosen such that the efficiency for this cut is 50% for signal and approximately 10% for the W+jets background. Finally, we categorize events according to the number of b-tagged jets as either with no b-tagged jets, or with at least one b-tagged jet.

The multijet background contribution to each channel in the threshold analyses is determined from data. A multijet-dominated sample is defined by removing the $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ requirement and selecting events containing fake leptons, defined as muon candidates with isolation values between 0.2 and 0.5, and electron candidates consistent with photon conversions. This sample is used to define templates for multijet background distributions used in the analyses, including the shape of the $M_{t\bar{t}}$ distribution; templates for other SM backgrounds are taken from simulation. These templates are used to find the number of multijet events from a fit to the lepton $\eta$ (in the electron channel) or the $p_T$ of the vector sum of jet momenta (in the muon channel) in a sample that contains events that pass the selection cuts but have $E_T^{\text{miss}} < 20$ GeV. The number of multijet events in the final sample is obtained by extrapolating the result to the $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 20$ GeV region using the normalization determined in the sample with $E_T^{\text{miss}} < 20$ GeV. In the boosted analyses the multijet contamination after the final selection is found to be negligible.

The numbers of expected and observed events in each analysis channel for the threshold and boosted analyses are summarized in tables 1 and 2, respectively. The $Z'$ samples are normalized arbitrarily to cross sections times branching fractions of 1 pb. For the threshold analyses, the simulated samples are normalized to theoretical predictions. For the boosted analyses, the yields of the simulated samples are normalized to data using scale factors derived in a maximum likelihood fit to the $M_{t\bar{t}}$ distribution in both channels simultaneously. This is done to allow for possible shortcomings of the theoretical predictions.
in the more extreme region of phase space probed by these channels. The likelihood is defined as described in section 7, where the simulated samples are initially normalized to the theoretical predictions, but the normalization is allowed to vary within the uncertainties during the fitting procedure. Figures 2 and 3 show the $M_{t\tau}$ distributions for the threshold and boosted analyses, respectively. Figure 3 also shows the distribution of the number of jets in the events for the boosted analyses. It can be observed that, in the boosted region, the signal populates the 2-jet bin while the SM background has larger jet multiplicity. Good agreement is observed in all cases between data and the SM predictions.

6 Systematic uncertainties

Systematic uncertainties enter the analyses in two ways: those related to the total normalization of the simulated samples, and those from the effects that change both the normalization and shape of the background and expected signal distributions.

Normalization uncertainties on the theoretical production cross sections are considered for all background processes. In some instances, larger uncertainties are used for the boosted analyses as they probe a limited region of phase space. The following variations on
### Threshold analyses, muon channel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$N_{\text{jet}} = 3$</th>
<th>$N_{\text{jet}} \geq 4$</th>
<th>$N_{\text{b-tag}} \geq 1$</th>
<th>$N_{\text{b-tag}} = 0$</th>
<th>$N_{\text{b-tag}} = 1$</th>
<th>$N_{\text{b-tag}} \geq 2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Z'$ (M=0.5 TeV)</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z'$ (M=1.0 TeV)</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z'$ (M=1.5 TeV)</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z'$ (M=2.0 TeV)</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t\bar{t}$</td>
<td>5612</td>
<td>2988</td>
<td>7802</td>
<td>6093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W/Z+jets</td>
<td>1727</td>
<td>7705</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>173</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single top</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multijet</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>152</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total background</td>
<td>8052 ± 511</td>
<td>11089 ± 1241</td>
<td>9626 ± 822</td>
<td>6646 ± 687</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>8465</td>
<td>10714</td>
<td>9664</td>
<td>6697</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 1. Number of expected and observed events in the threshold analyses for an integrated luminosity of 5.0 fb$^{-1}$. The narrow-width $Z'$ samples are normalized to cross sections times branching fractions of 1 pb. The other simulated samples are normalized to theoretical predictions. The uncertainty in the total background corresponds to yield changes originating from the systematic uncertainties associated with the jet energy corrections, jet energy resolutions, b tagging, and pileup. The normalization uncertainties on the theoretical production cross sections are summarized in section 6, and are not included in the quoted value. The statistical uncertainties for the simulated samples are negligible.

the rates, which were obtained in a previous analysis [53], are included: $t\bar{t}$ (15%); single top-quark for threshold (30%) and for boosted (50%) analyses; W/Z+light-quark jets correlated (50%) and additional Drell-Yan uncorrelated (30%) for threshold analyses, W+light-quark jets (50%) and uncorrelated Z+light-quark jets (100%) for boosted analyses; W/Z+heavy-quark jets (100%). In addition, a 2.2% uncertainty in the luminosity [54] and 3% (5%) lepton trigger and identification uncertainty is applied to all simulated samples for the threshold (boosted) analyses.
Table 2. Number of expected and observed events in the boosted analyses for an integrated luminosity of 4.4–5.0 fb$^{-1}$. The narrow-width Z$'$ samples are normalized to cross sections times branching fractions of 1 pb. The other simulated samples are normalized to data as described in the text. The uncertainty in the total background corresponds to yield changes originating from the systematic uncertainties associated with the jet energy corrections, jet energy resolutions, b tagging, and pileup. The normalization uncertainties on the theoretical production cross sections are summarized in section 6, and are not included in the quoted value. The statistical uncertainties for the simulated samples are negligible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boosted analyses</th>
<th>Electron channel</th>
<th>Muon channel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>$N_{b\text{-tag}} = 0$</td>
<td>$N_{b\text{-tag}} \geq 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z$'$ (M = 1 TeV)</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z$'$ (M = 1.5 TeV)</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z$'$ (M = 2 TeV)</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>52.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z$'$ (M = 3 TeV)</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>36.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tt</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W/Z+jets</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single top</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total background</td>
<td>276 ± 58</td>
<td>357 ± 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Several sources of systematic uncertainty affect both the shape and the rate of the templates used in the analyses. The uncertainty on the energy of jets is of the order of a few percent and is parametrized as a function of the jet $p_T$ and $\eta$ [37]. The uncertainty on the jet energy resolution varies from 6 to 20% depending on the jet $\eta$. The effect of both variations is propagated to the event $E_{\text{miss}}^T$. The uncertainty on the b-tagging efficiency for b jets ranges from 1.6 to 8% depending on the jet $\eta$ and is doubled for b jets with $p_T > 670$ GeV [38]. The uncertainty on the b-tagging efficiency for c jets is taken as twice the uncertainty for b jets. The uncertainty for all other jets (mistag rate) is 11%.

Some of the theoretical uncertainties affect the normalization and shape of the simulated samples. A simultaneous variation of the factorization and renormalization scales to half and twice the nominal scales is allowed for the t$\bar{t}$ and W+jets samples. The matrix element to parton shower matching threshold and the amount of initial- and final-state radiation are also allowed to vary for these samples. A further uncertainty is included as the difference between the t$\bar{t}$ production models in POWHEG and MADGRAPH. For all simulated samples, the minimum bias cross section is varied by 1 standard deviation of its measured value to account for the effect of pileup.

7 Results

The statistical analysis is based on a binned likelihood of the $M_{t\bar{t}}$ distributions in the considered channels, i.e., eight channels for the threshold analyses and four channels for the boosted analyses. The number of events in bin $i$ is assumed to follow a Poisson distribution with mean $\lambda_i$, given by the sum over all considered background processes and the Z$'$ signal.
Figure 3. Comparison of the reconstructed $M_{tt}$ in data and SM predictions for the boosted analysis with (a) no b-tagged jets, (b) $\geq 1$ b-tagged jets. Comparison of the jet multiplicity distribution in data and SM background predictions for the boosted analysis with (c) no b-tagged jets, (d) $\geq 1$ b-tagged jets. Expected signal contributions for narrow-width topcolor $Z'$ models at different masses are also shown. A cross section times branching fraction of 1.0 pb is used for the normalization of the $Z'$ samples.

The signal is scaled with a signal strength modifier $\mu$, which is the signal cross section in pb:

$$\lambda_i = \mu S_i + \sum_k B_{k,i}.$$ 

Here, $k$ runs over all considered background processes, $B_k$ is the background template for background $k$, and $S$ is the signal template, scaled according to luminosity and a signal cross section of 1 pb.

The presence of systematic uncertainties affects the yields $\lambda_i$. A nuisance parameter $\theta_u$ is thus introduced for each independent source of systematic uncertainty considered. A rate-only uncertainty is modeled with a coefficient for the template $B_k$ with a log-normal prior. A rate and shape uncertainty is modeled by choosing a Gaussian prior for $\theta_u$ and using this parameter to interpolate between the nominal template and the shifted templates obtained by applying a $\pm 1 \sigma$ systematic shift to the simulated samples. This interpolation uses a smooth function, which is cubic in the range $\pm 1 \sigma$ and linear beyond $\pm 1 \sigma$.

We use the modified frequentist construction $CL_s$ [55, 56] to calculate the 95% confidence level (CL) upper limits on the $Z' \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ cross section. The expected upper limits are calculated using background-only pseudo-experiments ($\mu = 0$) and calculating the up-
per limit for each pseudo-experiment. The expected limit is given by the median of the
distribution of upper limits, and the central 68% and 95% give the ±1 and ±2 standard
deviation (s.d.) excursions.

The number of simulated background events in the $M_{t\bar{t}} > 2\text{ TeV}$ region that pass
the boosted selection is rather limited. To ensure a proper background modeling in the
entire $M_{t\bar{t}}$ range, we merge bins in the $M_{t\bar{t}}$ distribution requiring a minimum number of
background events per bin. The bins are chosen such that the uncertainty on the number of
expected background events due to the limited number of simulated events is not worse than
30% in all channels. The uncertainty due to finite size of the simulated samples is taken into
account using the “Barlow-Beeston lite” method [57] that defines one additional nuisance
parameter with a Gaussian distribution for each bin, and performs the maximization of
the likelihood with respect to these new parameters analytically.

Figures 4 and 5 show the expected and observed 95% CL upper limits for the product of
the production cross section times branching fraction of hypothesized resonances that decay
into $t\bar{t}$ as a function of the invariant mass of the resonance. The dashed lines indicate the
values predicted by various models for new physics processes. The expected mass exclusion
region for a topcolor $Z'$ with $\Gamma_{Z'}/m_{Z'} = 1.2\%$ is $M_{Z'} < 1.53\text{ TeV}$; the observed exclusion is
$M_{Z'} < 1.49\text{ TeV}$. For wide resonances with $\Gamma_{Z'}/m_{Z'} = 10\%$, the exclusion mass region is
$M_{Z'} < 2.04\text{ TeV}$ for both the expected and observed limits. In figure 4, the vertical dashed
line indicates the transition between the threshold and the boosted analyses, chosen based
on the sensitivity of the expected limit. For a Kaluza-Klein excitation of a gluon ($g_{KK}$) the
exclusion mass region is $M(g_{KK}) < 1.82\text{ TeV}$ for both the expected and observed limits.

8 Summary

Results from a model-independent search for the production of heavy resonances decaying
into $t\bar{t}$ are presented. The data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 4.4–
5.0 fb$^{-1}$ recorded in 2011 by the CMS detector in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7\text{ TeV}$ at the LHC.
After analyzing events with a lepton (muon or electron) plus jets final state, no evidence
of such massive resonances is found above the SM prediction. Therefore, limits are set
on the production of non-SM particles. Topcolor $Z'$ bosons with a width of 1.2 (10)% of
the $Z'$ mass are excluded at 95% CL for masses below 1.49 (2.04) TeV; an upper limit of
0.3 (1.3) pb is set on the production cross section times branching fraction for a resonance
mass of 1 TeV. In addition, Kaluza-Klein excitations of a gluon with masses below 1.82 TeV
(at 95% CL) in the Randall-Sundrum model are excluded; an upper limit of 0.7 pb is set
on the production cross section times branching fraction for a resonance mass of 1 TeV. In
both instances, the upper limits are lower for larger resonance masses. These results set
the most stringent limits, to date, for $t\bar{t}$ resonant production in the 0.5–2 TeV mass range.

Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent
performance of the LHC machine. We thank the technical and administrative staff at
Figure 4. The 95% CL upper limits on the product of the production cross section $\sigma_{Z'}$ and the branching fraction $B$ of hypothesized resonances that decay into $t\bar{t}$ as a function of the invariant mass of the resonance. The $Z'$ production with $\Gamma_{Z'}/m_{Z'} = 1.2\%$ (a) and $10\%$ (b) compared to predictions based on [5]. The ±1 and ±2 s.d. excursions from the expected limits are also shown. The vertical dashed line indicates the transition between the threshold and the boosted analyses, chosen based on the sensitivity of the expected limit.

CERN and other CMS institutes, and acknowledge support from: FMSR (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); MoER, SF0690030s09 and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC,
**Figure 5.** The 95% CL upper limits on the product of the production cross section $\sigma_{KK}$ and the branching fraction $B$ of Kaluza-Klein excitation of gluon production from [11], compared to the theoretical prediction of that model. The $\pm 1$ and $\pm 2$ s.d. excursions from the expected limits are also shown.

and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NKTH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); NRF and WCU (Korea); LAS (Lithuania); CINVESTAV, CONACYT, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MSI (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Armenia, Belarus, Georgia, Ukraine, Uzbekistan); MON, RosAtom, RAS and RFBR (Russia); MSTD (Serbia); SEIDI and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); NSC (Taipei); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (U.S.A.).

**Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

**References**


[23] CDF collaboration, T. Aaltonen et al., Limits on the production of narrow $t\bar{t}$ resonances in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 051102 [arXiv:0710.5335] [inSPIRE].


[25] CDF collaboration, T. Aaltonen et al., A search for resonant production of $t\bar{t}$ pairs in 4.8 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 072004 [arXiv:1107.5063] [inSPIRE].

[26] D0 collaboration, V.M. Abazov et al., Search for a narrow $t\bar{t}$ resonance in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 051101 [arXiv:1111.1271] [inSPIRE].

[27] CDF collaboration, T. Aaltonen et al., Search for resonant production of $t\bar{t}$ decaying to jets in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 072003 [arXiv:1108.4755] [inSPIRE].

[28] D0 collaboration, V. Abazov et al., Search for $t\bar{t}$ resonances in the lepton plus jets final state in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B 668 (2008) 98 [arXiv:0804.3664] [inSPIRE].

[29] CMS collaboration, Search for anomalous $t\bar{t}$ production in the highly-boosted all-hadronic final state, JHEP 09 (2012) 029 [arXiv:1204.2488] [inSPIRE].


[31] ATLAS collaboration, A search for $t\bar{t}$ resonances in lepton+jets events with highly boosted top quarks collected in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, JHEP 09 (2012) 041 [arXiv:1207.2409] [inSPIRE].


[34] CMS collaboration, Performance of CMS muon reconstruction in $pp$ collision events at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, 2012 JINST 7 P10002 [arXiv:1206.4071] [inSPIRE].


Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Instituto de Fisica Teorica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Sao Paulo, Brazil
T.S. Anjos³, C.A. Bernardes³, F.A. Dias⁴, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei, E.M. Gregores³, C. Lagana, F. Marinho, P.G. Mercadante³, S.F. Novaes, Sandra S. Padula

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria
V. Genchev⁵, P. Iaydjiev⁵, S. Piperov, M. Rodozov, S. Stoykova, G. Sultanov, V. Tcholakov, R. Trayanov, M. Vutova

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria
A. Dimitrov, R. Hadjiiska, V. Kozhuharov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

State Key Lab. of Nucl. Phys. and Tech., Peking University, Beijing, China
C. Asawatangtrakuldee, Y. Ban, Y. Guo, W. Li, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, H. Teng, D. Wang, L. Zhang, W. Zou

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

Technical University of Split, Split, Croatia
N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, R. Pletinš, D. Polic, I. Puljak⁵

University of Split, Split, Croatia
Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia
V. Brigljevic, S. Duric, K. Kadija, J. Luetic, S. Morovic

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus
A. Attikis, M. Galanti, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis
Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

Institute of High Energy Physics and Informatization, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
Z. Tsamalaidze

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany
University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
V. Blobel, J. Draeger, H. Enderle, J. Erfle, U. Gebbert, M. Görner, T. Hermanns,
R.S. Höing, K. Kaschube, G. Kaussen, H. Kirschenmann, R. Klanner, J. Lange, B. Mura,
F. Nowak, T. Peiffer, N. Pietsch, D. Rathjens, C. Sander, H. Schettler, P. Schleper,
E. Schlieckau, A. Schmidt, M. Schröder, T. Schum, M. Seidel, V. Sola, H. Stadie,
G. Steinbrück, J. Thomsen, L. Vanelderen

Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany
C. Barth, J. Berger, C. Böser, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Descroix, A. Dierlamm,
M. Feindt, M. Guthoff\textsuperscript{5}, C. Hackstein, F. Hartmann, T. Hauth\textsuperscript{5}, M. Heinrich, H. Held,
K.H. Hoffmann, U. Husemann, I. Katkov\textsuperscript{16}, J.R. Komaragiri, P. Lobelle Pardo,
G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, F. Ratnikov, N. Ratnikova, S. Röcker, F.-P. Schilling, G. Schott,
H.J. Simonis, F.M. Stober, D. Troendle, R. Ulrich, J. Wagner-Kuhr, S. Wayand, T. Weiler,
M. Zeise

Institute of Nuclear Physics ”Demokritos”, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece
G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, S. Kesisoglou, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, I. Manolakos, A. Markou,
C. Markou, C. Mavrommatis, E. Ntomari

University of Athens, Athens, Greece
L. Gouskos, T.J. Mertzimekis, A. Panagiotou, N. Saoulidou

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece
I. Evangelou, C. Foudas, P. Kokkas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, V. Patras

KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, Hungary
G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, P. Hidas, D. Horvath\textsuperscript{18}, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi\textsuperscript{19}

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Molnar, J. Palinkas, Z. Szillasi

University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
J. Karancsi, P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India
S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, N. Dhingra, R. Gupta, M. Kaur, M.Z. Mehta, N. Nishu,
L.K. Saini, A. Sharma, J.B. Singh

University of Delhi, Delhi, India
Ashok Kumar, Arun Kumar, S. Ahuja, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, S. Malhotra,
M. Naimuddin, K. Ranjan, V. Sharma, R.K. Shivpuri
INFN Sezione di Torino $^a$, Università di Torino $^b$, Università del Piemonte Orientale (Novara) $^c$, Torino, Italy

N. Amapane$^{a,b}$, R. Arcidiacono$^{a,c}$, S. Argiro$^{a,b}$, M. Arneodo$^{a,c}$, C. Biino$^a$, N. Cartiglia$^a$, M. Costa$^{a,b}$, N. Demaria$^a$, C. Mariotti$^{a,5}$, S. Maselli, E. Migliore$^{a,b}$, V. Monaco$^{a,b}$, M. Musich$^{a,5}$, M.M. Obertino$^{a,c}$, N. Pastrone$^a$, M. Pelliccioni$^a$, A. Potenza$^{a,b}$, A. Romero$^{a,b}$, R. Sacchi$^{a,b}$, A. Solano$^{a,b}$, A. Staiano$^a$, P.P. Trapani$^{a,b}$, A. Vilela Pereira$^a$

INFN Sezione di Trieste $^a$, Università di Trieste $^b$, Trieste, Italy

S. Belforte$^a$, V. Candelise$^{a,b}$, M. Casarsa$^a$, F. Cossutti$^a$, G. Della Ricca$^{a,b}$, B. Gobbo$^a$, M. Marone$^{a,b,5}$, D. Montanino$^{a,b,5}$, A. Penzo$^a$, A. Schizzi$^{a,b}$

Kangwon National University, Chunchon, Korea

S.G. Heo, T.Y. Kim, S.K. Nam

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea


Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

J.Y. Kim, Zero J. Kim, S. Song

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Choi, D. Gyun, B. Hong, M. Jo, H. Kim, T.J. Kim, K.S. Lee, D.H. Moon, S.K. Park

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

M. Choi, J.H. Kim, C. Park, I.C. Park, S. Park, G. Ryu

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea


Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

M.J. Bilinskas, I. Grigelionis, M. Janulis, A. Juodagalvis

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico


Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

S. Carrillo Moreno, F. Vazquez Valencia
Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain


CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland


Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland


Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland


Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler, V. Chiochia, S. De Visscher, C. Favaro, M. Ivova Rikova, B. Millan Mejias, P. Otiougova, P. Robmann, H. Snoek, S. Tupputi, M. Verzetti
National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan


National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan


Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

B. Asavapibhop, N. Srimanobhas

Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey


Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey


Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

E. Gülmez, B. Isildak, M. Kaya, O. Kaya, S. Ozkorucuklu, N. Sonmez

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

K. Cankocak

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine

L. Levchuk

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom


Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom


Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom


Baylor University, Waco, U.S.A.

K. Hatakeyama, H. Liu, T. Scarborough

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, U.S.A.

O. Charaf, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio

Boston University, Boston, U.S.A.

A. Avetisyan, T. Bose, C. Fantasia, A. Heister, J. St. John, P. Lawson, D. Lazic, J. Rohlf, D. Sperka, L. Sulak

Brown University, Providence, U.S.A.


University of California, Davis, Davis, U.S.A.


University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, U.S.A.


University of California, Riverside, Riverside, U.S.A.

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, U.S.A.


University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, U.S.A.


California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, U.S.A.


Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, U.S.A.

B. Akgun, V. Azzolini, A. Calamba, R. Carroll, T. Ferguson, Y. Iiyama, D.W. Jang, Y.F. Liu, M. Paulini, H. Vogel, I. Vorobiev

University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, U.S.A.


Cornell University, Ithaca, U.S.A.


Fairfield University, Fairfield, U.S.A.

D. Winn

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, U.S.A.

University of Florida, Gainesville, U.S.A.


Florida International University, Miami, U.S.A.


Florida State University, Tallahassee, U.S.A.


Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, U.S.A.

M.M. Baarmand, B. Dorney, M. Hohlmann, H. Kalakhety, I. Vodopiyanov

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, U.S.A.


The University of Iowa, Iowa City, U.S.A.


Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, U.S.A.


The University of Kansas, Lawrence, U.S.A.

Kansas State University, Manhattan, U.S.A.
A.F. Barfuss, T. Bolton, I. Chakaberia, A. Ivanov, S. Khalil, M. Makouski, Y. Maravin, S. Shrestha, I. Svintradze

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, U.S.A.
J. Gronberg, D. Lange, D. Wright

University of Maryland, College Park, U.S.A.

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, U.S.A.

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, U.S.A.

University of Mississippi, Oxford, U.S.A.
L.M. Cremaldi, R. Kroeger, L. Perera, R. Rahmat, D.A. Sanders

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, U.S.A.

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, U.S.A.

Northeastern University, Boston, U.S.A.

Northwestern University, Evanston, U.S.A.
University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, U.S.A.


The Ohio State University, Columbus, U.S.A.

B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, C. Hill, R. Hughes, K. Kotov, T.Y. Ling, D. Puigh, M. Rodenburg, C. Vuosalo, G. Williams, B.L. Winer

Princeton University, Princeton, U.S.A.


University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, U.S.A.

E. Brownson, A. Lopez, H. Mendez, J.E. Ramirez Vargas

Purdue University, West Lafayette, U.S.A.


Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, U.S.A.

S. Guragain, N. Parashar

Rice University, Houston, U.S.A.


University of Rochester, Rochester, U.S.A.

B. Betchart, A. Bodek, Y.S. Chung, R. Covarelli, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y. Eshaq, T. Ferbel, A. Garcia-Bellido, P. Goldenzweig, J. Han, A. Harel, D.C. Miner, D. Vishnevskiy, M. Zielinski

The Rockefeller University, New York, U.S.A.

A. Bhatti, R. Ciesielski, L. Demortier, K. Goulianos, G. Lungu, S. Malik, C. Mesropian
Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, U.S.A.

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, U.S.A.
G. Cerizza, M. Hollingsworth, S. Spanier, Z.C. Yang, A. York

Texas A&M University, College Station, U.S.A.

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, U.S.A.
N. Akchurin, J. Damgov, C. Dragoiu, P.R. Dudero, C. Jeong, K. Kovitanggoon, S.W. Lee, T. Libeiro, Y. Roh, I. Volobouev

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, U.S.A.

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, U.S.A.

Wayne State University, Detroit, U.S.A.
S. Gollapinni, R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, C. Kottachchi Kankanamge Don, P. Lamichhane, A. Sakharov

University of Wisconsin, Madison, U.S.A.